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## Hoeffding's inequality

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a finite sequence of independent random variables. Denote

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}
$$

## Theorem (Hoeffding's inequality, 1963)

Assume that for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ a.s. for some constants $a_{k}<b_{k}$. Then, for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{2 x^{2}}{D_{n}}\right)
$$

where

$$
D_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)^{2}
$$

## A keystone lemma

The proof of Hoeffding's inequalitiy relies on the following keystone lemma.

## Lemma

Let $X$ be a square integrable random variable with mean zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{a} \leqslant \boldsymbol{X} \leqslant \boldsymbol{b}$ a.s. for some real constants a and $b$. Then,

$$
\sigma^{2} \leqslant-a b \leqslant \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} .
$$

In addition, for any real $t$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[\exp (t X)] \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{8}(b-a)^{2}\right) .
$$

## Proof.

The convexity of the square function implies that $X^{2} \leqslant(a+b) X-a b$ a.s. By taking the expectation on both sides,

$$
\sigma^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right] \leqslant-a b \leqslant \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}
$$

The convexity of the exponential function also implies that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\exp (t X) \leqslant \frac{(\exp (t b)-\exp (t a)) X}{b-a}+\frac{b \exp (t a)-a \exp (t b)}{b-a} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

By taking the expectation on both sides,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\exp (t X)] & \leqslant \frac{b}{b-a} \exp (t a)-\frac{a}{b-a} \exp (t b) \\
& \leqslant(1-p) \exp (-p y)+p \exp ((1-p) y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p=-a /(b-a)$ and $y=(b-a) t$.

## Proof.

One can observe that $0<p<1$ as $a<0<b$. Therefore, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[\exp (t X)] \leqslant \exp (h(y))
$$

where $h(y)=-p y+\log (1-p+p \exp (y))$. Furthermore, it follows from straighforward calculation that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}(y) & =-p+\frac{p}{p+(1-p) \exp (-y)} \\
h^{\prime \prime}(y) & =\frac{p(1-p) \exp (-y)}{(p+(1-p) \exp (-y))^{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $h(0)=0$ and $h^{\prime}(0)=0$, Taylor's formula implies that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
h(y) \leqslant \frac{y^{2}}{8}=\frac{t^{2}}{8}(b-a)^{2}
$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

## Proof of Hoeffding's inequality

## Proof.

It follows from Markov's inequality that for any positive $x$ and $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right] \geqslant x\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\exp \left(t\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]\right) \geqslant \exp (t x)\right)\right. \\
& \leqslant \exp (-t x) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \exp (-t x) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y_{k}=X_{k}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right]$. One can observe that $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of independent random variables such that, for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$,

$$
\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{k}}
$$

where $c_{k}=a_{k}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right]$ and $d_{k}=b_{k}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right], d_{k}-c_{k}=b_{k}-a_{k}$.

## Proof.

Hence, we deduce from the above lemma that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}\right)\right]=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t Y_{k}\right)\right] \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Consequently, for any positive $x$ and $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right] \geqslant x\right) & \leqslant \exp \left(-t x+\frac{t^{2}}{8} D_{n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{2 x^{2}}{D_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by taking the optimal value $t=4 x / D_{n}$. Replacing $X_{k}$ by $-X_{k}$, we obtain by the same token that, for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right] \leqslant-x\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{2 x^{2}}{D_{n}}\right)
$$

which completes the proof of Hoeffding's inequality.

## Improvement of Hoeffding's inequality

## Theorem (B-Delyon-Rio, 2015)

Assume that for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $a_{k} \leqslant X_{k} \leqslant b_{k}$ a.s. for some constants $a_{k}<b_{k}$. Then, for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{3 x^{2}}{D_{n}+2 V_{n}}\right)
$$

where

$$
D_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{n}=\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right)
$$

$\longrightarrow$ One can observe that $D_{n} \geqslant 4 V_{n}$ which means that this result improves Hoeffding's inequality.

## A second keystone lemma

## Lemma

Let $X$ be a square integrable random variable with mean zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$ such that $\sigma^{2} \leqslant v$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{X} \leqslant \boldsymbol{b}$ a.s. for some positive constant $b$. Then, for any positive $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\exp (t X)] & \leqslant p \exp (s(1-p))+(1-p) \exp (-s p), \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{(1-2 p) s^{2}}{4 \log ((1-p) / p)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
p=\frac{v}{b^{2}+v} \quad \text { and } \quad s=\frac{t v}{b p} \text {. }
$$

$\longrightarrow$ In the special case $|\boldsymbol{X}| \leqslant \boldsymbol{b}$ a.s., we clearly have $\sigma^{2} \leqslant b^{2}, v=b^{2}$,

$$
p=\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad s=2 t b .
$$

## A second keystone lemma

Moreover, it follows from L'Hospital's rule that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 1 / 2} \frac{(1-2 p)}{\log ((1-p) / p)}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

The convexity of the exponential function implies that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\exp (t X) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sinh (t b) X+\cosh (t b)
$$

By taking the expectation on both sides, we obtain that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[\exp (t X)] \leqslant \cosh (t b) \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2} b^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

which is exactly the second inequality of the lemma.

## Proof of the second keystone lemma

## Proof.

Using integration by parts, we can prove that for any positive $t$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[\exp (t X)] \leqslant \mathbb{E}[\exp (t Z)]
$$

where $Z$ is a two-value random variable with mean zero and variance $v$

$$
Z=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
b & p \\
a & 1-p
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
p=\frac{v}{b^{2}+v} \quad \text { and } \quad a=-\frac{v}{b} .
$$

## Proof of the second keystone lemma, continued

## Proof.

It is not hard to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\exp (t Z)] & \leqslant p \exp (t b)+(1-p) \exp (t a) \\
& =p \exp (s(1-p))+(1-p) \exp (-s p)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
s=\frac{t v}{b p}
$$

We can show via the minimax theorem that for any positive $s$,

$$
p \exp (s(1-p))+(1-p) \exp (-s p) \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{(1-2 p) s^{2}}{4 \log ((1-p) / p)}\right)
$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

## Proof of the improvement of Hoeffding's inequality

## Proof.

We already saw from Markov's inequality that for any positive $x$ and $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right] \geqslant x\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\exp \left(t\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]\right) \geqslant \exp (t x)\right)\right. \\
& \leqslant \exp (-t x) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \exp (-t x) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y_{k}=X_{k}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right]$,

$$
\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{k}}
$$

with $c_{k}=a_{k}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right]$ and $d_{k}=b_{k}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right], d_{k}-c_{k}=b_{k}-a_{k}$.

## Proof.

For all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, let $v_{k}=\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{k}\right) \leqslant-c_{k} d_{k}$. It follows from the above lemma that for any positive $t$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t Y_{k}\right)\right] \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2} d_{k}^{2}}{4} \varphi\left(\frac{v_{k}}{d_{k}^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\varphi(v)=\frac{v^{2}-1}{\log v}
$$

It is not hard to see that, for any positive $v$,

$$
\varphi(v)=\frac{1}{3}\left(1+4 v+v^{2}\right)
$$

Consequently,
$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}\right)\right]=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t Y_{k}\right)\right] \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{12} \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{k}^{2}\left(1+4 \frac{v_{k}}{d_{k}^{2}}+\frac{v_{k}^{2}}{d_{k}^{4}}\right)\right)$.

## Proof of the improvement of Hoeffding's inequality

## Proof.

Hence, as $v_{k} \leqslant-c_{k} d_{k}$ and $d_{k}-c_{k}=b_{k}-a_{k}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}\right)\right] & \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{12} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(d_{k}^{2}+4 v_{k}+\frac{v_{k}^{2}}{d_{k}^{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{12} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(d_{k}^{2}+4 v_{k}+c_{k}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{12} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(d_{k}^{2}+2 v_{k}-2 c_{k} d_{k}+c_{k}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{12} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(d_{k}-c_{k}\right)^{2}+2 v_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{t^{2}}{12} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)^{2}+2 v_{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of the improvement of Hoeffding's inequality

## Proof.

Consequently, for any positive $x$ and $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right] \geqslant x\right) & \leqslant \exp \left(-t x+\frac{t^{2}}{12}\left(D_{n}+2 V_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{3 x^{2}}{D_{n}+2 V_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by taking the optimal value $t=6 x /\left(D_{n}+2 V_{n}\right)$. Replacing $X_{k}$ by $-X_{k}$, we obtain by the same token that, for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right] \leqslant-x\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{3 x^{2}}{D_{n}+2 V_{n}}\right)
$$

which completes the proof.

## Bernstein's inequality

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a finite sequence of centered and independent random variables. Denote

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}, \quad V_{n}=\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right), \quad v_{n}=\frac{V_{n}}{n} .
$$

We shall say that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ satisfy Bernstein's condition if it exists some positive constant $c$ such that, for any integer $p \geqslant 3$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\max \left(0, X_{k}\right)\right)^{p}\right] \leqslant \frac{p!c^{p-2}}{2} V_{n} .
$$

## Theorem (Bernstein's inequality)

Under Bernstein's condition, we have for any positive $x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \geqslant n x\right) \leqslant & \left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{2\left(v_{n}+c x\right)}\right)^{n} \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{v_{n}+c x}\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{2\left(v_{n}+c x\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we also have for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \geqslant n x\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{v_{n}+c x+\sqrt{v_{n}\left(v_{n}+2 c x\right)}}\right) .
$$

$\longrightarrow$ The last inequality is due to Bennett while the second inequality in blue is known as Bernstein's inequality.

## Comparisons in Bernstein's inequalities



## Proof of Bernstein's inequalities

## Proof.

It follows from Markov's inequality that for any positive $x$ and $t$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \geqslant n x\right) \leqslant \exp (-n t x) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t S_{n}\right)\right]
$$

The concavity of the logarithm function implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t S_{n}\right)\right] \leqslant \exp (n \ell(t)) \quad \text { where } \quad \ell(t)=\log \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t X_{k}\right)\right]\right) .
$$

However, it is not hard to see that for any real $x$,

$$
\exp (x) \leqslant 1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \frac{(\max (0, x))^{p}}{p!}
$$

## Proof.

Hence, it follows from the monotone convergence theorem that for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and for any positive $t$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t X_{k}\right)\right] \leqslant 1+t \mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right]+\frac{t^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}^{2}\right]}{2}+\sum_{p=3}^{\infty} \frac{t^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\max \left(0, X_{k}\right)\right)^{p}\right]}{p!}
$$

Consequently, we deduce from Bernstein's condition that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t X_{k}\right)\right] \leqslant n+\frac{t^{2}}{2} V_{n}+\frac{V_{n}}{2} \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} c^{p-2} t^{p}=n+\frac{V_{n}}{2} \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} c^{p-2} t^{p}
$$

Therefore, as soon as $0<t c<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp (\ell(t)) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t X_{k}\right)\right] \leqslant 1+\frac{v_{n} t^{2}}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}(t c)^{p} \\
& \leqslant 1+\frac{v_{n} t^{2}}{2(1-t c)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of Bernstein's inequalities

## Proof.

It leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \geqslant n x\right) & \leqslant \exp \left(-n t x+n \log \left(1+\frac{v_{n} t^{2}}{2(1-t c)}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{v_{n}+c x}\right)\left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{2\left(v_{n}+c x\right)}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

by taking the optimal value

$$
t=\frac{x}{v_{n}+c x}
$$

Finally, the elementary inequality $1+\boldsymbol{x} \leqslant \exp (x)$ where $x$ is positive, ensures that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \geqslant n x\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{2\left(v_{n}+c x\right)}\right)
$$

which completes the proofs of Bernstein's inequalities.
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## Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality

Let $\left(M_{n}\right)$ be a square integrable martingale adapted to $\mathbb{F}=\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)$ with $M_{0}=0$. Its increasing process is defined by

$$
<M>_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta M_{k}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]
$$

where $\Delta M_{n}=M_{n}-M_{n-1}$.

## Theorem (Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality, 1967)

Assume that for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n, a_{k} \leqslant \Delta M_{k} \leqslant b_{k}$ a.s. for some constants $a_{k}<b_{k}$. Then, for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|M_{n}\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{2 x^{2}}{D_{n}}\right)
$$

where

$$
D_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)^{2}
$$

## Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality

## Theorem (B-Delyon-Rio, 2015)

Assume that for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$,

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \leqslant \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

where $\left(A_{k}, B_{k}\right)$ is a couple of bounded and $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}$-measurable random variables. Then, for any positive $x$ and $y$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant x, 2<M>_{n}+\mathcal{D}_{n} \leqslant y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{3 x^{2}}{y}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{D}_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(B_{k}-A_{k}\right)^{2}
$$

## Van de Geer's inequality

The convexity of the square function implies that almost surely

$$
\Delta M_{k}^{2} \leqslant\left(A_{k}+B_{k}\right) \Delta M_{k}-A_{k} B_{k} \leqslant\left(A_{k}+B_{k}\right) \Delta M_{k}+\frac{1}{4}\left(B_{k}-A_{k}\right)^{2} .
$$

By taking the conditional expectation on both sides,

$$
<M>_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta M_{k}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right] \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(B_{k}-A_{k}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_{n} .
$$

Consequently, we can deduce Van de Geer's inequality which says that, for any positive $x$ and $y$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant x, \mathcal{D}_{n} \leqslant y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{2 x^{2}}{y}\right) .
$$

## Theorem (Bernstein's inequality)

Assume that it exists some positive constant $c$ such that, for any integer $p \geqslant 3$ and for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\max \left(0, \Delta M_{k}\right)\right)^{p} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right] \leqslant \frac{p!c^{p-2}}{2} \Delta<M>_{k}
$$

Then, for any positive $x$ and $y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant n x,<M>_{n}\right. & \leqslant n y) \leqslant\left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{2(y+c x)}\right)^{n} \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{y+c x}\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{2(y+c x)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we also have for any positive $x$ and $y$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant n x,<M>_{n} \leqslant n y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{y+c x+\sqrt{y(y+2 c x)}}\right)
$$

## De la Peña's inequalities

Definition. We say that $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally symmetric if, for all $n \geqslant 1, \mathcal{L}\left(\Delta M_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)$ is symmetric.

## Theorem (De la Peña, 1999)

If $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally symmetric, then for any positive $x$ and $y$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant x,[M]_{n} \leqslant y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 y}\right) .
$$

where

$$
[M]_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta M_{k}^{2}
$$

## Self-normalized martingales

## Theorem (De la Peña, 1999)

If $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally symmetric, then for any positive $x$ and $y$, and for all $a \geqslant 0$ and $b>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x\right) \leqslant \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2}}{2}[M]_{n}\right)\right)\right]}
$$



Goal. Self-normalized by $\left\langle M>_{n}\right.$ instead of $[M]_{n}$. In addition, avoid the symmetric condition on the distribution of $M_{n}$.

## Self-normalized martingales

## Theorem (De la Peña, 1999)

If $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally symmetric, then for any positive $x$ and $y$, and for all $a \geqslant 0$ and $b>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x\right) \leqslant \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2}}{2}[M]_{n}\right)\right)\right]} \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x,[M]_{n} \geqslant y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2} y}{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Goal. Self-normalized by $\left\langle M>_{n}\right.$ instead of $[M]_{n}$. In addition, avoid the symmetric condition on the distribution of $M_{n}$.

## Theorem (B-Touati, 2008)

For any positive $x$ and $y$, we always have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|M_{n}\right| \geqslant x,[M]_{n}+<M>_{n} \leqslant y\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 y}\right)
$$

## Theorem (Delyon, 2009)

For any positive $x$ and $y$, we always have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|M_{n}\right| \geqslant x,[M]_{n}+2<M>_{n} \leqslant y\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{3 x^{2}}{2 y}\right) .
$$

Remark. For any positive $x$ and $y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant x,[M]_{n}+<M>_{n} \leqslant y\right) & \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant x,[M]_{n}+2<M>_{n} \leqslant 2 y\right), \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{3 x^{2}}{4 y}\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that Delyon's inequality improves the previous one.

## Two elementary inequalities

The proof of the first result relies on the fact that for any real $x$,

$$
f(x)=\exp \left(x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) \leqslant g(x)=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2},
$$

whereas that of the second one is based on the fact that for any real $x$,

$$
h(x)=\exp \left(x-\frac{x^{2}}{6}\right) \leqslant \ell(x)=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{3} .
$$

One can observe that for any real $x$,

$$
f(x) \leqslant h(x) \leqslant \ell(x) \leqslant g(x) .
$$

## Two elementary inequalities



## Two keystone lemma

## Lemma

Let $X$ be a square integrable random variable with mean zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Then, for any real $t$,

$$
L(t)=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t X-\frac{t^{2}}{6} X^{2}\right)\right] \leqslant 1+\frac{t^{2}}{3} \sigma^{2} .
$$

## Lemma

For any real $t$ and for all $n \geqslant 0$, denote

$$
V_{n}(t)=\exp \left(t M_{n}-\frac{t^{2}}{6}[M]_{n}-\frac{t^{2}}{3}<M>_{n}\right) .
$$

Then, $\left(V_{n}(t)\right)$ is a positive supermartingale such that $\mathbb{E}\left[V_{n}(t)\right] \leqslant 1$.

## Heavy on left or right

Definition. Let $X$ be a centered random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

- $X$ is heavy on left if, for any positive a, $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{a}(X)\right] \leqslant 0$,
- $X$ is heavy on right if, for any positive $a, \mathbb{E}\left[T_{a}(X)\right] \geqslant 0$.
where

$$
\boldsymbol{T}_{a}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccl}
a & \text { if } & x \geqslant a \\
\boldsymbol{x} & \text { if } & -a \leqslant \boldsymbol{x} \leqslant a \\
-\boldsymbol{a} & \text { if } & x \leqslant-a
\end{array}\right.
$$

$X$ is symmetric $\Longleftrightarrow X$ is heavy on left and on right.

## Heavy on left or right

Denote by $F$ the distribution function of $X$ and

$$
H(a)=\int_{0}^{a} F(-x)-(1-F(x)) d x=-\mathbb{E}\left[T_{a}(X)\right]
$$

- $X$ is heavy on left if, for any positive $a, H(a) \geqslant 0$,
- $X$ is heavy on right if, for any positive $a, H(a) \leqslant 0$.
$X$ is symmetric $\Longleftrightarrow$ For any positive $a, H(a)=0$.


## Centered Bernoulli $\mathcal{B}(p)$




## Centered Binomial $\mathcal{B}(2, p)$




## Centered Binomial $\mathcal{B}(2, p)$




## Centered Geometric $\mathcal{G}(p)$



## Centered Exponential $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$



## Centered Pareto $\mathcal{P}(a, \lambda)$



## Centered Gamma $\mathcal{G}(a, \lambda)$

$a=2$, lambda=1


## Martingales heavy on left or right

Definition. We say that ( $M_{n}$ ) is conditionally heavy on left if, for all $n \geqslant 1$ and for any positive $a$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{a}\left(\Delta M_{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] \leqslant 0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

## Theorem (B-Touati, 2008)

If $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally heavy on left, then for any positive $x$ and $y$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geqslant x,[M]_{n} \leqslant y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 y}\right) .
$$

$\longrightarrow$ De la Peña's inequality holds true without the assumption that $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally symmetric.

## Self-normalized martingales

## Theorem (B-Touati, 2008)

If $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally heavy on left, then for any positive $x$ and $y$, and for all $a \geqslant 0$ and $b>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x\right) \leqslant \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2}}{2}[M]_{n}\right)\right)\right]}
$$



## Self-normalized martingales

## Theorem (B-Touati, 2008)

If $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally heavy on left, then for any positive $x$ and $y$, and for all $a \geqslant 0$ and $b>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x\right) \leqslant \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2}}{2}[M]_{n}\right)\right)\right]} \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x,[M]_{n} \geqslant y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2} y}{2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$



## Self-normalized martingales

## Theorem (B-Touati, 2008)

If $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is conditionally heavy on left, then for any positive $x$ and $y$, and for all $a \geqslant 0$ and $b>0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x\right) \leqslant \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2}}{2}[M]_{n}\right)\right)\right]} \\
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a+b[M]_{n}} \geqslant x,[M]_{n} \geqslant y\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-x^{2}\left(a b+\frac{b^{2} y}{2}\right)\right), \\
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{[M]_{n}} \geqslant x\right) \leqslant \inf _{p>1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-(p-1) \frac{x^{2}}{2}[M]_{n}\right)\right]\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Two keystone lemmas

## Lemma

For a random variable $X$ and for any real $t$, let

$$
L(t)=\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t X-\frac{t^{2}}{2} X^{2}\right)\right]
$$

- $X$ is heavy on left $\Longrightarrow$ For any positive $t, L(t) \leqslant 1$,
- $X$ is heavy on right $\Longrightarrow$ For any negative $t, L(t) \leqslant 1$,
- $X$ is symmetric $\Longrightarrow$ For any real $t, L(t) \leqslant 1$.


## Lemma

For any real $t$ and for all $n \geqslant 0$, denote

$$
W_{n}(t)=\exp \left(t M_{n}-\frac{t^{2}}{2}[M]_{n}\right)
$$

Then, $\left(W_{n}(t)\right)$ is a positive supermartingale such that $\mathbb{E}\left[W_{n}(t)\right] \leqslant 1$.

## Outline

(1) Concentration inequalities for sums

- Hoeffding's inequality
- Bernstein's inequality
(2) Concentration inequalities for martingales
- Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality
- Bernstein's inequality
- De la Peña's inequalities
- Two-sided exponential inequalities
- One-sided exponential inequalities
(3) Statistical applications
- Autoregressive process
- Branching process
- Random permutations


## Autoregressive process

Consider the stable autoregressive process

$$
X_{n+1}=\theta X_{n}+\varepsilon_{n+1}, \quad|\theta|<1
$$

where $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ is iid $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with positive variance $\sigma^{2}$ and the initial state $X_{0}$ is independent of $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ with $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2} /\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)\right)$ distribution. Denote by $\widehat{\theta}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_{n}$ the least squares and the Yule-Walker estimators of $\theta$

$$
\widehat{\theta}_{n}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} X_{k-1}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k-1}^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\theta}_{n}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} X_{k-1}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} X_{k}^{2}}
$$

$$
a=\frac{\theta-\sqrt{\theta^{2}+8}}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{\theta+\sqrt{\theta^{2}+8}}{4}
$$

## Theorem (B-Gamboa-Rouault, 1997)

- $\left(\widehat{\theta}_{n}\right)$ satisfies an LDP with rate function

$$
J(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+\theta^{2}-2 \theta x}{1-x^{2}}\right) & \text { if } x \in[a, b] \\ \log |\theta-2 x| & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- $\left(\tilde{\theta}_{n}\right)$ satisfies an LDP with rate function


$$
a=\frac{\theta-\sqrt{\theta^{2}+8}}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{\theta+\sqrt{\theta^{2}+8}}{4}
$$

## Theorem (B-Gamboa-Rouault, 1997)

- $\left(\widehat{\theta}_{n}\right)$ satisfies an LDP with rate function

$$
J(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+\theta^{2}-2 \theta x}{1-x^{2}}\right) & \text { if } x \in[a, b] \\ \log |\theta-2 x| & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- $\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}\right)$ satisfies an LDP with rate function

$$
I(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+\theta^{2}-2 \theta x}{1-x^{2}}\right) & \text { if } x \in]-1,1[ \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Statistical applications

## Least squares and Yule-Walker



## Corollary (B-Touati, 2008)

For all $n \geqslant 1$ and for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{2\left(1+y_{x}\right)}\right)
$$

where $y_{x}$ is the unique positive solution of

$$
(1+y) \log (1+y)-y=x^{2}
$$

$\longrightarrow$ For any $0<x<1 / 2$, we have $y_{x}<2 x$, which implies that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{n x^{2}}{2(1+2 x)}\right)
$$

## Branching process

Consider the Galton-Watson process starting from $X_{0}=1$

$$
X_{n+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{X_{n}} Y_{n, k}
$$

where $\left(Y_{n, k}\right)$ is iid taking values in $\mathbb{N}$, with finite mean $m>1$ and positive variance $\sigma^{2}$. We assume that the set of extinction of $\left(X_{n}\right)$ is negligeable. Let $\widetilde{m}_{n}$ and $\widehat{m}_{n}$ be the Lotka-Nagaev and the Harris estimators of $m$

$$
\tilde{m}_{n}=\frac{X_{n}}{X_{n-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{m}_{n}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k-1}}
$$

Let $L$ be the cumulant generating function

$$
L(t)=\log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t\left(Y_{n, k}-m\right)\right)\right]
$$

and denote by I its Cramér transform

$$
I(x)=\sup _{-c \leqslant t \leqslant c}(x t-L(t))
$$

## Corollary (B-Touati, 2008)

Assume that $L$ is finite on $[-c, c]$ with $c>0$. Then, for all $n \geqslant 1$ and for any positive $x$, if $J(x)=\min (I(x), I(-x))$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widetilde{m}_{n}-m\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-J(x) X_{n-1}\right)\right] \\
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widetilde{m}_{n}-m\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \inf _{p>1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-(p-1) J(x) X_{n-1}\right)\right]\right)^{1 / p}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Corollary (B-Touati, 2008)

Assume that $L$ is finite on $[-c, c]$ with $c>0$. Then, for all $n \geqslant 1$ and for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{m}_{n}-m\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \inf _{p>1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(-(p-1) J(x) S_{n-1}\right)\right]\right)^{1 / p}
$$

$$
\text { where } S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}
$$

$\longrightarrow$ If the offspring distribution is Geometric $\mathcal{G}(p)$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widetilde{m}_{n}-m\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant \frac{2 p^{n} \exp (-J(x))}{p(1-\exp (-J(x)))}
$$

## Random permutations

Let $\left(a_{n}(i, j)\right)$ be an $n \times n$ array of real numbers from $\left[-m_{a}, m_{a}\right]$ where $m_{a}>0$. Let $\pi_{n}$ be chosen uniformly at random from the set of all permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Denote

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n}\left(i, \pi_{n}(i)\right) .
$$

We clearly have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n}(i, j) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{n}^{2}(i, j)
$$

where $d_{n}(i, j)=a_{n}(i, j)-a_{n}(i, *)-a_{n}(*, j)+a_{n}(*, *)$. In addition, under standard conditions,

$$
\frac{S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}) .
$$

## Random permutations

## Theorem (Delyon, 2015)

For any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 4 \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{16\left(\theta v_{n}+x m_{a} / 3\right)}\right)
$$

where $\theta$ is an explicit constant and

$$
v_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n}^{2}(i, j)
$$

$\longrightarrow$ It was proven by Chatterjee that for any positive $x$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-E\left[S_{n}\right]\right| \geqslant x\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4 m_{a} \mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]+2 x m_{a}}\right)
$$

This upper bound has better constants but $v_{n}$ is replaced with $m_{a} \mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}\right]$.

