Total positivity: history, basics, and modern connections

Apoorva Khare

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore Analysis & Probability Research Group, Bangalore

PSD and TP matrices

Definition. A real symmetric matrix A is *positive definite* if any of the following equivalent conditions are satisfied.

- The quadratic form of A is positive definite: $u^T A u > 0$ for all nonzero vectors $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- 2 All principal minors of A are positive.
- 3 All eigenvalues of A are positive.

These matrices are ubiquitous in the applied sciences (correlation/covariance matrices), but also in analysis: Pick–Nevanlinna theory, the Hessian at local minima, ...

PSD and TP matrices

Definition. A real symmetric matrix A is *positive definite* if any of the following equivalent conditions are satisfied.

- The quadratic form of A is positive definite: T = 0
 - $u^T A u > 0$ for all nonzero vectors $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- **2** All principal minors of A are positive.
- **③**All eigenvalues of A are positive.

These matrices are ubiquitous in the applied sciences (correlation/covariance matrices), but also in analysis: Pick–Nevanlinna theory, the Hessian at local minima, \ldots

Definition. A rectangular matrix is *totally positive (TP)* if all minors are positive. (Similarly, totally non-negative (TN).)

Thus all entries > 0, all 2×2 minors $> 0, \ldots$

These matrices occur widely in mathematics: analysis, probability and statitics, differential equations, interpolation theory, matrix theory, representation theory, cluster algebras, integrable systems, combinatorics, ...

Totally positive matrices in mathematics

TP and TN matrices occur in

- analysis and differential equations (Aissen, Edrei, Schoenberg, Pólya, Loewner, Whitney)
- probability and statistics (Efron, Karlin, Pitman, Proschan, Rinott)
- interpolation theory and splines (Curry, Schoenberg)
- Gabor analysis (Gröchenig, Stöckler)
- interacting particle systems (Gantmacher, Krein)
- matrix theory (Ando, Cryer, Fallat, Garloff, Johnson, Pinkus, Sokal)
- representation theory (Lusztig, Postnikov)
- cluster algebras (Berenstein, Fomin, Zelevinsky)
- integrable systems (Kodama, Williams)
- quadratic algebras (Borger, Davydov, Grinberg, Hô Hai, Skryabin)
- combinatorics (Brenti, Lindström-Gessel-Viennot, Skandera, Sturmfels)

:

Theorem (folklore)

Suppose $A_{n \times n}$ is a real symmetric matrix. The following are equivalent.

- 1 All principal minors of A are > 0 (or ≥ 0),
 - *i.e.* A *is positive (semi)definite.*
- 2 All eigenvalues of (all principal submatrices of) A are > 0 (or ≥ 0).

Theorem (folklore)

Suppose $A_{n \times n}$ is a real symmetric matrix. The following are equivalent.

- 1 All principal minors of A are > 0 (or ≥ 0),
 - i.e. A is positive (semi)definite.

2 All eigenvalues of (all principal submatrices of) A are > 0 (or ≥ 0).

Theorem (Gantmacher–Krein, Compos. Math. 1937)

Suppose $A_{n \times n}$ is a real square matrix. The following are equivalent.

- 1 All minors of A are > 0 (or ≥ 0),
 - *i.e.* A *is totally positive (or totally non-negative).*
- 2 All eigenvalues of all square submatrices of A are > 0 (or ≥ 0).

The proof uses Perron's theorem on positive matrices + Kronecker's theorem on compound matrices:

Proof for totally positive matrices: The *r*th compound matrix $C_r(A)$ is the $\binom{n}{r} \times \binom{n}{r}$ matrix, with $C_r(A)_{J,K} := \det A_{J \times K}$, where |J| = |K| = r. (The subsets $J \subset [n]$ are lexicographically ordered.)

Properties of compound matrices:

- $C_0(A) := (1), \quad C_1(A) = A, \text{ and } C_n(A) = \det(A).$
- If A is upper/lower triangular, diagonal, or symmetric, then so is $C_r(A)$.
- Cauchy–Binet formula: $C_r(AB) = C_r(A)C_r(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Proof for totally positive matrices: The *r*th compound matrix $C_r(A)$ is the $\binom{n}{r} \times \binom{n}{r}$ matrix, with $C_r(A)_{J,K} := \det A_{J \times K}$, where |J| = |K| = r. (The subsets $J \subset [n]$ are lexicographically ordered.)

Properties of compound matrices:

- $C_0(A) := (1), \quad C_1(A) = A, \text{ and } C_n(A) = \det(A).$
- If A is upper/lower triangular, diagonal, or symmetric, then so is $C_r(A)$.
- Cauchy–Binet formula: $C_r(AB) = C_r(A)C_r(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Corollary: If $A = MJM^{-1}$ with J the Jordan canonical form, then $C_r(A) = C_r(M)C_r(J)C_r(M)^{-1}$, so the eigenvalues of $C_r(A)$ are those of $C_r(J)$, i.e. r-fold products of eigenvalues of A.

Proof for totally positive matrices: The *r*th compound matrix $C_r(A)$ is the $\binom{n}{r} \times \binom{n}{r}$ matrix, with $C_r(A)_{J,K} := \det A_{J \times K}$, where |J| = |K| = r. (The subsets $J \subset [n]$ are lexicographically ordered.)

Properties of compound matrices:

- $C_0(A) := (1), \quad C_1(A) = A, \text{ and } C_n(A) = \det(A).$
- If A is upper/lower triangular, diagonal, or symmetric, then so is $C_r(A)$.
- Cauchy–Binet formula: $C_r(AB) = C_r(A)C_r(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Corollary: If $A = MJM^{-1}$ with J the Jordan canonical form, then $C_r(A) = C_r(M)C_r(J)C_r(M)^{-1}$, so the eigenvalues of $C_r(A)$ are those of $C_r(J)$, i.e. r-fold products of eigenvalues of A.

- Number the eigenvalues of $A_{n \times n}$ via: $|\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_n|$.
- Now if A is TP, every C_r(A) has positive entries, so a Perron eigenvalue, which must be λ₁ ··· λ_r > 0. These inequalities give: λ_j ∈ (0,∞) ∀j.

Proof for totally positive matrices: The *r*th compound matrix $C_r(A)$ is the $\binom{n}{r} \times \binom{n}{r}$ matrix, with $C_r(A)_{J,K} := \det A_{J \times K}$, where |J| = |K| = r. (The subsets $J \subset [n]$ are lexicographically ordered.)

Properties of compound matrices:

- $C_0(A) := (1), \quad C_1(A) = A, \text{ and } C_n(A) = \det(A).$
- If A is upper/lower triangular, diagonal, or symmetric, then so is $C_r(A)$.
- Cauchy–Binet formula: $C_r(AB) = C_r(A)C_r(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Corollary: If $A = MJM^{-1}$ with J the Jordan canonical form, then $C_r(A) = C_r(M)C_r(J)C_r(M)^{-1}$, so the eigenvalues of $C_r(A)$ are those of $C_r(J)$, i.e. r-fold products of eigenvalues of A.

- Number the eigenvalues of $A_{n \times n}$ via: $|\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_n|$.
- Now if A is TP, every C_r(A) has positive entries, so a Perron eigenvalue, which must be λ₁ ··· λ_r > 0. These inequalities give: λ_j ∈ (0,∞) ∀j.
- Since each Perron eigenvalue is simple, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_n > 0$.

Examples of TP/TN matrices

- The lower-triangular matrix $A = (\mathbf{1}_{j \ge k})_{j,k=1}^n$ is TN.
- **2** Generalized Vandermonde matrices are TP: if $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ and $y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n$ are real, then

 $\det(x_j^{y_k})_{j,k=1}^n > 0.$

This uses Descartes' rule of signs (1637).

Examples of TP/TN matrices

- The lower-triangular matrix $A = (\mathbf{1}_{j \ge k})_{j,k=1}^n$ is TN.
- **3** Generalized Vandermonde matrices are TP: if $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ and $y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n$ are real, then

$$\det(x_j^{y_k})_{j,k=1}^n > 0.$$

This uses Descartes' rule of signs (1637).

(Pólya:) The Gaussian kernel is TP: given $\sigma > 0$ and scalars

$$x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n, \qquad y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n,$$

the matrix $G[\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}] := (e^{-\sigma(x_j - y_k)^2})_{j,k=1}^n$ is TP.

Examples of TP/TN matrices

- The lower-triangular matrix $A = (\mathbf{1}_{j \ge k})_{j,k=1}^n$ is TN.
- **3** Generalized Vandermonde matrices are TP: if $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ and $y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n$ are real, then

$$\det(x_j^{y_k})_{j,k=1}^n > 0.$$

This uses Descartes' rule of signs (1637).

(Pólya:) The Gaussian kernel is TP: given $\sigma > 0$ and scalars

$$x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n, \qquad y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n,$$

the matrix $G[\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}] := (e^{-\sigma(x_j - y_k)^2})_{j,k=1}^n$ is TP.

Proof: It suffices to show $\det G[\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}] > 0$. Now factorize:

$$G[\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}] = \text{diag}(e^{-\sigma x_j^2})_{j=1}^n \cdot ((e^{2\sigma x_j})^{y_k})_{j,k=1}^n \cdot \text{diag}(e^{-\sigma y_k^2})_{k=1}^n.$$

The middle matrix is a generalized Vandermonde matrix, so all three factors have positive determinants.

Recall: every positive semidefinite matrix A can be approximated by a sequence of positive definite matrices: $A+\varepsilon \mathrm{Id},\ \varepsilon\to 0^+.$ (Consider the eigenvalues.)

In other words, positive definite matrices are dense in psd matrices.

Recall: every positive semidefinite matrix A can be approximated by a sequence of positive definite matrices: $A + \varepsilon Id$, $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. (Consider the eigenvalues.)

In other words, positive definite matrices are dense in psd matrices.

A similar density was discovered by (Anne M.) Whitney:

Theorem (Whitney, J. d'Analyse Math. 1952)

The set of $m \times n \ TP_r$ matrices is dense in the set of $m \times n \ TN_r$ matrices.

Recall: every positive semidefinite matrix A can be approximated by a sequence of positive definite matrices: $A + \varepsilon Id$, $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. (Consider the eigenvalues.)

In other words, positive definite matrices are dense in psd matrices.

A similar density was discovered by (Anne M.) Whitney:

Theorem (Whitney, J. d'Analyse Math. 1952)

The set of $m \times n \ TP_r$ matrices is dense in the set of $m \times n \ TN_r$ matrices.

Whitney's proof goes through verbatim for symmetric matrices. It also goes through for 'continuous' versions, i.e. *kernels*.

Totally positive / non-negative kernels

Definition: Given totally ordered sets X, Y and a map ('kernel') $K: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$, we say that K is *totally positive of order* r (TP_r) if given any $p \leq r$ and arguments

 $x_1 < \cdots < x_p$ in X, $y_1 < \cdots < y_p$ in Y,

the determinant $det(K(x_j, y_k))_{j,k=1}^p > 0.$

Definition: Given totally ordered sets X, Y and a map ('kernel') $K: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$, we say that K is *totally positive of order* r (TP_r) if given any $p \leq r$ and arguments

$$x_1 < \cdots < x_p$$
 in X , $y_1 < \cdots < y_p$ in Y ,

the determinant $\det(K(x_j, y_k))_{j,k=1}^p > 0.$

- We say K is totally positive if K is TP_r for all r.
- Similarly for TN_r and TN kernels.

Examples:

(1) X, Y are finite $\rightsquigarrow TP_r$ and TN_r matrices.

Definition: Given totally ordered sets X, Y and a map ('kernel') $K: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$, we say that K is *totally positive of order* r (TP_r) if given any $p \leq r$ and arguments

$$x_1 < \cdots < x_p$$
 in X , $y_1 < \cdots < y_p$ in Y ,

the determinant $\det(K(x_j, y_k))_{j,k=1}^p > 0.$

- We say K is totally positive if K is TP_r for all r.
- Similarly for TN_r and TN kernels.

Examples:

(1) X, Y are finite $\rightsquigarrow TP_r$ and TN_r matrices.

(2) Say $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. The kernel $K(x, y) := e^{xy}$ is TP. (Why?)

(3) Say $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. The kernels $K_{\pm}(x, y) := \exp(\pm (x \pm y)^2)$ are TP.

Structured kernels: I. Hankel kernels

The kernel $K_+(x,y) := \exp((x+y)^2)$ is an example of a continuous Hankel kernel on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$,

i.e. there exists f such that K(x, y) = f(x + y).

Structured kernels: I. Hankel kernels

The kernel $K_+(x,y) := \exp((x+y)^2)$ is an example of a continuous Hankel kernel on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, i.e. there exists f such that K(x,y) = f(x+y).

Theorem (Widder, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1934)

Suppose $X\subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval. Every continuous Hankel TN kernel on $X\times X$ is of the form

$$K(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-(x+y)u) \, d\sigma(u), \qquad x, y \in X$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a non-decreasing function. Moreover, K is TP if and only if the measure $d\sigma$ has infinite support.

Structured kernels: I. Hankel kernels

The kernel $K_+(x,y) := \exp((x+y)^2)$ is an example of a continuous Hankel kernel on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, i.e. there exists f such that K(x,y) = f(x+y).

Theorem (Widder, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1934)

Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval. Every continuous Hankel TN kernel on $X \times X$ is of the form

$$K(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-(x+y)u) \, d\sigma(u), \qquad x, y \in X$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a non-decreasing function. Moreover, K is TP if and only if the measure $d\sigma$ has infinite support.

Thus, 'Whitney' density also holds for such kernels: K(x, y) is the limit of

$$K_{\varepsilon}(x,y) := K(x,y) + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(x+y)u} du, \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0^{+}.$$

Similarly, the Gaussian kernel $K_{-}(x, y) := \exp(-(x - y)^2)$ is TP from above. More generally, a *totally non-negative function* is $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the Toeplitz kernel

$$T_{\Lambda}(x,y) := \Lambda(x-y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

is totally non-negative.

'Representative' examples:

 $(x) = e^{-x^2}.$

Similarly, the Gaussian kernel $K_{-}(x, y) := \exp(-(x - y)^2)$ is TP from above. More generally, a *totally non-negative function* is $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the Toeplitz kernel

$$T_{\Lambda}(x,y) := \Lambda(x-y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

is totally non-negative.

'Representative' examples:

$$(x) = e^{-x^2}.$$

2 $\Lambda(x) = c\mathbf{1}(x = a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \ge 0$. (Draw any submatrix from T_{Λ} ; it is either a diagonal matrix or has a zero row/column.)

Similarly, the Gaussian kernel $K_{-}(x, y) := \exp(-(x - y)^2)$ is TP from above. More generally, a *totally non-negative function* is $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the Toeplitz kernel

$$T_{\Lambda}(x,y) := \Lambda(x-y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

is totally non-negative.

'Representative' examples:

$$(x) = e^{-x^2}.$$

3 $\Lambda(x) = c\mathbf{1}(x = a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \ge 0$. (Draw any submatrix from T_{Λ} ; it is either a diagonal matrix or has a zero row/column.)

3
$$\Lambda(x) = e^{ax+b}$$
 is TN . Indeed,

$$T_{\Lambda}((x_j, y_k)) = (e^{ax_j - ay_k + b})_{j,k \ge 1}$$

and this has rank-one, so all 'larger' minors vanish (hence are ≥ 0).

Similarly, the Gaussian kernel $K_{-}(x, y) := \exp(-(x - y)^2)$ is TP from above. More generally, a *totally non-negative function* is $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the Toeplitz kernel

$$T_{\Lambda}(x,y) := \Lambda(x-y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

is totally non-negative.

'Representative' examples:

$$(x) = e^{-x^2}.$$

3 $\Lambda(x) = c\mathbf{1}(x = a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \ge 0$. (Draw any submatrix from T_{Λ} ; it is either a diagonal matrix or has a zero row/column.)

3)
$$\Lambda(x) = e^{ax+b}$$
 is TN . Indeed,

$$T_{\Lambda}((x_j, y_k)) = (e^{ax_j - ay_k + b})_{j,k \ge 1}$$

and this has rank-one, so all 'larger' minors vanish (hence are ≥ 0). ($\Lambda(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x \geq 0}$. (Can be verified to be TN by explicit computation.) Note: the last two examples are not integrable functions.

Pólya frequency functions

A function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *Pólya frequency function* if (a) it is integrable, (b) it is nonzero at two points, and (c) the associated Toeplitz kernel T_{Λ} is TN.

A function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *Pólya frequency function* if (a) it is integrable, (b) it is nonzero at two points, and (c) the associated Toeplitz kernel T_{Λ} is TN.

Pólya frequency functions have a beautiful structure theory, developed by Schoenberg and others. They connect to real function theory, PDEs, Gabor analysis, \ldots

Examples:

- **1** The Gaussian kernel e^{-x^2} .
- 2 While $\mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0}$ is not integrable, $e^{-x}\mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0}$ is a Pólya frequency function.

A function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *Pólya frequency function* if (a) it is integrable, (b) it is nonzero at two points, and (c) the associated Toeplitz kernel T_{Λ} is TN.

Pólya frequency functions have a beautiful structure theory, developed by Schoenberg and others. They connect to real function theory, PDEs, Gabor analysis, \ldots

Examples:

- **1** The Gaussian kernel e^{-x^2} .
- 2 While $\mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0}$ is not integrable, $e^{-x}\mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0}$ is a Pólya frequency function. This is because if $\Lambda(x)$ is a TN function, then so is $\Delta(x) := e^{ax+b}\Lambda(x)$, since

$$(T_{\Delta}(x_j, y_k))_{j,k=1}^n = \operatorname{diag}(e^{ax_j+b})_{j=1}^n (T_{\Lambda}(x_j, y_k))_{j,k=1}^n \operatorname{diag}(e^{-ay_k})_{k=1}^n,$$

and so the left-side has determinant ≥ 0 .

Totally non-negative matrices/kernels have the variation diminishing property:

Theorem (Schoenberg, Math. Z. 1930, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

If a matrix A_{n×m} is TN, then S⁻(Ax) ≤ S⁻(x) for all x ∈ ℝ^m.
 ('Variation' denotes the number of sign changes in the values taken by the vector.)

Totally non-negative matrices/kernels have the variation diminishing property:

Theorem (Schoenberg, Math. Z. 1930, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

- If a matrix A_{n×m} is TN, then S⁻(Ax) ≤ S⁻(x) for all x ∈ ℝ^m.
 ('Variation' denotes the number of sign changes in the values taken by the vector.)
- (Continuous version: Now a Pólya frequency function is thought of as a *kernel*, to integrate against. And the 'variation' denotes the (possibly infinite) number of sign changes in the values of a function.)
 If f : ℝ → ℝ is integrable on all finite intervals, and Λ is a PF function,

$$S^{-}(g) \leq S^{-}(f),$$
 where $g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda(x-t)f(t) dt.$

Totally non-negative matrices/kernels have the variation diminishing property:

Theorem (Schoenberg, Math. Z. 1930, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

- If a matrix A_{n×m} is TN, then S⁻(Ax) ≤ S⁻(x) for all x ∈ ℝ^m.
 ('Variation' denotes the number of sign changes in the values taken by the vector.)
- (Continuous version: Now a Pólya frequency function is thought of as a *kernel*, to integrate against. And the 'variation' denotes the (possibly infinite) number of sign changes in the values of a function.)
 If f : ℝ → ℝ is integrable on all finite intervals, and Λ is a PF function,

$$S^{-}(g) \leq S^{-}(f),$$
 where $g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda(x-t)f(t) dt.$

This has a 'discrete' variant for infinite sequences - discussed next.

Discrete version: Pólya frequency *sequences.* These are sequences $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, such that for any **integers**

 $l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_n, \qquad m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_n,$

the determinant $\det(a_{l_j-m_k})_{j,k=1}^n \ge 0$.

Discrete version: Pólya frequency *sequences*. These are sequences $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, such that for any **integers**

 $l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_n, \qquad m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_n,$

the determinant $\det(a_{l_j-m_k})_{j,k=1}^n \ge 0$.

In other words, these are bi-infinite Toeplitz matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & \cdots \\ \cdots & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

which are totally non-negative.

History of total positivity / variation diminution: I

• The term 'variation diminishing property' was coined by Pólya, with 'variation' denoting the number of sign changes in a vector/function.

History of total positivity / variation diminution: I

- The term 'variation diminishing property' was coined by Pólya, with 'variation' denoting the number of sign changes in a vector/function.
- This was in use since Descartes' rule of signs. E.g. in 1883, Laguerre proved several variants of the rule of signs. A sample result is: Suppose f(x) is a real polynomial. Given $\gamma \ge 0$, the number of variations of the power series $e^{\gamma x} f(x)$ is non-increasing in γ , and is always bounded below by the number of positive roots of f.

History of total positivity / variation diminution: I

- The term 'variation diminishing property' was coined by Pólya, with 'variation' denoting the number of sign changes in a vector/function.
- This was in use since Descartes' rule of signs. E.g. in 1883, Laguerre proved several variants of the rule of signs. A sample result is: Suppose f(x) is a real polynomial. Given $\gamma \ge 0$, the number of variations of the power series $e^{\gamma x} f(x)$ is non-increasing in γ , and is always bounded below by the number of positive roots of f.
- Laguerre did not fully prove this; completed by Fekete (1912) in correspondence with Pólya as a corollary of the following result:
 Let p(t) = ∑_{k≥0} c_kt^k be a formal power series with all c_k ∈ [0,∞). For the standard monomial basis x^k, the operator of multiplication by p(t) is:

$$T_{\mathbf{c}} := \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ c_1 & c_0 & 0 & \cdots \\ c_2 & c_1 & c_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

If $T_{\mathbf{c}}$ is TN, $S^{-}(T_{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{x}) \leq S^{-}(\mathbf{x})$ for all 'finite' \mathbf{x} . ($T_{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{x}$ can be infinite!)

History of total positivity / variation diminution: II

Thus we go from Descartes (1637) to Laguerre (1883) to Fekete–Pólya (1912).

- Next came Schoenberg (1930), who showed that if $A_{n \times m}$ is TN then it has the variation diminishing property: $S^{-}(A\mathbf{x}) \leq S^{-}(\mathbf{x})$.
- Clearly, so does -A. Characterize the matrices having this property?

History of total positivity / variation diminution: II

Thus we go from Descartes (1637) to Laguerre (1883) to Fekete-Pólya (1912).

- Next came Schoenberg (1930), who showed that if A_{n×m} is TN then it has the variation diminishing property: S⁻(Ax) ≤ S⁻(x).
- Clearly, so does -A. Characterize the matrices having this property?
- Achieved by Motzkin in PhD thesis (1936) ~→ sign-regular matrices.

Remarkably, Motzkin's thesis also contained:

- 2 Motzkin transposition theorem
- Sourier-Motzkin Elimination (FME) algorithm
- A convex polyhedral set is the Minkowski sum of a compact (convex) polytope and a polyhedral cone.

History of total positivity / variation diminution: II

Thus we go from Descartes (1637) to Laguerre (1883) to Fekete-Pólya (1912).

- Next came Schoenberg (1930), who showed that if A_{n×m} is TN then it has the variation diminishing property: S⁻(Ax) ≤ S⁻(x).
- Clearly, so does -A. Characterize the matrices having this property?
- Achieved by Motzkin in PhD thesis (1936) ~→ sign-regular matrices.

Remarkably, Motzkin's thesis also contained:

- 2 Motzkin transposition theorem
- Sourier-Motzkin Elimination (FME) algorithm
- A convex polyhedral set is the Minkowski sum of a compact (convex) polytope and a polyhedral cone.
- Seyond his PhD: studied what is now called the 'Motzkin number'.
- **6** First example of PID that is not a Euclidean domain: $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-19})/2]$.
- **?** Provided the first explicit polynomial that is positive on \mathbb{R}^2 , yet not a sum-of-squares (Hilbert's 17th problem): $x^4y^2 + x^2y^4 3x^2y^2 + 1$.

Sign non-reversal property

In fact, TN and TP matrices are *characterized* by two properties together:

- Variation diminishing property: $S^{-}(A\mathbf{x}) \leq S^{-}(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$;
- Sign non-reversal property: If $\mathbf{x} \neq 0, \exists j$ such that $x_j \neq 0, x_j(A\mathbf{x})_j > 0$.

Sign non-reversal property

In fact, TN and TP matrices are *characterized* by two properties together:

- Variation diminishing property: $S^{-}(A\mathbf{x}) \leq S^{-}(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$;
- Sign non-reversal property: If $\mathbf{x} \neq 0, \exists j$ such that $x_j \neq 0, x_j(A\mathbf{x})_j > 0$.

It turns out that the latter property alone is sufficient!

Theorem (Choudhury–Kannan–K., Bull. London Math. Soc., in press)

Given $m, n \ge k \ge 1$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, the following are equivalent:

- **1** The matrix A is TP_k .
- 2 Every square submatrix of size $r \leq k$ has the sign non-reversal property.

Sign non-reversal property

In fact, TN and TP matrices are *characterized* by two properties together:

- Variation diminishing property: $S^{-}(A\mathbf{x}) \leq S^{-}(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$;
- Sign non-reversal property: If $\mathbf{x} \neq 0, \exists j$ such that $x_j \neq 0, x_j(A\mathbf{x})_j > 0$.

It turns out that the latter property alone is sufficient!

Theorem (Choudhury–Kannan–K., Bull. London Math. Soc., in press)

Given $m, n \ge k \ge 1$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, the following are equivalent:

- **1** The matrix A is TP_k .
- 2 Every square submatrix of size $r \leq k$ has the sign non-reversal property.

Theorem (Choudhury–Kannan–K., Bull. London Math. Soc., in press)

Given $m, n \ge k \ge 1$, and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, the following are equivalent:

- **1** The matrix A is TN_k .
- Severy square submatrix of size $r \le k$ has the <u>non-strict</u> sign non-reversal property for a **single** vector in \mathbb{R}^r .

How to construct new examples of TP/TN kernels from old ones?

Convolution

How to construct new examples of TP/TN kernels from old ones?

First consider the matrix/'discrete' case: given two matrices $A_{m \times n}$ and $B_{n \times p}$ which are both TN_r , their product is also TN_r .

Proof: Given sets I, J of rows/columns of size $p \leq r$, use the Cauchy–Binet identity:

$$\det(AB)_{I\times J} = \det(A_{I\times [n]}B_{[n]\times J}) = \sum_{K\subset [n], |K|=p} \det A_{I\times K} \det B_{K\times J} \ge 0.$$

Convolution

How to construct new examples of TP/TN kernels from old ones?

First consider the matrix/'discrete' case: given two matrices $A_{m \times n}$ and $B_{n \times p}$ which are both TN_r , their product is also TN_r .

Proof: Given sets I, J of rows/columns of size $p \leq r$, use the Cauchy–Binet identity:

$$\det(AB)_{I\times J} = \det(A_{I\times [n]}B_{[n]\times J}) = \sum_{K\subset [n], |K|=p} \det A_{I\times K} \det B_{K\times J} \ge 0.$$

The Cauchy–Binet formula has a *continuous* version → *Basic composition formula* (Pólya–Szegő). This implies:

Corollary: If $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ are integrable TN_r functions, then so is their *convolution*

$$(\Lambda_1 * \Lambda_2)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda_1(t) \Lambda_2(x-t) dt, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This will help construct additional examples of Pólya frequency functions.

Pólya frequency functions and Laplace transforms

More examples:

- **1** If $\Lambda(x)$ is a PF function, then so is $\Lambda(ax + b)$ for $a \neq 0$.
- **2** If $\Lambda_n(x)$ is a PF function, and $\Lambda_n \to \Lambda$ pointwise, with Λ integrable and nonzero on two points $\rightsquigarrow \Lambda$ is a PF function.

Pólya frequency functions and Laplace transforms

More examples:

- **1** If $\Lambda(x)$ is a PF function, then so is $\Lambda(ax + b)$ for $a \neq 0$.
- **2** If $\Lambda_n(x)$ is a PF function, and $\Lambda_n \to \Lambda$ pointwise, with Λ integrable and nonzero on two points $\rightsquigarrow \Lambda$ is a PF function.

The bilateral Laplace transform of a PF function Λ is

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-sx} \Lambda(x) \, dx, \qquad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Fact: \mathcal{B} is an algebra map: $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_1 * \Lambda_2) = \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_1)\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_2)$.

Pólya frequency functions and Laplace transforms

More examples:

- **1** If $\Lambda(x)$ is a PF function, then so is $\Lambda(ax + b)$ for $a \neq 0$.
- **2** If $\Lambda_n(x)$ is a PF function, and $\Lambda_n \to \Lambda$ pointwise, with Λ integrable and nonzero on two points $\rightsquigarrow \Lambda$ is a PF function.

The bilateral Laplace transform of a PF function Λ is

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-sx} \Lambda(x) \, dx, \qquad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Fact: \mathcal{B} is an algebra map: $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_1 * \Lambda_2) = \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_1)\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_2)$.

Now consider one-sided PF functions: $\varphi_a(x) := \frac{1}{a}e^{-x/a}\mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0} \rightsquigarrow$ Laplace transform $\mathcal{B}(\varphi_a)(s) = 1/(1+as)$.

• Let $a_j > 0$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j < \infty$. Then for each n, the convolution $\varphi_{a_1} * \cdots * \varphi_{a_n}$ is a one-sided PF function, with Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{B}(\varphi_{a_1} \ast \cdots \ast \varphi_{a_n})(s) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1+a_j s)}.$$

Apoorva Khare, IISc and APRG, Bangalore

Shifting the origin of φ_{a1} *···* φ_{an} to δ ≥ 0 yields a one-sided PF function with Laplace transform e^{-δs}/∏ⁿ_{j=1}(1 + a_js).

- Shifting the origin of φ_{a1} *···* φ_{an} to δ ≥ 0 yields a one-sided PF function with Laplace transform e^{-δs}/∏ⁿ_{j=1}(1 + a_js).
- Taking limits of PF functions gives a PF function \rightsquigarrow a PF function with Laplace transform $e^{-\delta s} / \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s)$.
- Its reciprocal is the analytic (entire) function $e^{\delta s} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s)$.

- Shifting the origin of φ_{a1} *···* φ_{an} to δ ≥ 0 yields a one-sided PF function with Laplace transform e^{-δs}/∏ⁿ_{j=1}(1 + a_js).
- Taking limits of PF functions gives a PF function \rightsquigarrow a PF function with Laplace transform $e^{-\delta s} / \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s)$.
- Its reciprocal is the analytic (entire) function $e^{\delta s} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_i s)$.

Remarkably, every one-sided PF function shares this property:

Theorem (Schoenberg, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

A function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda(x) dx = 1$, is a one-sided PF function vanishing on $(-\infty, 0)$, if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s)} = e^{\delta s} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s), \quad \textit{where} \quad \delta, a_j \ge 0, \ \sum_j a_j < \infty.$$

- Shifting the origin of φ_{a1} *···* φ_{an} to δ ≥ 0 yields a one-sided PF function with Laplace transform e^{-δs}/∏ⁿ_{j=1}(1 + a_js).
- Taking limits of PF functions gives a PF function \rightsquigarrow a PF function with Laplace transform $e^{-\delta s} / \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s)$.
- Its reciprocal is the analytic (entire) function $e^{\delta s} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_i s)$.

Remarkably, every one-sided PF function shares this property:

Theorem (Schoenberg, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

A function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda(x) dx = 1$, is a one-sided PF function vanishing on $(-\infty, 0)$, if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s)} = e^{\delta s} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s), \quad \textit{where} \quad \delta, a_j \ge 0, \ \sum_j a_j < \infty.$$

This is the limit of the polynomials $(1 + \frac{\delta s}{n})^n \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + a_j s)$, with negative roots.

Apoorva Khare, IISc and APRG, Bangalore

Similarly, using the Gaussian kernel and 'oppositely directed' variants of $e^{-x}\mathbf{1}_{x>0}$, Schoenberg proved:

Theorem (Schoenberg, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

A function $\Lambda:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Lambda(x)\ dx=1$ is a PF function, if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s)} = e^{-\gamma s^2 + \delta s} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1+a_j s) e^{-a_j s},$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\delta, a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $0 < \gamma + \sum_j a_j^2 < \infty$.

Similarly, using the Gaussian kernel and 'oppositely directed' variants of $e^{-x}\mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0}$, Schoenberg proved:

Theorem (Schoenberg, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

A function $\Lambda:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Lambda(x)\ dx=1$ is a PF function, if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s)} = e^{-\gamma s^2 + \delta s} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s) e^{-a_j s},$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\delta, a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $0 < \gamma + \sum_j a_j^2 < \infty$.

These two classes of entire functions were very well-studied by Laguerre, Pólya, and Schur in the early 20th century:

The first class of entire functions are limits – uniform on compact sets – of real polynomials with real non-positive roots. ('One-sided')

Similarly, using the Gaussian kernel and 'oppositely directed' variants of $e^{-x}\mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0}$, Schoenberg proved:

Theorem (Schoenberg, J. d'Analyse Math. 1951)

A function $\Lambda: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda(x) \ dx = 1$ is a PF function, if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s)} = e^{-\gamma s^2 + \delta s} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_j s) e^{-a_j s},$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\delta, a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $0 < \gamma + \sum_j a_j^2 < \infty$.

These two classes of entire functions were very well-studied by Laguerre, Pólya, and Schur in the early 20th century:

The first class of entire functions are limits – uniform on compact sets – of real polynomials with real non-positive roots. ('One-sided')

2 The second class ~> limits of real polynomials with real roots.

 \rightsquigarrow Laguerre–Pólya functions (allowing for a factor of $cs^m, c \ge 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ge 0}$).

From the Laguerre–Pólya class to the Riemann Hypothesis

Pólya (1927) initiated the study of functions $\Lambda(t)$ such that $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s)$ has only real zeros. His work contains the following result:

Theorem (Pólya, 1927)

The following statements are equivalent:

- The Riemann Xi-function $\Xi(s) = \xi(1/2 + iz)$ is in the Laguerre–Pólya class, where $\xi(s) := {s \choose 2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$.
- 2 The Riemann Hypothesis is true.

From the Laguerre–Pólya class to the Riemann Hypothesis

Pólya (1927) initiated the study of functions $\Lambda(t)$ such that $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)(s)$ has only real zeros. His work contains the following result:

Theorem (Pólya, 1927)

The following statements are equivalent:

- The Riemann Xi-function $\Xi(s) = \xi(1/2 + iz)$ is in the Laguerre–Pólya class, where $\xi(s) := {s \choose 2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$.
- 2 The Riemann Hypothesis is true.

Combined with Schoenberg's result above, this yields:

Theorem (Gröchenig, 2020)

Let $\xi(s) = {s \choose 2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$ be the Riemann xi-function. If $\Lambda(x) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} \xi(u+1/2)^{-1} e^{-ixu} du$

is a Pólya frequency function, then the Riemann Hypothesis is true.

The Laguerre–Pólya class is thus a distinguished one in several areas.

From Pólya–Schur multipliers to Laguerre–Pólya

The Laguerre–Pólya class is also related to *Pólya–Schur multipliers*. Given a *multiplier sequence*

$$\Gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \qquad \gamma_n \ge 0,$$

consider linear transformations of polynomials:

 $P(t) := p_0 + p_1 t + \dots + p_n t^n \quad \mapsto \quad \Gamma[P(t)] := \gamma_0 p_0 + \gamma_1 p_1 t + \dots + \gamma_n p_n t^n.$

From Pólya–Schur multipliers to Laguerre–Pólya

The Laguerre–Pólya class is also related to *Pólya–Schur multipliers*. Given a *multiplier sequence*

$$\Gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \qquad \gamma_n \ge 0,$$

consider linear transformations of polynomials:

 $P(t) := p_0 + p_1 t + \dots + p_n t^n \quad \mapsto \quad \Gamma[P(t)] := \gamma_0 p_0 + \gamma_1 p_1 t + \dots + \gamma_n p_n t^n.$

We say that Γ is a multiplier sequence of the *first kind* (or *second kind*) if for all polynomials with real (or negative) roots, $\Gamma[P]$ has all real roots.

From Pólya–Schur multipliers to Laguerre–Pólya

The Laguerre–Pólya class is also related to *Pólya–Schur multipliers*. Given a *multiplier sequence*

$$\Gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \qquad \gamma_n \ge 0,$$

consider linear transformations of polynomials:

 $P(t) := p_0 + p_1 t + \dots + p_n t^n \quad \mapsto \quad \Gamma[P(t)] := \gamma_0 p_0 + \gamma_1 p_1 t + \dots + \gamma_n p_n t^n.$

We say that Γ is a multiplier sequence of the *first kind* (or *second kind*) if for all polynomials with real (or negative) roots, $\Gamma[P]$ has all real roots.

Theorem (Pólya–Schur, J. reine angew. Math. 1914)

A sequence Γ is a multiplier sequence of the first (or second) kind, if and only if its generating function $\Psi_{\Gamma}(t) := \sum_{j \ge 0} \gamma_j t^j / j!$ is in the first (or second) Laguerre–Pólya class.

Now by Schoenberg's results:

if and only if $1/\Psi_{\Gamma}(s)$ is the Laplace transform of a Pólya frequency function.

Modern incarnations of the Laguerre–Pólya–Schur program

Recently, Borcea-Branden (late 2000s) studied

- higher-dimensional versions of Pólya-Schur multipliers,
- linear operators on spaces of (multivariate) polynomials that preserved (higher dimensional) versions of stability / hyperbolicity.

This enabled them to characterize linear operators preserving Ω -stability for domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ (studied+open since late 1800s at least); prove conjectures of C.R. Johnson; prove many Lee–Yang type theorems...

Modern incarnations of the Laguerre–Pólya–Schur program

Recently, Borcea-Branden (late 2000s) studied

- higher-dimensional versions of Pólya-Schur multipliers,
- linear operators on spaces of (multivariate) polynomials that preserved (higher dimensional) versions of stability / hyperbolicity.

This enabled them to characterize linear operators preserving Ω -stability for domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ (studied+open since late 1800s at least); prove conjectures of C.R. Johnson; prove many Lee–Yang type theorems...

 Borcea–Branden–Liggett: developed the theory of negative dependence for 'strongly Rayleigh' (probability) measures → proving conjectures of Liggett, Pemantle, Wagner.

Modern incarnations of the Laguerre–Pólya–Schur program

Recently, Borcea-Branden (late 2000s) studied

- higher-dimensional versions of Pólya-Schur multipliers,
- linear operators on spaces of (multivariate) polynomials that preserved (higher dimensional) versions of stability / hyperbolicity.

This enabled them to characterize linear operators preserving Ω -stability for domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ (studied+open since late 1800s at least); prove conjectures of C.R. Johnson; prove many Lee–Yang type theorems...

- Borcea-Branden-Liggett: developed the theory of negative dependence for 'strongly Rayleigh' (probability) measures → proving conjectures of Liggett, Pemantle, Wagner.
- Taken forward by Marcus-Spielman-Srivastava (2010s):
 - Kadison–Singer conjecture.
 - Existence of bipartite Ramanujan (expander) graphs of every degree and every order.

References

[1] A.K.

Matrix analysis and preservers of (total) positivity. Lecture notes (website); forthcoming book with Cambridge Univ. Press, 2021.

- [2] P.N. Choudhury, M.R. Kannan, and A.K. Sign non-reversal property for TN/TP matrices; testing TP on their interval hull. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, in press.
- [3] E. Laguerre. Sur les fonctions du genre zéro et du genre un. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 1882.
- [4] E. Laguerre. Mémoire sur la théorie des équations numériques. J. Math. Pures. Appl., 1883.
- [5] M. Fekete and G. Pólya. Über ein Problem von Laguerre. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo.*, 1912.
- [6] G. Pólya.

Über Annäherung durch Polynome mit lauter reellen Wurzeln. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 1913.

[7] G. Pólya and I. Schur.

Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen. J. reine angew. Math., 1914.

[8] I.J. Schoenberg.

On Pólya frequency functions. I. J. d'Analyse Math., 1951.

Apoorva Khare, IISc and APRG, Bangalore