

E0 219 Linear Algebra and Applications / August-December 2011

(ME, MSc. Ph. D. Programmes)

Download from : <http://www.math.iisc.ernet.in/patil/courses/courses/Current Courses/...>**Tel :** +91-(0)80-2293 2239/(Maths Dept. 3212)**E-mails :** dppatil@csa.iisc.ernet.in / patil@math.iisc.ernet.in**Lectures :** Monday and Wednesday ; 11:30–13:00**Venue:** CSA, Lecture Hall (Room No. 117)**Corrections by :** Jasine Babu (jasinekb@gmail.com) / Nitin Singh (nitin@math.iisc.ernet.in) /Amulya Ratna Swain (amulya@csa.iisc.ernet.in) / Meghana Mande (meghanamande@gmail.com) /Achintya Kundu (achintya.ece@gmail.com)**1-st Midterm :** Saturday, September 17, 2011; 10:30 -12:30**2-nd Midterm :** Saturday, October 22, 2011; 10:30 -12:30**Final Examination :** December ??, 2011, 10:00 -13:00**Evaluation Weightage : Assignments :** 20%**Midterms (Two) :** 30%**Final Examination :** 50%**3. Generating systems, Linear independence, Bases****Submit a solution of the *-Exercise ONLY****Due Date : Monday, 29-08-2011 (Before the Class)**

3.1 (a) Let K be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$, i. e. $1 + 1 \neq 0$ in K and let $a \in K$. Compute the solution set of the following systems of linear equations over K :

$$\begin{array}{lcl} ax_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 & & x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 1 \\ x_1 + ax_2 + x_3 = 1 & \text{and} & 2x_1 + 3x_2 + ax_3 = 3 \\ x_1 + x_2 + ax_3 = 1; & & x_1 + ax_2 + 3x_3 = 2; \end{array}$$

For which a these systems have exactly one solution ?

(b) The set of m -tuples $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in K^m$ for which a linear system of equations $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j = b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, over a field K has a solution is a K -subspace of K^m .

(c) Let K be a subfield of the field L and let $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j = b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ be a system of linear equations over K . If this system has a solution $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L^n$, then it also has a solution in K^n .

***3.2 (a)** Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$ be linearly independent (over K) in a K -vector space V and let $x := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \in V$ with $a_i \in K$. Show that $x_1 - x, \dots, x_n - x$ are linearly independent over K if and only if $a_1 + \dots + a_n \neq 1$.

(b) Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a basis of the K -vector space V and let $a_{ij} \in K$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Show that

$$y_1 = a_{11}x_1, y_2 = a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2, \dots, y_n = a_{1n}x_1 + a_{2n}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n$$

is a basis of V if and only if $a_{11} \cdots a_{nn} \neq 0$.

(c) Show that the family $\{\ln p \mid p \text{ prime number}\}$ of real numbers is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . (**Hint :** Use the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, see Test-Exercise T3.1.)

3.3 Let K be a field and let $K[X]$ (respectively, $K[X]_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$) be the K -vector space of all polynomials (respectively, polynomials of degree $< m$) with coefficients in K . Let $f_n \in K[X]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of polynomials with $\deg f_n \leq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that:

(a) For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, f_0, \dots, f_{m-1} is a K -basis of the subspace $K[X]_m$ if and only if $\deg f_n = n$ for all $n = 0, \dots, m-1$.

(b) $f_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a basis of the K -vector space $K[X]$ if and only if $\deg f_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (**Hint :** Use part (a).)

3.4 (a) Let $f: I \rightarrow K$ be a K -valued function with image $f(I)$ infinite. Then the sequence $f^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ of powers of f is linearly independent (over K) in the K -vector space K^I .

(b) The sequences $(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^n, \dots) \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\lambda \in K$, are linearly independent over K . (**Hint :** See Test-Exercise T3.6.)

On the other side one can see auxiliary results and (simple) test-exercises.

Auxiliary Results/Test-Exercises

To understand and appreciate the Test-Exercises which are marked with the symbol † one may possibly require more mathematical maturity than one has!

T3.1 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic¹) Proposition 14 of Book IX of Euclid's "Elements" embodies the result which later became known as the **Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic**: *Every Natural number $a > 1$ is a product of prime numbers and this representation is "essentially" unique, apart from the order in which the prime factors occur.*

(a) (Existence of prime decomposition) *Every natural number $a > 1$ has a prime decomposition $a = p_1 \cdots p_n$, where we may choose p_1 as the smallest (prime) divisor t of a . (Proof: Either a is prime or composite.; in the former case there is nothing to prove. If a is composite, then by the minimality principle (applied to the non-empty subset $T = \{d \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid d|a \text{ and } d > 1\}$, $a \in T$) there exists a smallest prime divisor p_1 of a , i. e. $a = p_1 \cdot b$ with $1 \leq b < a$ (since $1 < p_1 \leq a$). Now, by induction hypothesis b has a prime decomposition $b = p_2 \cdots p_n$ and hence a has a prime decomposition $a = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_n$.)*

(b) (Uniqueness of prime decomposition) *A prime decomposition of every natural number $a > 1$ is essentially unique. More precisely, if $a = p_1 \cdots p_n$ and $a = q_1 \cdots q_m$ are two prime decompositions of a with prime numbers $p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_m$, then $m = n$ and there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $q_i = p_{\sigma(i)}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. (Remark: For a proof of uniqueness one uses the Euclid's lemma² on the prime property and hence uses implicitly the division algorithm and therefore make use of the additive structure of \mathbb{N} . The existence of prime decomposition only uses the multiplicative structure on \mathbb{N} and not the additive structure on \mathbb{N} . This leads to the question: Can one give a proof of the uniqueness of the prime decomposition which only depends on the multiplicative structure of \mathbb{N} ? The answer to this question is negative!)*

T3.2 Let x_1, \dots, x_n, x be elements of a vector space over a field K . Then

(a) The family $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ is linearly dependent over K , but every n of these vectors are linearly independent over K .

(b) Show that x_1, \dots, x_n, x are linearly independent over K if and only if x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly independent over K and $x \notin Kx_1 + \cdots + Kx_n$.

(c) Show that x_1, \dots, x_n is a generating system of V if and only if x_1, \dots, x_n, x is a generating system of V and $x \in Kx_1 + \cdots + Kx_n$.

T3.3 Let V be a vector space over a field K .

(a) If V has a finite (respectively, a countable) generating system, then every generating system of V has a finite (respectively, a countable) generating system.

(b) If V has a countable infinite basis, then every basis of V is countable infinite.

(c) If there is an uncountable linearly independent system in V , then no generating system of V is countable.

(d) If K is countable and if V has a countable generating system, then V is countable. In particular, every Hamel-basis of \mathbb{R} over \mathbb{Q} is uncountable.

(e) If $v_i, i \in I$, is a generating system for V , then every maximal linearly independent subsystem of $v_i, i \in I$, is a basis of V .

T3.4 Let $a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, be a sequence of elements in K . Show that :

¹ The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic does not seem to have been stated explicitly in Euclid's elements, although some of the propositions in book VII and/or IX are almost equivalent to it. Its first clear formulation with proof seems to have been given by Gauss in *Disquisitiones arithmeticae* §16 (Leipzig, Fleischer, 1801). It was, of course, familiar to earlier mathematicians; but Gauss was the first to develop arithmetic as a systematic science.

² **Euclid's Lemma** *If a prime number p divides a product ab of two natural numbers a and b , then p divides one of the factor a or b . (Proof: The set $A := \{x \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid p|ax\}$ contains p and b and hence by the minimality principle it has a smallest element c . We claim that $c|y$ for every $y \in A$. For, by division algorithm $y = qc + r$ with $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq r < c$. Then, since $p|ay$ and $p|ac$, $p|ay - q(ac) = ar$. This proves that $r = 0$; otherwise $r \in A$ and $r < c$ a contradiction to the minimality of c in A . Therefore $c|y$ for every $y \in A$; in particular, $c|p$ and hence $c = 1$ or $c = p$. If $c = 1$, then $p|ac = a$. If $c = p$, then (since $b \in A$) by the above claim $p|b$.*

- (a) For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the polynomials $1, X - a_1, \dots, (X - a_1) \cdots (X - a_{m-1})$ form a K -basis of $K[X]_m$.
- (b) The polynomials $(X - a_1) \cdots (X - a_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$, form a K -basis of $K[X]$.

†**T3.5** Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an infinite subset and let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}[X]$ be the set of all monic polynomials of degree 2 without any real zeros. Then

$$t^n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \frac{1}{(t-a)^m}, m \in \mathbb{N}^*, a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus D, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{t^r}{q^\ell}, r \in \{0, 1\}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^*, q \in Q,$$

together form a \mathbb{R} -basis of the \mathbb{R} -vector space of real rational functions defined on D .

T3.6 Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be pairwise distinct elements in a field K . Then the elements

$$x_1 := (1, \lambda_1, \lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_1^{n-1}), \dots, x_n := (1, \lambda_n, \lambda_n^2, \dots, \lambda_n^{n-1}) \in K^n$$

are linearly independent over K . (**Hint** : Induction on n . Assume the result for $n - 1$ and $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$. Then we have the equations: $a_1\lambda_nx'_1 + \dots + a_n\lambda_nx'_n = 0$ and $a_1\lambda_1x'_1 + \dots + a_n\lambda_nx'_n = 0$, and so $a_1(\lambda_n - \lambda_1)x'_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})x'_{n-1} = 0$, where $x'_i := (1, \lambda_i, \dots, \lambda_i^{n-2}), i = 1, \dots, n$.)

†**T3.7 (a)** The vector space of all sequences $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ has no countable generating system over K . (**Hint** : Consider the cases K countable and uncountable separately to show that $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ is never countable and use Test-Exercises T3.3-(c), (d) and Exercise 3.4-(b).)

(b) Let I be an infinite set. Then the K -vector space K^I of K -valued functions on I has no countable generating system over K .

(c) The K -subspace of $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ generated by the characteristic functions $e_A, A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has no countable generating system. (**Hint** : If \mathfrak{A} is a totally ordered subset of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, then the family $e_A, A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is linearly independent. Now, use the fact that there are uncountable totally ordered subsets in the ordered set $(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{N}), \subseteq)$.)

†**T3.8 (a)** Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval which contain more than one point. Then none of the \mathbb{K} -vector space $C_{\mathbb{K}}^\alpha(I), \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, has a countable generating system.

(b) The \mathbb{K} -vector space of all convergent power series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_nx^n$ with coefficients a_n from \mathbb{K} has no countable generating system over \mathbb{K} .

T3.9 Which of the following systems of functions are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} in the \mathbb{R} -vector space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of all functions.

(a) $1, \text{sint}, \text{cost}$. (b) $\text{sint}, \text{cost}, \sin(\alpha + t)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed).

(c) $t, |t|, \text{Sigt}$. (d) $e^t, \text{sint}, \text{cost}$.

†**T3.10 (a)** (Quasi-polynomials) The functions $t^n e^{\alpha t}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, are linearly independent in the \mathbb{C} -vector space \mathbb{C}^D of \mathbb{C} -valued functions on a subset $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ which has a limit point in \mathbb{C} . (**Remark** : The \mathbb{C} -subspace generated by these functions is called the space of quasi-polynomials. One usually proves in the first course on differential equation that: *The quasi-polynomials are the solutions of the linear differential equations with constant coefficients $P(D)y = 0, P \in \mathbb{C}[X] \setminus \{0\}$. More precisely: Let $P = (X - \lambda_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (X - \lambda_r)^{\alpha_r} \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ be a polynomial with pairwise distinct zeros $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, t^{\alpha_1 - 1} e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_r t}, \dots, t^{\alpha_r - 1} e^{\lambda_r t}$ is a \mathbb{K} -basis of the solution space $\{y \in C_{\mathbb{K}}^n(I) \mid P(D)y = 0\}$ of the corresponding homogeneous differential equation $P(D)(y) = (D - \lambda_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (D - \lambda_r)^{\alpha_r}(y) = 0$ consisting $n := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r = \text{deg } P$ elements.)*

(b) The functions $t^m e^{bt} \cos \beta t, m \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$; $t^k e^{ct} \sin \gamma t, k \in \mathbb{N}, c \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, together form a basis of the \mathbb{R} -vector space of the real valued quasi-polynomials $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. (See part (a).)

(c) Let Λ be the set of numbers $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ or with $\text{Re } \lambda = 0$ and $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$. Then the functions

$$t^n, n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad t^m \cos \beta t, m \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in \Lambda; \quad t^k \sin \gamma t, k \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \Lambda,$$

together form a basis of the \mathbb{C} -vector space of the quasi-polynomials $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. (See part (a).)

T3.11 Let K be a field. Let $f_i, i \in I$, and $g_j, j \in J$, be linearly independent K -valued functions on the sets X resp. Y . Then the functions $f_i \otimes g_j: (x, y) \mapsto f_i(x)g_j(y), (i, j) \in I \times J$, are linearly independent in $K^{X \times Y}$.

T3.12 Let $K \subseteq L$ be a field extension and let $b_i, i \in I$, be a K -basis of L . If V is a L -vector space with L -basis $y_j, j \in J$, then $b_i y_j, (i, j) \in I \times J$, is a K -basis of V .