

# SOME RANDOM, DISTORTED GOSSIP

Vivek Borkar  
TIFR

September 8, 2014

## **Abstract**

Gossip has always been a thrust area on academic campuses. Taking advantage of my greying hair and aging brain cells, I shall indulge in that favourite pastime of academic dotards - some random, distorted gossip.

To add a veneer of culture (of sorts), I shall draw occasional parallels with thoughts expressed by some leading poet-philosophers of the last century.

# Outline

Classical (*'vanilla'*) gossip

# Outline

Classical ('*vanilla*') gossip

Random gossip

Nonlinear gossip

Optimal gossip

# Outline

Classical ('*vanilla*') gossip

Random gossip

Nonlinear gossip

Optimal gossip

*(Modified gossip)*

Thoughts of poet-philosophers will appear in red.

# **CLASSICAL GOSSIP**

# 'Gossip' algorithm

$$x_i(n+1) = \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

$P = [[p(j|i)]]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$  irreducible stochastic matrix with unique stationary distribution  $\pi \implies x(n) \rightarrow \pi^T x(0) \mathbf{1}$ .

Research focus on rate of convergence: Design a 'good'  $P$  ((doubly) stochastic, low |second eigenvalue|, ...) (Boyd, Shah, Ghosh, ...)

Ref: '*Gossip Algorithms*', D. Shah, NOW Publishers, 2009.

Often a component of a 'larger' scheme:

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + a \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n) + \dots, \quad n \geq 0.$$

*Examples:* Distributed computation, Synchronization,  
'Flocking', Coordination of mobile agents

The objective often is '*consensus*'.

Well, I try my best to be just like I am,  
but everybody wants you to be just like them.

(Bob Dylan)



# The DeGroot model

Models opinion formation in society.

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + a \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

New opinion a convex combination of own previous opinion and opinions of neighbors/peers/friends.

Convergence  $\implies$  asymptotic agreement.

I get by with a little help from my friends.

(Lennon-McCartney)

# The DeGroot model

Models opinion formation in society.

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + a \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

New opinion a convex combination of own previous opinion and opinions of neighbors/peers/friends.

Convergence  $\implies$  asymptotic agreement.

I get by with a little help from my friends,

I get high with a little help from my friends.

(Lennon-McCartney)

# **RANDOM GOSSIP**

What about **random** gossip?

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + ax_{\xi_{n+1}(i)}(n),$$

where  $\xi_n(i)$  IID  $\approx p(\cdot|i)$ .

Convergence?

What about **random** gossip?

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + ax_{\xi_{n+1}(i)}(n),$$

where  $\xi_n(i)$  IID  $\approx p(\cdot|i)$ .

Convergence?

Yes!! And **consensus**:  $x(n) \rightarrow c\mathbf{1}$ , but  $c$  may not be  $\pi^T x(0)$ !

Jaatey the Japaan pahoonch gaye Cheen, samaz gaye na?

(Majrooh Sultanpuri)

Analysis based on re-writing the iteration as

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + a \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n) + aM_j(n+1),$$

where  $\{M(n)\}$  is a martingale difference sequence. This is a '*constant step-size stochastic approximation*'.

Fact: Standard 'intuition' would suggest asymptotically a random walk along the degenerate direction  $c\mathbf{1}, c \in \mathbf{R}$ , but we still get convergence because 'noise'  $\{M(n)\}$  is also killed asymptotically at a fast enough rate.

But what if we want the actual average  $\pi^T x(0)$ ?

Alternative scheme based on the ‘Poisson equation’:  
for  $f(i) = x(0)$ ,

$$V(i) = f(i) - \beta + \sum_j p(j|i)V(j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d. \quad (1)$$

Solution  $(V(\cdot), \beta)$  satisfies:  $\beta$  unique,  $= \pi^T f$ ,  $V$  unique up to additive scalar.

Can solve (1) by the ‘relative value iteration’

$$V^{n+1}(i) = f(i) - V^n(i_0) + \sum_j p(j|i)V^n(j), \quad n \geq 0.$$

The ‘offset’  $V^n(i_0)$  stabilizes the iteration, other choices are possible (e.g.,  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_k V^n(k)$ ).

‘*Reinforcement learning*’: stochastic approximation version of RVI – for a simulated chain  $\{X_n\} \approx p(\cdot|\cdot)$ .

$$V^{n+1}(i) = (1 - a(n)I\{X_n = i\})V^n(i) + a(n)I\{X_n = i\}(k(i) - V^n(i_0) + V^n(X_{n+1})).$$

Then  $V^n(i_0) \rightarrow \beta$  a.s. (**Not** fully decentralized: needs  $V^n(i_0)$  to be broadcast. Can replace it by  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_k V^n(k)$  which can be calculated in a distributed manner by another gossip on a faster time scale.)

With every mistake we must surely be learning

(George Harrison)



# **NONLINEAR GOSSIP**

'Multiplicative' analog of the previous case: for  $f(i) > 0$ , choose  $V^0(i) > 0 \forall i$  and do:

$$V^{n+1}(i) = \frac{f(i) \sum_j p(j|i) V^n(j)}{V^n(i_0)}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

More generally, for irreducible nonnegative  $Q = [[q(i, j)]]$ , set

$$f(i) = \sum_k q(i, k), \quad p(j|i) = \frac{q(i, j)}{q(i)}.$$

Then  $V^n(i_0) \rightarrow$  the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of  $Q$ ,  
 $V^n \rightarrow$  the corresponding eigenvector.

('power' method)

Applications : ranking, risk-sensitive control

'Learning' version: for  $V^0(\cdot) > 0$ ,

$$V^{n+1}(i) = (1 - a(n)I\{X_n = i\})V^n(i) + a(n)I\{X_n = i\} \left( \frac{f(i)V^n(X_{n+1})}{V^n(i_0)} \right).$$

Numerically better even when the eigenvalue is known!

(The first term on RHS scales slower than the second.)

Similar evolution occurs in models of emergent networks

(Jain - Krishna)

For tracking in slowly varying environment:

Decreasing stepsize  $\implies$

learning eventually slower than environment  $\implies$

cannot track  $\implies$  use constant stepsize  $a(n) \equiv a > 0$ .

And the first one now will later be last,

For the times, they are a-changin'

(Bob Dylan)

**Tsitsiklis model:** Distributed stochastic approximation (e.g., stochastic gradient scheme) with consensus objective.

$$x_i(n+1) = \sum_{j=1}^N p(j|i)x_j(n) + a(n)[h_i(x(n)) + M_i(n+1)].$$

$P = [[p(j|i)]]$  irreducible stochastic matrix.

Standard paradigm for network-based computation, particularly optimization, e.g., over sensor networks

Come together right now, over me.

(Lennon-McCartney)

A 'quasi'-linear version:

$$x_i(n+1) = \sum_j p_{x(n)}(j|i)x_j(n) + a(n) [h_i(x(n)) + M_i(n+1)]$$

$P_x := [[p_x(j|i)]]$  stochastic irreducible with unique stationary distribution  $\pi_x$ .

Asymptotically, decouples into identical trajectories of

$$\dot{y}(t) = \sum_i \pi_{y(t)} \mathbf{1}(i) h_i(y(t)).$$

Jahaan bhi le jaaye raahen, hum sang hain.

(Shailendra)

**Application:** ‘leaderless swarm optimization’ by a swarm of  $n$  agents.

Let  $N(i) := \{ \text{neighbors of } i \}$  with  $|N(i)| = n$ .

Also,  $i \in N(j) \iff j \in N(i)$ . Let for  $T > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}h(x) &= -\nabla F(x), \\p_x(j|i) &= \frac{1}{n} e^{-(F(x_j) - F(x_i))^+ / T}, \quad j \in N(i), \\&= 0, \quad j \notin N(i) \cup \{i\}, \\&= 1 - \sum_{k \in N(i)} p(k|i), \quad j = i.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\pi_x(i) = \frac{e^{-\frac{F(x_i)}{T}}}{\sum_k e^{-\frac{F(x_k)}{T}}}$  which concentrates on the 'best'  $j$ .

Empirical experiments on standard functions (Rastrigin, Rosenbrock, Griewank, Schwefel) show much better result compared to the single agent case (global minimum, or at least a very good local minimum).

Ek akela thak jaayega, milkar kadam badhana.

(Sahir Ludhianvi)



Fully nonlinear case:

$$x_i(n+1) = F_i(x(n)) + a(n) [h_i(x(n)) + M_i(n+1)].$$

Suppose:

$$F^{(n)} := F \circ F \circ \dots \circ F (n \text{ times}) \xrightarrow{n \uparrow \infty} \check{F},$$

$\mathcal{I} :=$  the invariant set of  $\check{F}$ .

Then under suitable technical hypotheses, limiting dynamics  $\approx$  the o.d.e. restricted to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Application:  $x(n+1) = F(x(n))$  can be a recursive scheme for calculating projection to a constraint set  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{C}$ .

Examples: 1. alternating projections for  $\mathcal{C} =$  intersection of subspaces

2. Iusem-De Pierro variant of the Boyle-Dykstra-Han algorithm for  $\mathcal{C} =$  intersection of convex sets

3. Optimization on matrix manifolds????

# OPTIMAL GOSSIP

Gossip for opinion manipulation (e.g., advertising):

$P_1$  := submatrix of  $P$  corresponding to  $n - m$  rows and corresponding columns,

$P_2$  := submatrix of  $P$  corresponding to the same  $n - m$  rows and remaining  $m$  columns.

These  $m$  columns correspond to nodes whose ‘opinion’ is frozen at  $x^*$ . Then we have (in  $\mathbf{R}^{n-m}$ ):

$$x(n+1) = x(n) + a(n) [P_1 x(n) + P_2 x^* \mathbf{1}].$$

Assume  $P_1$  strictly sub-stochastic, irreducible. Then:

$x(n) \rightarrow x^* \mathbf{1}$  exponentially at rate  $\lambda :=$  the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of  $P_1$ .

$\implies$  consensus on a pre-specified value.

Jo tumko ho pasand wohi baat karenge.

Tum din ko agar raat kaho raat kahenge.

(Indeevar)

Objective: Minimize  $\lambda$  over all subsets of cardinality  $m$  (i.e., find the  $m$  most important nodes for information dissemination)

Hard combinatorial problem, even the nonlinear programming relaxation is highly non-convex and the projected gradient scheme with multi-start does not do too well.

Christ, you know it ain't easy,  
you know how hard it can be.

(Lennon)

$\implies$  Use **'engineer's licence'**:

For  $\tau :=$  the first passage time to frozen nodes,  
 $\lambda = -\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(\tau > t)$  and  $E[\tau] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} P(\tau \geq t)$ .

$\implies$  Use  $E[\tau]$  as a surrogate cost.

This is *monotone and supermodular*  $\implies$  greedy scheme  
is  $(1 - \frac{1}{e})$ -optimal (Nemhauser-Wolsey-Fisher)

Important observation: best  $m$  nodes  $\neq$  top  $m$  nodes  
according to individual merit!

What about controlling the transition probabilities?

Consider controlling the nonlinear o.d.e.

$$\dot{x}(t) = \alpha(P_1^{u(t)} - I)x(t) + \alpha P_2^{u(t)}(x^* \mathbf{1}) + (1 - \alpha)F(x(t))$$

with 'cost'

$$E \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta t} \sum_i |x_i(t) - x^*|^2 dt \right].$$

Here  $P^u = [[p(j|i, u)]]$ .



Can write down the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation and verification theorem.

$\implies$  Optimal

$$u_i^*(t) \in \operatorname{Argmax} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} p(j|i, \cdot) x_j^*(t) + x^* \sum_{j=n-m+1}^n p(j|i, \cdot) \right)$$

for  $x < x^*$ , and,

$$u_i^*(t) \in \operatorname{Argmin} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} p(j|i, \cdot) x_j^*(t) + x^* \sum_{j=n-m+1}^n p(j|i, \cdot) \right)$$

for  $x > x^*$ .

( $\implies$  greatest 'push' towards  $x^*$ .)

## References

1. VB, [R. Makhijani](#), R. Sundaresan, **Asynchronous gossip for averaging and spectral ranking**, *IEEE J. Selected Topics in Signal Processing* 8(4), 2014.
2. VB, A. Karnik, [U. Jayakrishnan Nair](#), [S. Nalli](#), **Manufacturing consent**, to appear in *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*.
3. [A. S. Mathkar](#), [S. Phade](#), VB, **Nonlinear gossip**, in preparation.

I get by with a little help from undergrads/interns.

(V. Borkar)

..... and I say, it's all right.

(George Harrison)

It's all over now, baby blue.

(Bob Dylan)

And I do appreciate you being around.

(Lennon-McCartney)