

Num. #3: Hyperbolic PDE equation : 1D conservation law - Correction

The programs are written with the MATLAB software.

For the exercise, the following functions are needed

- **Upwind conservative method :**

```
%% Upwind method
% T is the final time, dt the time step
% L is the length of the interval, dx the space step
% uinit is the initial value (column vector),
% a is the velocity of the transport equation
%% Periodic boundary conditions - periodic function a
function[ufinal]=upwind(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a)
    %% Time discretization
    time=0:dt:T;
    Nt=length(time);
    %% Initial datum - We calculate on N-1 points
    u=uinit(1:end-1);
    %% upwind method
    for i=1:Nt
        %% Periodic boundary conditions
        %% Computation of vectors up(i)=u_{i+1}, um(i)=u_{i-1}
        up=[u(2:end);u(1)];
        um=[u(end);u(1:end-1)];
        %% computation of the velocities
        vel=a((u+up)/2);
        velm=a((um+u)/2);
        %% computation of flux
        Fp=zeros(size(u));Fm=zeros(size(u));
        Fp(vel>=0)=f(u(vel>=0));
        Fp(vel<0)=f(up(vel<0));
        Fm(velm>=0)=f(um(velm>=0));
        Fm(velm<0)=f(u(velm<0));
        u=u-dt/dx*(Fp-Fm);
    end
    ufinal=[u;u(1)];
```

- **Roe method :**

```
%% Roe method
```

```
%% Periodic boundary conditions - periodic function a
function[ufinal]=Roe(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a)
    %% Time discretization
    time=0:dt:T;
    Nt=length(time);
    %% Initial datum - We calculate on N-1 points
    u=unit(1:end-1);
    %% Roe method
    for i=1:Nt
        %% Periodic boundary conditions
        %% Computation of vectors up(i)=u_{i+1}, um(i)=u_{i-1}
        up=[u(2:end);u(1)];
        um=[u(end);u(1:end-1)];
        %% computation of the velocities
        vel=a(u);
        indices=(u~=up);
        vel(indices)=(f(u(indices))-f(up(indices)))./(u(indices)-up(indices));
        velm=a(um);
        indicesm=(um~=u);
        velm(indicesm)=(f(um(indicesm))-f(u(indicesm)))./(um(indicesm)-u(indicesm));
        %% computation of flux
        Fp=zeros(size(u));Fm=zeros(size(u));
        Fp(vel>=0)=f(u(vel>=0));
        Fp(vel<0)=f(up(vel<0));
        Fm(velm>=0)=f(um(velm>=0));
        Fm(velm<0)=f(u(velm<0));
        u=u-dt/dx*(Fp-Fm);
    end
    ufinal=[u;u(1)];
```

- **Engquist-Osher method :**

```
%% Engquist Osher method
%% Periodic boundary conditions - periodic function a
%% equation = 'Burgers'
function[ufinal]=EngquistOsher(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a)
%% For Burgers equation
    fpp=inline('x.*(x+abs(x))/4');
    fmm=inline('x.^2/2-x.*(x+abs(x))/4');
    %% Time discretization
    time=0:dt:T;
```

```
Nt=length(time);
%% Initial datum - We calculate on N-1 points
u=uinit(1:end-1);
%% Engquist-Osher method
for i=1:Nt
    %% Periodic boundary conditions
    %% Computation of vectors up(i)=u_{i+1}, um(i)=u_{i-1}
    up=[u(2:end);u(1)];
    um=[u(end);u(1:end-1)];
    %% computation of flux
    Fp=fpp(u)+fmm(up);
    Fm=fpp(um)+fmm(u);
    u=u-dt/dx*(Fp-Fm);
end
ufinal=[u;u(1)];
```

- **Lax-Friedrichs method :**

```
%% Lax Friedrichs method
%% Periodic boundary conditions - periodic function a
function[ufinal]=LaxFriedrichs(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a)
    %% Time discretization
    time=0:dt:T;
    Nt=length(time);
    %% Initial datum - We calculate on N-1 points
    u=uinit(1:end-1);
    %% Lax-Friedrichs method
    for i=1:Nt
        %% Periodic boundary conditions
        %% Computation of vectors up(i)=u_{i+1}, um(i)=u_{i-1}
        up=[u(2:end);u(1)];
        um=[u(end);u(1:end-1)];
        %% computation of flux
        Fp=(f(u)+f(up))/2-dx*(up-u)/2/dt;
        Fm=(f(um)+f(u))/2-dx*(u-um)/2/dt;
        u=u-dt/dx*(Fp-Fm);
    end
    ufinal=[u;u(1)];
```

- **Rusanov (or Local Lax-Friedrichs) method :**

```
%% Rusanov method
%% Periodic boundary conditions - periodic function a
function[ufinal]=Rusanov(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a)
    %% Time discretization
    time=0:dt:T;
    Nt=length(time);
%% Initial datum - We calculate on N-1 points
    u=unit(1:end-1);
%% Rusanov method
    for i=1:Nt
        %% Periodic boundary conditions
        %% Computation of vectors up(i)=u_{i+1}, um(i)=u_{i-1}
        up=[u(2:end);u(1)];
        um=[u(end);u(1:end-1)];
        %% velocity velp(i)=a_{i+1/2} and velm(i)=a_{i-1/2}
        vel=max(abs(a(u)),abs(a(up)));
        velm=max(abs(a(um)),abs(a(u)));
        %% computation of flux
        Fp=(f(u)+f(up))/2-vel.*(up-u)/2;
        Fm=(f(um)+f(u))/2-velm.*(u-um)/2;
        u=u-dt/dx*(Fp-Fm);
    end
    ufinal=[u;u(1)]
```

- **Lax-Wendroff method :**

```
%% Lax Wendroff method
%% Periodic boundary conditions - periodic function a
function[ufinal]=LaxWendroff(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a)
    %% Time discretization
    time=0:dt:T;
    Nt=length(time);
%% Initial datum - We calculate on N-1 points
    u=unit(1:end-1);
%% Lax-Wendroff method
    for i=1:Nt
        %% Periodic boundary conditions
        %% Computation of vectors up(i)=u_{i+1}, um(i)=u_{i-1}
        up=[u(2:end);u(1)];
```

```
    um=[u(end);u(1:end-1)];
    %% velocity velp(i)=a_{i+1/2} and velm(i)=a_{i-1/2}
    vel=a((up+u)/2);
    velm=a((u+um)/2);
    %% computation of flux
    Fp=(f(u)+f(up))/2-dt*vel.*(f(up)-f(u))/2/dx;
    Fm=(f(um)+f(u))/2-dt*velm.*(f(u)-f(um))/2/dx;
    u=u-dt/dx*(Fp-Fm);
end
ufinal=[u;u(1)];
```

- **Upwind non conservative method :**

```
%% Upwind non-conservative method
%% Periodic boundary conditions - periodic function a
function[ufinal]=upwindNC(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a)
    %% Time discretization
    time=0:dt:T;
    Nt=length(time);
    %% Initial datum - We calculate on N-1 points
    u=unit(1:end-1);
    %% upwind non conservative method
    for i=1:Nt
        %% Periodic boundary conditions
        %% Computation of vectors up(i)=u_{i+1}, um(i)=u_{i-1}
        up=[u(2:end);u(1)];
        um=[u(end);u(1:end-1)];
        %% Computation of the velocity
        vel=a(u);
        %% Computation of the solution
        u=u-dt/dx*((u-um).*(vel+abs(vel))+(up-u).*(vel-abs(vel)))/2;
    end
    ufinal=[u;u(1)];
```

Exercise

1. Compute the functions f^+ and f^- of the Engquist-Osher flux in the case of equation (2).
2. Implement the resolution of equation (2) using the seven methods (4) presented above, using time step $\Delta t = 0.04$ until time $T = 1$. We consider the interval $[0,5]$ with a space step $\Delta x = 0.1$ and we will use function (5c) as an initial datum. We take periodic boundary conditions.

```
clear;
clf;
% Space discretization
L=5;
dx=0.01;
space=(0:dx:L)';
% Time discretization
T=1;
dt=dx*0.95;
% initial datum 3
space1=space(space<1);
space2=space((space>=1)&(space<=2));
space3=space(space>2);
uinit=[zeros(size(space1));ones(size(space2)); zeros(size(space3))];
% flux function 1 and derivative = Burgers
f=inline('x.^2/2');
a=inline('x');
% Approximated solution
uUp=upwind(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uUp,'k');
hold on;
uRoe=Roe(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uRoe,'m');
uEO=EngquistOsher(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uEO,'b');
uLF=LaxFriedrichs(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uLF,'r');
uRus=Rusanov(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uRus,'c');
uLW=LaxWendroff(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uLW,'g');
uUpNC=upwindNC(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uUpNC,'y');
legend('upwind', 'Roe', 'Engquist- Osher', 'Lax Friedrichs', 'Rusanov', 'Lax Wendroff', 'upw
```

3. Compare the seven schemes in the case of the two other initial data (5a) and (5b) What is your conclusion? Choose one of these schemes and plot the evolution of the solution with time.

```
clear;clf;
% Space discretization
L=5;
```

```
dx=0.01;
space=(0:dx:L)';
% Time discretization
T=3;
dt=dx*0.95;
% Initial datum 1
%uinit=exp(-(space-2).^2/0.1);
%%% Initial datum 3
space1=space(space<1);
space2=space((space>=1)&(space<=2));
space3=space(space>2);
uinit=[zeros(size(space1));ones(size(space2)); zeros(size(space3))];
%% flux function 1 and derivative = Burgers
f=inline('x.^2/2');
a=inline('x');
% Approximated solution
uUp=upwind(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uUp,'k');
hold on;
uRoe=Roe(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uRoe,'m');
uEO=EngquistOsher(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uEO,'b');
uLF=LaxFriedrichs(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uLF,'r');
uRus=Rusanov(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uRus,'c');
uLW=LaxWendroff(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uLW,'g');
uUpNC=upwindNC(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uUpNC,'y');
legend('upwind', 'Roe', 'Engquist- Osher', 'Lax Friedrichs','Rusanov', 'Lax Wendroff','upw
clf;
u1=EngquistOsher(0.1,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
u2=EngquistOsher(0.4,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
u3=EngquistOsher(0.8,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
u4=EngquistOsher(1,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,u1);
hold on
plot(space,u2);
plot(space,u3);
```

```
plot(space,u4);  
legend('t=0.1','t=0.4','t=0.8','t=1');
```

4. Show the effect of the CFL condition on the stability of the various schemes.

```
clear;clf;  
% Space discretization  
L=5;  
dx=0.01;  
space=(0:dx:L)';  
% Time discretization  
T=1;  
%dt=dx*0.95;  
% dt=dx*2;  
dt=dx*0.5;  
% Initial datum 1  
uinit=exp(-(space-2).^2/0.1);  
% flux function 1 and derivative = Burgers  
f=inline('x.^2/2');  
a=inline('x');  
% Approximated solution  
uUp=upwind(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);  
plot(space,uUp,'k');  
hold on;  
uRoe=Roe(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);  
plot(space,uRoe,'m');  
uEO=EngquistOsher(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);  
plot(space,uEO,'b');  
uLF=LaxFriedrichs(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);  
plot(space,uLF,'r');  
uRus=Rusanov(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);  
plot(space,uRus,'c');  
uLW=LaxWendroff(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);  
plot(space,uLW,'g');  
uUpNC=upwindNC(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);  
plot(space,uUpNC,'y');  
legend('upwind','Roe','Engquist- Osher','Lax Friedrichs','Rusanov','Lax Wendroff','upw
```

5. Compare upwind conservative and upwind non-conservative scheme for equation (2) with initial datum (5c). What do you notice ?


```
clear;clf;
% Space discretization
L=5;
dx=0.01;
space=(0:dx:L)';
% Time discretization
T=1;
dt=dx*0.95;
% Initial datum 3
space1=space(space<1);
space2=space((space>=1)&(space<=2));
space3=space(space>2);
uinit=[zeros(size(space1));ones(size(space2)); zeros(size(space3))];
% flux function 1 and derivative = Burgers
f=inline('x.^2/2');
a=inline('x');
% Approximated solution
uUp=upwind(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uUp,'k');
hold on;
uUpNC=upwindNC(T,dt,L,dx,uinit,f,a);
plot(space,uUpNC,'y');
legend('upwind','upwind Non Conservative')
```

6. What are the results of Roe scheme with equation (2) and initial datum (5d). What is your interpretation? Do the other schemes have the same drawback?

```
clear;clf;
% Space discretization
L=5;
dx=0.01;
space=(0:dx:L)';
% Time discretization
T=1;
dt=dx*0.95;
% Initial datum 4
space1=space(space<1);
space2=space((space>=1)&(space<=2));
space3=space(space>2);
uinit=[-ones(size(space1));ones(size(space2)); -ones(size(space3))];
% flux function 1 and derivative = Burgers
```

```
f=inline('x.^2/2');
a=inline('x');
% Approximated solution
uRoe=Roe(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a);
plot(space,uRoe,'m');
hold on;
uUp=upwind(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a);
plot(space,uUp,'k');
uEO=EngquistOsher(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a);
plot(space,uEO,'b');
uLF=LaxFriedrichs(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a);
plot(space,uLF,'r');
uRus=Rusanov(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a);
plot(space,uRus,'c');
uLW=LaxWendroff(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a);
plot(space,uLW,'g');
uUpNC=upwindNC(T,dt,L,dx,unit,f,a);
plot(space,uUpNC,'y');
```