Statistical Mechanics on Sparse Random Graphs

Amir Dembo

Stanford University

January 5, 2013

1 What is this talk about, and why should one care

Interesting phenomena

A few results

- Ferromagnetic Ising model
- Ising spin glass
- Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

1 What is this talk about, and why should one care

Interesting phenomena

A few results

- Ferromagnetic Ising model
- Ising spin glass
- Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

1 What is this talk about, and why should one care

Interesting phenomena

3 A few results

- Ferromagnetic Ising model
- Ising spin glass
- Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

1 What is this talk about, and why should one care

Interesting phenomena

3 A few results

- Ferromagnetic Ising model
- Ising spin glass
- Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

1 What is this talk about, and why should one care

2 Interesting phenomena

3 A few results

- Ferromagnetic Ising model
- Ising spin glass
- Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

1 What is this talk about, and why should one care

2 Interesting phenomena

3 A few results

- Ferromagnetic Ising model
- Ising spin glass
- Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

1 What is this talk about, and why should one care

2 Interesting phenomena

3 A few results

- Ferromagnetic Ising model
- Ising spin glass
- Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

What is this talk about, and why should one care

'Standard model'

$$x_1$$

 x_2
 x_5
 x_6
 x_7
 x_{10}
 x_{10}
 x_{10}
 x_{11}
 x_{11}
 x_{12}

G = (V, E), V = [n], $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ (finite set).

$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{(ij)\in E} \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \, .$$

- ∢ ≣ ▶

'Standard model' (assumptions)

1. *G* has bounded degree (on average).

2. G has girth larger than 2ℓ with $\ell = \ell(n) \to \infty$ (apart from o(n) vertices).

3.
$$0 \le \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \le \psi_{\max} < \infty$$
.
For each *i* exists x_i^p s.t. $0 < \psi_{\min} \le \psi_{ij}(x_i^p, x_j)$.

'Standard model' (assumptions)

1. *G* has bounded degree (on average).

2. G has girth larger than 2ℓ with $\ell = \ell(n) \to \infty$ (apart from o(n) vertices).

3.
$$0 \le \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \le \psi_{\max} < \infty$$
.
For each *i* exists x_i^p s.t. $0 < \psi_{\min} \le \psi_{ij}(x_i^p, x_j)$.

'Standard model' (assumptions)

1. *G* has bounded degree (on average).

2. G has girth larger than 2ℓ with $\ell = \ell(n) \to \infty$ (apart from o(n) vertices).

3.
$$0 \le \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \le \psi_{\max} < \infty$$
.
For each *i* exists x_i^{p} s.t. $0 < \psi_{\min} \le \psi_{ij}(x_i^{p}, x_j)$.

Example 1: *q*-coloring



G = (V, E) graph.

 $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ variables

Example 1: *q*-coloring



G = (V, E) graph. $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ variables

Uniform measure over proper colorings



$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{(i,j)\in E} \psi(x_i, x_j), \qquad \psi(x, y) = \mathbb{I}(x \neq y).$$

n variables:
$$\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), x_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

m k-clauses

$$(x_1 \lor \overline{x_5} \lor x_7) \land (x_5 \lor x_8 \lor \overline{x_9}) \land \dots \land (\overline{x_{66}} \lor \overline{x_{21}} \lor \overline{x_{32}})$$

Uniform measure over solutions



- Communications (LDPC; XORSAT).
- Artificial intelligence (Bayesian networks; Graphical models).
- Statistics (Compressed sensing).

• . . .

Interesting phenomena

< 注入 < 注入

Example: Free energy density

$$Z_n \equiv \sum_{\underline{x}} \prod_{(ij)\in E} \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$

$$\phi \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n.$$

[Cavity/Replica methods]

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Example: Free energy density

$$Z_n \equiv \sum_{\underline{x}} \prod_{(ij)\in E} \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$

$$\phi \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n.$$

[Cavity/Replica methods]

'Set of O(n) non-linear equations that determine local marginals in the large system limit'

Bethe-Peierls equations (replica symmetric cavity method):

 $\mu_{i \to j}(\cdot) \equiv$ Marginal of x_i when replacing $\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$ by 1

$$\mu_{i\to j}(x_i) \approx \frac{1}{Z_{i\to j}} \prod_{l\in\partial i\setminus j} \sum_{x_l} \psi_{il}(x_i, x_l) \mu_{l\to i}(x_l)$$

General philosophy: approximate local marginals of $\mu(\cdot)$ in terms of measures on trees.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Bethe-Peierls equations (replica symmetric cavity method):

$$\mu_{i \to j}(\cdot) \equiv$$
 Marginal of x_i when replacing $\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$ by 1

$$\mu_{i\to j}(x_i) \approx \frac{1}{z_{i\to j}} \prod_{l\in\partial i\setminus j} \sum_{x_l} \psi_{il}(x_i, x_l) \mu_{l\to i}(x_l)$$

General philosophy: approximate local marginals of $\mu(\cdot)$ in terms of measures on trees.

Bethe-Peierls equations (replica symmetric cavity method):

$$\mu_{i \to j}(\cdot) \equiv$$
 Marginal of x_i when replacing $\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$ by 1

$$\mu_{i\to j}(x_i) \approx \frac{1}{Z_{i\to j}} \prod_{l\in\partial i\setminus j} \sum_{x_l} \psi_{il}(x_i, x_l) \mu_{l\to i}(x_l)$$

General philosophy: approximate local marginals of $\mu(\cdot)$ in terms of measures on trees.

2. Bethe-Peierls approximation



 $F = (U, E_U) \subseteq G$, diam $(F) \leq 2\ell$, such that $\partial i \in U$ or $\partial i \cap U = \{u(i)\}$

$$\mu_U(\underline{x}_U) \approx \nu_U(\underline{x}_U) = \frac{1}{Z_U} \prod_{(i,j)\in E_U} \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \prod_{i\in\partial U} \nu_{i\to u(i)}(x_i).$$

$\{\nu_{i \to j}(\cdot)\} \to$ 'set of messages' (aka cavity fields)

1. Is $\mu(\cdot)$ well-approximated by some $\{\nu_{i\to j}(\cdot)\}$?

2. How to find a good set of messages $\{\nu_{i \to i}(\cdot)\}$?

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

$\{\nu_{i \to j}(\cdot)\} \to$ 'set of messages' (aka cavity fields)

1. Is $\mu(\cdot)$ well-approximated by some $\{\nu_{i\to j}(\cdot)\}$?

2. How to find a good set of messages $\{\nu_{i \to j}(\cdot)\}$?

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

 $\{\nu_{i \to j}(\cdot)\} \to$ 'set of messages' (aka cavity fields)

- 1. Is $\mu(\cdot)$ well-approximated by some $\{\nu_{i\to j}(\cdot)\}$?
- 2. How to find a good set of messages $\{\nu_{i\to i}(\cdot)\}$?

A B + A B +

'The free energy density is analytic but the measure μ splits into lumps'

3 N

3. 'Dynamical' phase transition

Example: k-satisfiability, the space of solutions



 $\alpha = m/n$ fixed, $n \to \infty$. [Biroli,Monasson,Weigt 00, Mézard,Parisi,Zecchina 02, Krzákala et al 07]

3. 'Dynamical' phase transition

Example: q-COL, the space of solutions



[Edges taken independently with probability γ/n each, γ fixed, $n \to \infty$] [same references + Achlioptas,Ricci 06] $\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)}$ independent configurations, same disorder (replicas) $d(\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)})$ Hamming distance

 $\mu(d(\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)}) > n\delta)
ightarrow \$ non-degenerate random variable

 $[\sim SK model]$

「同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト ― ヨ

 $\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)}$ independent configurations, same disorder (replicas) $d(\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)})$ Hamming distance

$\mu(d(\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)}) > n\delta) \rightarrow$ non-degenerate random variable

 $[\sim SK model]$

同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト ニヨー

A few results

э

<> ≝ ► < ≝ ►</p>


$$G_n = (V_n \equiv [n], E_n)$$
$$x_i \in \{+1, -1\}$$
$$\beta \ge 0$$

$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\beta \sum_{(ij)\in E_n} x_i x_j + B \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\}$$

[in sparse random graphs: Johnston, Plechác 98/ Leone et al 04]

$$G_n = (V_n \equiv [n], E_n)$$
$$x_i \in \{+1, -1\}$$
$$\beta \ge 0$$

$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\beta \sum_{(ij)\in E_n} x_i x_j + B \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\}$$

[in sparse random graphs: Johnston, Plechác 98/ Leone et al 04]

Free energy density

Theorem (D., Montanari, 10)

If $\{G_n\}$ is uniformly sparse and converges locally to MGW $T(P, \rho, \infty)$, then

$$\phi = \phi_*(P,\beta,B).$$

[moment condition relaxed in Dommers, Giardina, van der Hofstad 10; extended to all limiting trees and to ferromagnetic Potts models with regular limiting tree in D., Montanari, Sly, Sun, 11]

Theorem (D., Montanari, 10)

If $\{G_n\}$ is uniformly sparse and converges locally to MGW $T(P, \rho, \infty)$, then

$$\phi = \phi_*(P,\beta,B).$$

[moment condition relaxed in Dommers, Giardina, van der Hofstad 10; extended to all limiting trees and to ferromagnetic Potts models with regular limiting tree in D., Montanari, Sly, Sun, 11]

通 と イ ヨ と イ ヨ と

•

•

 $\bigcirc P_k$

>

 ρ_k

•

•



イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

æ

>

•

•



<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

æ

>

•

•



<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

æ

>



A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 A
 B >
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

>

æ

< ∃ >

'Converges locally'

$P \equiv \{P_k\}_{k \ge 0}$	Degree distribution, law of L (of mean $\overline{P} > 0$)
$\rho \equiv \{\rho_k\}_{k \ge 0}$	Size-biased P , law of K (degree of uniform edge)
$T(P, \rho, t)$	<i>t</i> -generations GW tree (root degree <i>P</i> , else $ ho$)
$B_i(t)$	Ball of radius t in G_n centered at node i

Definition

 $\{G_n\}$ converges locally to $T(P, \rho, \infty)$ if for uniformly random $I \in [n]$ and fixed t, law of $B_I(t)$ converges as $n \to \infty$ to $T(P, \rho, t)$.

[in framework of Benjamini & Schramm 01, Aldous & Lyons 07]

.

$\phi_*(P,\beta,B)$

For $B \ge 0$, let $\theta \equiv \tanh \beta$, $h^{(0)} > 0$, and for iid $h_i^{(t)}$,

$$h^{(t+1)} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \tanh\left\{B + \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \operatorname{atanh}(\theta \ h_i^{(t)})\right\},\,$$

Then $h^{(t)} \stackrel{\circ}{
ightarrow} h^*$ and for iid h_i^* independent of L,

$$\phi_*(P,\beta,B) = \log \cosh B + \frac{\overline{P}}{2} \log \cosh \beta - \frac{\overline{P}}{2} \mathbb{E} \log(1 + \theta h_1^* h_2^*) + \\ + \mathbb{E} \log \left\{ (1 + \tanh B) \prod_{i=1}^{L} (1 + \theta h_i^*) + (1 - \tanh B) \prod_{i=1}^{L} (1 - \theta h_i^*) \right\}.$$

[Variational (LD) formulation for ϕ_* , see D., Montanari, Sun, 11]

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$\phi_*(P,\beta,B)$

For $B \ge 0$, let $\theta \equiv \tanh \beta$, $h^{(0)} > 0$, and for iid $h_i^{(t)}$,

$$h^{(t+1)} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \tanh\left\{B + \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \operatorname{atanh}(\theta \ h_i^{(t)})\right\},\,$$

Then $h^{(t)} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} h^*$ and for iid h_i^* independent of L,

$$\phi_*(P,eta,B) = \log\cosh B + rac{\overline{P}}{2}\log\cosheta - rac{\overline{P}}{2}\mathbb{E}\log(1+ heta h_1^*h_2^*) + +\mathbb{E}\log\left\{(1+ anh B)\prod_{i=1}^L(1+ heta h_i^*)+(1- anh B)\prod_{i=1}^L(1- heta h_i^*)
ight\}.$$

[Variational (LD) formulation for ϕ_* , see D., Montanari, Sun, 11]

- 0. Take B > 0.
- 1. Reduce to expectations of local quantities

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}\beta}\log Z_n(\beta,B) = \sum_{(ij)\in E_n} \langle x_i x_j \rangle_n$$

 $(\langle \cdot \rangle_n \text{ denote expectation under Ising on } G_n).$

2. Prove convergence of local expectations to tree values.

2. Convergence to tree values



 $\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T} & \text{infinite tree with max degree } k_{\max} \\ \mathfrak{T}(t) & \text{first } t \text{ generations} \\ \mu_r^{t,z}(\cdot) & \text{lsing model on } \mathfrak{T}(t) \text{ boundary condition } z \\ \mu_r^{t,z} & \text{root spin expectation} \end{aligned}$

2. Convergence to tree values



$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{T} & \text{ infinite tree with max degree } k_{\max} \\ \mathfrak{T}(t) & \text{ first } t \text{ generations} \\ \mu^{t,z}(\cdot) & \text{ lsing model on } \mathfrak{T}(t) \text{ boundary condition } z \\ \mu^{t,z}_r & \text{ root spin expectation} \end{split}$$

2. Convergence to tree values



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{T} & \text{infinite tree with max degree } k_{\max} \\ \mathfrak{T}(t) & \text{first } t \text{ generations} \\ \mu^{t,z}(\cdot) & \text{lsing model on } \mathfrak{T}(t) \text{ boundary condition } z \\ \mu^{t,z}_r & \text{root spin expectation} \end{array}$

Uniform (Gibbs measure uniqueness)

$$|\mu_r^{t,z(1)} - \mu_r^{t,z(2)}| \le |\mu_r^{t,+} - \mu_r^{t,-}| \to 0.$$

Easier

True only at high temperature $(eta={\it O}(1/k_{
m max}))$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Uniform (Gibbs measure uniqueness)

$$|\mu_r^{t,z(1)} - \mu_r^{t,z(2)}| \le |\mu_r^{t,+} - \mu_r^{t,-}| \to 0.$$

Easier

True only at high temperature $(\beta = O(1/k_{max}))$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Uniform (Gibbs measure uniqueness)

$$|\mu_r^{t,z(1)} - \mu_r^{t,z(2)}| \le |\mu_r^{t,+} - \mu_r^{t,-}| \to 0.$$

Easier

True only at high temperature ($\beta = O(1/k_{max})$)

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Why non-uniform control? Phase transition...

For
$$\beta > \beta_c \equiv \operatorname{atanh}(1/\overline{\rho})$$

$$\lim_{B\to 0+} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\langle x_I \rangle_n = -\lim_{B\to 0-} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\langle x_I \rangle_n > 0$$

...and its tree counterpart $\mathsf{T}(ho,\ell)$ l z_1 Z2 Z3

$$egin{array}{lll} z=(+1,+1,\ldots,+1)&\Rightarrow&\lim_{\ell o\infty}\langle x_r
angle_\ell>0\ z=(-1,-1,\ldots,-1)&\Rightarrow&\lim_{\ell o\infty}\langle x_r
angle_\ell<0 \end{array}$$

э

Decorrelation

Non uniform

$$|\mu_r^{t,+}-\mu_r^{t,\mathrm{free}}| \to 0.$$

Any temperature

Trick

$$0 \leq \mu_r^{t,+} - \mu_r^{t,\text{free}} \leq \epsilon \{\mu_r^{t,\text{free}} - \mu_r^{t-1,\text{free}}\} \to 0$$

 $(\mu_r^{t,\text{free}} \text{ monotone by Griffiths})$

[Ising specific, but strategy extended to Potts, Independent Sets]

э

'≣ ► < ≣ ►



э.

$$G_n = (V_n \equiv [n], E_n)$$
$$x_i \in \{+1, -1\}$$

$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\beta \sum_{(ij)\in E_n} J_{ij} x_i x_j + B \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\}$$

 $J_{ij} \in \{+1, -1\}$ uniformly random

[Viana, Bray 1985]

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ...

э

$$G_n = (V_n \equiv [n], E_n)$$

 $x_i \in \{+1, -1\}$

$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\beta \sum_{(ij)\in E_n} J_{ij} x_i x_j + B \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\}$$

 $J_{ij} \in \{+1, -1\}$ uniformly random

[Viana, Bray 1985]

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ...

э

$$G_n = (V_n \equiv [n], E_n)$$
$$x_i \in \{+1, -1\}$$

$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\beta \sum_{(ij)\in E_n} J_{ij} x_i x_j + B \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\}$$

 $J_{ij} \in \{+1, -1\}$ uniformly random

[Viana, Bray 1985]

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Free energy density: brief survey

Theorem

If G_n uniformly random with average degree γ and $\beta < \beta_*(B, \gamma)$, then

$$\phi = \widehat{\phi}_*(\gamma, \beta, B).$$

Guerra, Toninelli 2003

$$B = 0$$
, $\beta_* = \operatorname{atanh}(1/\sqrt{\gamma})$

Talagrand 2001, 2003

$$B
eq$$
 0, $eta_*=O(1/\gamma)$

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほう

Free energy density: brief survey

Theorem

If G_n uniformly random with average degree γ and $\beta < \beta_*(B, \gamma)$, then

$$\phi = \widehat{\phi}_*(\gamma, \beta, B).$$

Guerra, Toninelli 2003

$$B = 0$$
, $\beta_* = \operatorname{atanh}(1/\sqrt{\gamma})$

Talagrand 2001, 2003

$$B
eq 0,\ eta_*=O(1/\gamma)$$

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほう

Free energy density: brief survey

Theorem

If G_n uniformly random with average degree γ and $\beta < \beta_*(B, \gamma)$, then

$$\phi = \widehat{\phi}_*(\gamma, \beta, B).$$

Guerra, Toninelli 2003

$$B = 0$$
, $\beta_* = \operatorname{atanh}(1/\sqrt{\gamma})$

Talagrand 2001, 2003

$$B \neq 0$$
, $\beta_* = O(1/\gamma)$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Free energy density

Theorem (D., Gerschenfeld, Montanari 11)

If G_n uniformly sparse and converges locally to $T(P, \rho, \infty)$ and $\beta < \beta_*(B, P)$, then

$$\phi = \widehat{\phi}_*(P,\beta,B).$$

 $K \sim k_{\mathrm{typ}} \gg 1 \Rightarrow \beta_*(B, P) \simeq \frac{f(B)}{\sqrt{k_{\mathrm{typ}}}} \text{ and } 0 < f(B) \uparrow \infty \text{ with } B.$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Theorem (D., Gerschenfeld, Montanari 11)

If G_n uniformly sparse and converges locally to $T(P, \rho, \infty)$ and $\beta < \beta_*(B, P)$, then

$$\phi = \widehat{\phi}_*(P,\beta,B).$$

 $K \sim k_{\mathrm{typ}} \gg 1 \Rightarrow \beta_*(B, P) \simeq \frac{f(B)}{\sqrt{k_{\mathrm{typ}}}} \text{ and } 0 < f(B) \uparrow \infty \text{ with } B.$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

$\widehat{\phi}_*(P,\overline{eta,B})$

For iid $\theta_i \in \{+\tanh\beta, -\tanh\beta\}$ uniformly at random, independent of K, L and iid $h_i^{(t)}$, let

$$h^{(t+1)} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \tanh\left\{B + \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \operatorname{atanh}(\theta_i h_i^{(t)})\right\},$$

Then $h^{(t)} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} h^*$ and for iid h_i^* independent of L,

$$\widehat{\phi}_*(P,\beta,B) = \log \cosh B + \frac{\overline{P}}{2} \log \cosh \beta - \frac{\overline{P}}{2} \mathbb{E} \log(1+\theta_0 h_1^* h_2^*) + \\ + \mathbb{E} \log \left\{ (1 + \tanh B) \prod_{i=1}^{L} (1+\theta_i h_i^*) + (1 - \tanh B) \prod_{i=1}^{L} (1-\theta_i h_i^*) \right\}.$$


For iid $\theta_i \in \{+ \tanh \beta, - \tanh \beta\}$ uniformly at random, independent of K, L and iid $h_i^{(t)}$, let

$$h^{(t+1)} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \tanh\left\{B + \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \operatorname{atanh}(\theta_i h_i^{(t)})\right\},$$

Then $h^{(t)} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} h^*$ and for iid h_i^* independent of L,

$$\widehat{\phi}_*(P,eta,B) = \log\cosh B + rac{\overline{P}}{2}\log\cosheta - rac{\overline{P}}{2}\mathbb{E}\log(1+ heta_0h_1^*h_2^*) + +\mathbb{E}\log\left\{(1+ anh B)\prod_{i=1}^L(1+ heta_ih_i^*) + (1- anh B)\prod_{i=1}^L(1- heta_ih_i^*)
ight\}.$$

Trees vs graphs: from reconstruction to pure states

- ∢ ≣ →

Alice and Bob



э

'문▶' ★ 문≯

Alice, Bob and G



< ∃ > < ∃ >

Exit Bob



<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

æ

Alice samples a proper coloring (uniformly)...



... and hides a ball B(root, t)



Bob. . .



*ロ * * @ * * 注 * * 注 *

æ

... guesses right!



- モト - モト

177 ▶

æ

The problem

Does Bob have a chance?

A B > A B >

Formally

 $X = \{X_i : i \in V\}$ uniformly random proper coloring.

 $\mu_U(\cdot | G)$ distribution of $X_U \equiv \{X_i : i \in U \subseteq V\}$

$$\overline{\mathsf{B}}(r,t) = \{i \in V : d(i,r) \ge t\}$$

Definition

The reconstruction problem is solvable for the sequence of random rooted graphs $G_n = (V_n = [n], E_n)$ if for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$||\mu_{r,\overline{\mathsf{B}}(r,t)}(\cdot,\cdot|G_n) - \mu_r(\cdot|G_n)\mu_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}(r,t)}(\cdot|G_n)||_{\mathrm{TV}} \geq \varepsilon,$$

with positive probability (bounded away from 0 as $n \to \infty$).

- \rightarrow Bleher, Ruiz, Zagrebenov (1995): Ising model on *b*-ary trees
- \rightarrow Evans, Kenyon, Peres, Schulman (2000): Ising on general trees
- \rightarrow Mossel, Peres (2003): Non binary variables
- \rightarrow Brightwell, Winkler (2004), Martin (2004): Independent sets.
- \rightarrow Chayes et al. (2006): Asymmetric Ising.

Pure states decomposition in *q*-COL



[Conjectured by Mézard, Montanari 06 that also prove (1) for regular tree-like graphs; (2) proved for Erdös-Rényi graphs by Montanari, Restrepo and Tetali 2011]

Combinatorics/Probability problems on random sparse graphs.

Unifying approach: approximation by trees.

Naturally leads to many interesting problems.

Combinatorics/Probability problems on random sparse graphs.

Unifying approach: approximation by trees.

Naturally leads to many interesting problems.

Combinatorics/Probability problems on random sparse graphs.

Unifying approach: approximation by trees.

Naturally leads to many interesting problems.

2. Ising spin glass - push $\beta_*(0, P)$ to the RSB point.

3. Rigorous understanding of the 'one-step replica symmetry' phase (as in *q*-coloring beyond $\gamma_d(q)$) and beyond.

2. Ising spin glass - push $\beta_*(0, P)$ to the RSB point.

3. Rigorous understanding of the 'one-step replica symmetry' phase (as in *q*-coloring beyond $\gamma_{\rm d}(q)$) and beyond.

2. Ising spin glass - push $\beta_*(0, P)$ to the RSB point.

3. Rigorous understanding of the 'one-step replica symmetry' phase (as in *q*-coloring beyond $\gamma_d(q)$) and beyond.

2. Ising spin glass - push $\beta_*(0, P)$ to the RSB point.

3. Rigorous understanding of the 'one-step replica symmetry' phase (as in *q*-coloring beyond $\gamma_d(q)$) and beyond.

If you want to know more about this...

- M. Mézard and A. Montanari, Information, Physics, Computation, Oxford Univ. Press. 2009.
- A. Dembo and A. Montanari, *Gibbs measures and phase transitions* on sparse random graphs, Brazilian J. of Probab. and Stat. 2010.
- A. Dembo, A. Gerschenfeld and A. Montanari, *Spin glasses on locally tree-like graphs*, in preparation
- A. Dembo, A. Montanari and N. Sun, *Factor models on locally tree-like graphs*, posted on ArXiv.