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### 5.1 Let $A$ be a commutative ring.

(a) An element $a$ in $A$ is a basis of the $A$-module $A$ if and only if $a \in A^{\times}$is a unit in $A$.
(b) Suppose that $A \neq 0$. Then $A$ is a principal ideal domain if and only if every ideal in $A$ is a free $A$-submodule of $A$.
(c) Let $V$ be a free $A$-module of infinite rank. Then $|V|=|A| \cdot \operatorname{Rank}_{A} V=\operatorname{Sup}\left\{|A|, \operatorname{Rank}_{A} V\right\}$.
5.2 (a) The elements $1, a \in \mathbb{R}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, if and only if $a$ is irrational (i. e. not rational). (Remark : Two real numbers $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ are called incommensurable. Classical example: the length of the side and the length of the diagonal of a square are incommensurable, since the real number $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ is irrational.)
(b) Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the set of all prime numbers $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Show that the family $(\log p)_{p \in \mathbb{P}}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.
5.3 (a) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and let $d:=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ be the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$. Then the relation submodule $\operatorname{Rel}_{\mathbb{Z}}(a, b):=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid x a+y b=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is generated by $\left(b d^{-1},-a d^{-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module.
(b) Let $V$ be a finite free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and let $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be an unimodular vector, i. e. $\mathbb{Z} a_{1}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} a_{n}=\mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ of $V$ with $z_{1}=a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}$. (Hint: Use (without proving!) submodules of finite free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules are again free. Construct a $\mathbb{Z}$-homomorphism $\pi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with $\pi\left(z_{1}\right)=1$. Then $V=A z_{1} \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \pi$.)
5.4 In the subspace $U:=\sum_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R} \sin (x+a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of all functions from $\mathbb{R}$ into itself, generated by the functions $x \mapsto \sin (x+a), a \in \mathbb{R}$, show that the two functions $x \mapsto \sin x, x \mapsto \cos x(=\sin (x+\pi / 2))$ form a basis of $U$.
In particular $\operatorname{Dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R} \sin (x+a)=2$.
5.5 Every $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $V \neq 0$ is not free over the subring $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$.
5.6 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $K$ be a field.
(a) Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in V$ be linearly dependent elements of a vector space $V$ over the field $K$. Suppose that $n$ elements among $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ are linearly independent over $K$. Then show that the relation subspace

$$
\operatorname{Rel}_{K}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right):=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in K^{n+1} \mid a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n+1} x_{n+1}=0\right\}\right.
$$

is 1-dimensional over $K$, i. e. $\operatorname{Dim}_{K}\left(\operatorname{Rel}_{K}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)\right)=1$.
(b) For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in K$ be $n$ distinct elements in a field $K$. Then the sequence $g_{i}:=\left(a_{i}^{v}\right)_{v \in \mathbb{N}} \in K^{\mathbb{N}}, i=1, \ldots, n$, are linearly independent over $K$. (Hint : Suppose that the $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$, are linearly dependent over $K$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\operatorname{Dim}_{K}\left(\operatorname{Rel}_{K}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)=1$, see the part (a). Let $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{Rel}_{K}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ be a basis element of the relation subspace $\operatorname{Rel}_{K}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$. Then the element ( $b_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} a_{n}$ ) is also belongs to $\operatorname{Rel}_{K}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$. This is a contradiction.)
(c) Let $I$ be an infinite set. Then $\operatorname{Dim}_{K}\left(K^{I}\right)=\left|K^{I}\right|$. (Hint: In view of Exercise 5.1 (c), it is enough to prove that $|K| \leq \operatorname{Dim}_{K} K^{I}$. Let $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow I$ be an injective map and for $a \in K$, let $g_{a}$ denote the $I$-tuple with $\left(g_{a}\right)_{\sigma(v)}:=a^{v}$ for $v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(g_{a}\right)_{i}:=0$ for $i \in I \backslash \operatorname{im} \sigma$. Then by the part (b) $\left(g_{a}\right)_{a \in K}$ are linearly independent over $K$.) - Deduce that $\operatorname{Dim}_{K} K^{I}>\operatorname{Dim}_{K} K^{(I)}$.
*5.7 Let $B$ be a ring and $A$ be a subring of $B$ such that $B$ is a free $A$-module. Then :
(a) An element $a \in A$ is a non-zerodivisor in $A$ if and only if $a$ is a non-zerodivisor in $B$.
(b) $(\mathfrak{a} B) \cap A=\mathfrak{a}$ for every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$.
(c) $A^{\times}=A \cap B^{\times}$. Moreover, if $B$ is a field, then so is $A$. (Hint: If $a \in A \cap B^{\times}$, then $B=a B$.)
5.8 Let $U$ and $W$ be free $A$-submodules of an arbitrary $A$-module $V$ with bases $x_{i}, i \in I$ and $y_{j}, j \in J$, respectively. Show that $x_{i}, y_{j}, i \in I, j \in J$, together form a basis of $U+W$ if and only if $U \cap W=0$.
5.9 Let $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} V \xrightarrow{f} V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of $A$-modules over a commutative ring $A$ and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A$. If the sequence split. $\left[\frac{1}{\square}\right.$ then the canonical induced sequence $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} / \mathfrak{a} V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\overline{f^{\prime}}} V / \mathfrak{a} V \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} V^{\prime \prime} / \mathfrak{a} V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is also exact and splits.
(Remark: In general the last canonical sequence need not be exact if the initial sequence is not split.
For example, consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} 2 \rightarrow 0$ of abelian groups which is not split.)
5.10 An exact sequence $V \xrightarrow{f} V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ of $A$-modules over a commutative ring $A$ splits if $V^{\prime \prime}$ is a free $A$-module.
5.11 Let $A$ be an Bézout domain ${ }^{2}$
(a) Every finite submodule of a finite free $A$-module is again free. (Hint : Let $V$ be a free $A$-module with basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ and let $U \subseteq V$ be a finite $A$-submodule. We prove the assertion by induction on $m$. For $m=0$ there is nothing to prove. Assume that $m>0$ and let $\pi$ be the projection of $V$ onto $V^{\prime \prime}:=A x_{m}$ along $V^{\prime}:=A x_{1}+\cdots+A x_{m-1}$ and $f=\pi \mid \operatorname{Img} \pi$ (the restriction of $\pi$ to $\operatorname{Img} \pi$ ). From the canonical short exact seqeunce :

$$
0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

[^0]by restrictions we get an exact sequence
$$
0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \cap U \longrightarrow U \xrightarrow{f \mid U} f(U) \rightarrow 0
$$

Now, since $f(U)$ (as the image of $U$ ) is a finite submodule of a free $A$-module $V^{\prime \prime}=A x_{m}$, it is a free $A$-module by induction hypothesis. Further, by Exercise 5.10 the last exact sequence splits and hence $U \cong f(U) \oplus\left(V^{\prime} \cap U\right)$. Moreover, $V^{\prime} \cap U$ is a finite $A$-module, since it is a direct summand of a finite $A$-module $U$ and by induction hypothesis $V^{\prime} \cap U$ is an $A$-submodule of a free $A$-module $V^{\prime}$ with basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}$. Altogether, this proves that $U$ is a free $A$-module.
(b) Every finite torsion-free $A$-module is free. (Hint : Every finite torsion-free module over an integral domain is a submodule of a finite free $A$-module. for a proof see solution of Ecxersie 2.9 (c).)
(c) Every finite submodule of an $A$-module of finite presentation ${ }^{3}$ is itself of finite presentation.
5.12 Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be an $A$-module homomorphism of $A$-modules over a commutative $\operatorname{ring} A$, where $W$ is a free $A$-module. Further, let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ be an ideal in $A$.
(a) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is nilpotent and if $f$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{f}: V / \mathfrak{a} V \xrightarrow{\sim} W / \mathfrak{a} W$, then $f$ itself is an isomorphism.
(b) If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{A}$ (=the Jacobson-radical of $A$ ), and if $V$ and $W$ are finite $A$-modules and if $f$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{f}: V / \mathfrak{a} V \xrightarrow{\sim} W / \mathfrak{a} W$, then $f$ itself is an isomorphism.
(Hint : First show that $f$ is surjective and then consider the split exact sequence, see Footnote No. 1

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} f \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} W \rightarrow 0 .
$$

- Remark : The assertions in the parts (a) and (b) holds also even if $W$ is only projective A-module. Recall that an $A$-module $P$ is called projective over $A$ if it is isomorphic to direct summand of a free $A$-module. Equivalently, every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} V \xrightarrow{f} P \rightarrow 0$ of $A$-modules splits, see Footnote No. 1. )
5.13 An $A$-module $V$ over a commutative $\operatorname{ring} A$ is isomorphic to the dual of an $A$-module of finite presentation if and only if $V$ is isomorphic to the kernel $\operatorname{Ker} f$ of an $A$-module homomorphism $f: F \rightarrow G$ where $F$ and $G$ are finite free $A$-modules.
5.14 Let $A$ be a noetherian commutative ring. then every torsion-less finite $A$-module is isomorphic to submodule of a finite free $A$-module. (Hint : Recall the concept of a torsion-less modules from the solution of the Exercise 2.9 (c).)
${ }^{\mathrm{R}} 5.15$ Let $x_{i}, i \in I$, be a family of $n$-tuples from $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For a prime number $p$, let $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ denote the prime field of characteristic $p$. Show that the following statements are equivalent:
(i) The $x_{i}, i \in I$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) The images of $x_{i}, i \in I$, in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.
(iii) There exists a prime number $p$ such that the images of $x_{i}, i \in I$, in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
(iv) For almost all prime numbers $p$, the images of $x_{i}, i \in I$, in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

[^1]Moreover, if $I$ is finite with $|I|=n$, then the above statements are further equivalent to the following statement
(v) There exists a non-zero integer $m$ such that $m \mathbb{Z}^{n} \subseteq \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} x_{i}$.
(Hint : All four conditions (i) to iv) imply that $|I| \leq n$. Consider the case $|I|=n$.)
5.16 Let $x_{i}, i \in I$, be a family of $n$-tuples from $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For every prime number $p$ let $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ denote a field with $p$ elements. Show that the following statements are equivalent:
(i) The $x_{i}, i \in I$, generate (the $\mathbb{Z}$-module) $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
(ii) For every prime number $p$, the images of $x_{i}, i \in I$, in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$, generate the $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$. (Hint: (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) : Let $U:=\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} x_{i}$. Note that by the above Exercise 5.9 , there exists a non-zero integer $m$ with $m \mathbb{Z}^{n} \subseteq U$. Further: to every prime number $p$ and every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ there exist $x^{\prime} \in U, y \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that $x=x^{\prime}+p y$, i. e. $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \subseteq U+p \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ for every prime number $p$. From this deduce that $U=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Split Exact Sequence An exact sequence $V \xrightarrow{f} V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ (resp. $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} V^{\prime}$ ) of $A$-modules splits if $\operatorname{Ker} f\left(\right.$ resp. $\operatorname{Img} f$ ) is a direct summand of $V$. Equivalently, there exists an $A$-module homomorphism $g^{\prime \prime}: V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow V$ (resp. $g: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ ) such that $f \circ g^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{id}_{V^{\prime \prime}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.g \circ f^{\prime}=\mathrm{id}_{V^{\prime}}\right)$.
    A short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} V \xrightarrow{f} V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ spilts if $\operatorname{Img} f^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ker} f$ is a direct summand of $V$. Equivalently, one (and hence both) of the exact sequences $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} V$ and $V \xrightarrow{f} V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ splits. Moreover, in this case $V=\operatorname{Img} f^{\prime} \oplus U$, where $U \subseteq V$ is an $A$-submodule with $f \mid U: U \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\prime \prime}$, i. e. the restriction of $f$ to $U$ is an $A$-isomorphism of $U$ onto $V^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore $V \cong V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ A integral domain in which every finitely generated ideal is principal is called a Bézout domain. Bézout domains are named after the French mathematician Étienne Bézout (1730-1783). Every PID is a Bézout domain, but not conversely.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Finitely presented modules Recall that an $A$-module $V$ is of finite presentation if there exists a finite generating system $x_{i}, i \in I$ (finite indexed set), such that the corresponding relation-module rel $A_{A}\left(x_{i} \mid i \in I\right)$ is also finite. Equivalently, if there exist natural numbers $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence of $A$-modules
    $A^{m} \rightarrow A^{n} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$
    is exact. Note that: Finitely generated modules over a noetherian ring $A$ are finitely presented.
    Exercise Let $V$ be an $A$-module of finite presentation and let $W$ be a finite $A$-modue, $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ be a surjective $A$-module homomorphism Then $\operatorname{Ker} \pi$ is also finite $A$-module.

