

MATH 221 : ANALYSIS I—REAL ANALYSIS
AUTUMN 2018
HOMEWORK 1

Instructor: GAUTAM BHARALI

Assigned: AUGUST 10, 2018

1. Recall the binary operations $+$: $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and \cdot : $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by Peano Arithmetic. Prove that both operations are commutative.

2. Let A be a non-zero natural number. We say that a natural number d *divides* A —denoted by $d|A$ —if there exists some natural number k such that $A = kd$. Let p be a prime number. **Assume** the following fact: if a and b are non-zero natural numbers and $p|ab$, then either $p|a$ or $p|b$.

Now show that the equation $x^2 = p$ has no rational solution.

3. Let S be a non-empty set, and let \sim be an equivalence relation on S . Recall that, for any $s \in S$, the *equivalence class of s* —denoted by $[s]$ —is defined as

$$[s] := \{x \in S : x \sim s\}.$$

Prove that \sim partitions S into disjoint equivalence classes.

4. Let $(S, <)$ be an ordered set and let E be a subset of S . If $\sup E$ exists, then show that it is unique.

5. Consider a set $A = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{5}\}$ on which we define two binary operations $+$ and \cdot as follows:

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} := \overline{(a + b) \bmod 6}, \quad \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} := \overline{(ab) \bmod 6}. \tag{1}$$

(Given any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we define “ $a \bmod 6$ ” as follows:

$a \bmod 6$

:= the unique remainder belonging to the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ obtained when dividing a by 6.)

The operations between the unbarred variables a and b in (1) are the usual addition and multiplication on \mathbb{N} . Is $(A, +, \cdot)$ a field? Justify your answer.

6. Write $\mathbb{F}_3 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ on which we define the binary operations $+$ and \cdot analogous to those in the previous problem:

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} := \overline{(a + b) \bmod 3}, \quad \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} := \overline{(ab) \bmod 3}.$$

Show that $(\mathbb{F}_3, +, \cdot)$ is a field.

Remark. The above holds true with any prime number replacing 3. The non-trivial step, in that case, is to show that multiplicative inverses exist—which follows from a result called *Fermat’s Little Theorem*.