

## Some Solutions

1.  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is a complete metric space under the Levy metric.

**Sol.** Let  $\{\mu_n\}$  be a cauchy sequence, i.e., given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N_0$ , s.t  $\forall n, m \geq N_0$ ,  $d(\mu_n, \mu_m) < \epsilon$ . In particular  $\forall n > N_0$

$$F_{N_0}(x - \epsilon) - \epsilon < F_N(x) < F_{N_0}(x + \epsilon) + \epsilon.$$

Consider  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{N_0}$ . Any finite sequence of measures is tight. So given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists K > 0$ , s.t for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N_0$

$$F_n(K) > 1 - \epsilon$$

$$F_n(-K) < \epsilon$$

Now  $\forall n > N_0$

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(K + 1) &> F_{N_0}(K + 1 - \epsilon) - \epsilon \\ &\geq F_{N_0}(K) - \epsilon \\ &> 1 - 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(-k - 1) &< F_{N_0}(-k - 1 + \epsilon) + \epsilon \\ &\leq F_{N_0}(-k) + \epsilon \\ &< 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{\mu_n\}$  is tight and so has a convergent subsequence, i.e.,  $\exists$  a probability measure  $\mu$  and a subsequence  $\mu_{n_k}$  of  $\mu_n$  s.t,

$$\mu_{n_k} \xrightarrow{d} \mu$$

Since  $\{\mu_n\}$  is cauchy

$$\mu_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$$

In terms of metric,

$$d(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence the completeness.

2. If  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$  then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(G) \geq \mu(G)$  if  $G$  is open.

**Sol.** Since every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a countable union of disjoint open intervals it is enough to check the above for open intervals.

$$\mu_n(a, b) = F_{\mu_n}(b-) - F_{\mu_n}(a)$$

Since  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(x) \geq F_{\mu}(x) \quad (0.1)$$

Also for all  $u > 0$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(x-) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(x-u) > F_{\mu}(x-2u) - u$$

Now letting  $u \rightarrow 0$  we get,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(x-) > F_{\mu}(x-) \quad (0.2)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(a, b) &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_{\mu_n}(b-) - F_{\mu_n}(a)) \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(b-) - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(a) \\ &\geq F_{\mu}(b-) - F_{\mu}(a) \\ &= \mu(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality follows from (0.1) and (0.2).

Hence the proof.