

3.B Dimension of Vector Spaces

In this section we shall prove that all bases of a vector space V over a field K have the same number of elements.

First we restrict ourselves to vector space which has finite basis.

3.B.1 Exchange Lemma Let V be

a vector space with a basis v_1, \dots, v_n .

Further, let $w = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k + \dots + a_n v_n \in V$

If $a_k \neq 0$, then $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, w, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$
is a basis of V .

Proof ⁽¹⁾ First we show that $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, w, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$ are linearly independent.

For this suppose that

$$b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_{k-1} v_{k-1} + b w + b_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \dots + b_n v_n = 0$$

Then by substituting for w we get:

$$(b_1 + b a_1) v_1 + \dots + (b_{k-1} + b a_{k-1}) v_{k-1} +$$

$$b a_k v_k + (b_{k+1} + b a_{k+1}) v_{k+1} + \dots + (b_m + b a_m) v_m = 0$$

Since v_1, \dots, v_m are linearly independent,

$$b_1 + b a_1 = \dots = b_{k-1} + b a_{k-1} = b a_k = b + b a_{k+1} = \dots$$

$b_m + b a_m = 0$. Now, since $a_k \neq 0$, it follows from $b a_k = 0$ that $b = 0$ and finally then $b_i = 0$ for all $i \neq k$.

(2) We shall show that $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, w, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_m$ is a generating system for V . Since v_1, \dots, v_n generates V , it is enough to show that v_k is a linear combination of $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, w, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$. This is clear from the equation:

$$v_k = -a_{k-1}^{-1} a_{k-1} v_{k-1} - \dots - a_k^{-1} a_k v_k + a_k^{-1} w - a_k^{-1} a_{k+1} v_{k+1} - \dots - a_k^{-1} a_m v_m.$$

The following important theorem is a stronger version of 3.A.16 for vector

Spaces with finite basis

3.B.2 Steinitz's exchange Theorem

Let V be a K -vector space, v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis of V and w_1, \dots, w_m be linearly independent elements in V .

Then $m \leq n$ and there are $n-m$ elements from v_1, \dots, v_n which together with w_1, \dots, w_m form a basis of V .

Proof We use induction on m . The case $m=0$ is trivial. For the inductive step from $m-1$ to m , we may assume that the elements w_1, \dots, w_{m-1} are ^{to a basis of V} extended by adding some $n-m+1$ elements from v_1, \dots, v_n . By renumbering we may further assume that

$w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, v_m, \dots, v_n$ is a basis of V .

Therefore ~~there is a~~ ^{w_m has a linear combination} representation:

$$w_m = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_{m-1} w_{m-1} + a_m v_m + \dots + a_n v_n$$

Since w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w_m are linearly

independent, there is at least one coefficient from a_m, \dots, a_n is non-zero, say $a_m \neq 0$. Then by 3.B.1,

$v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n$ is a basis of V .

3.B.3 Theorem Let V be a K -vector space which has a finite basis v_1, \dots, v_n . Then every basis of V is finite and has exactly n elements.

Proof By 3.B.2 every linearly independent family in V has at most n elements. So every basis of V has at most n elements.

Further, there is no basis ~~with~~ ^{of cardinality} less than n , since otherwise by 3.B.2 we can extend this to a basis of cardinality n .

3.B.4 Definition Let V be a K -vector space.

(1) If V has a finite basis, then V is called finite dimensional and the uniquely determined natural number $n =$ the number of elements in a basis of V is called the dimension of V and is denoted by

$$\text{Dim}_K V \text{ or simply by } \text{Dim } V.$$

If $\text{Dim}_K V = n$, then V is called n -dimensional.

(2) If V does not have finite basis, then V is called infinite dimensional

In this case we write $\text{Dim}_K V = \text{Dim } V = \infty$.

If V has a countable infinite basis, then we also write

$$\text{Dim}_K V = \text{Dim } V = \aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|$$

and if V ~~has~~ does not have countable basis, then $\text{Dim}_K V > \aleph_0$

3.B.5 Examples (1) Let I be a set and let K be a field. By Example 3.A.5, the K -vector space $K^{(I)}$ is finite dimensional if and only if I is finite. In this case $K^{(I)} = K^I$ and $\text{Dim}_K K^{(I)} = |I| =$ the number of elements in I .

In particular, $\text{Dim}_K K^n = n$ for all natural number. If I is countable infinite, then $\text{Dim}_K K^{(I)} = |I| = \aleph_0$.

If I is uncountable, then $\text{Dim}_K K^{(I)} > \aleph_0$
(See Exercise 3.A 20b)

(2) Let K be a field. The space $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ of sequences in K does not have countable generating system, this follows from the following: Let I be countable infinite, then $\text{Dim}_K K^{(I)} = \aleph_0$ and if I is infinite, then $\text{Dim}_K K^I > \aleph_0$
(See Exercise 3.A 20b)

(3) Let V be a \mathbb{C} -vector space. By Example 3.A.12, V is finite dimensional if and only if V is finite dimensional as \mathbb{R} -vector space. In this case $\text{Dim}_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2 \cdot \text{Dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V$. More generally,

by 3.A, Exercise 28: If $K \subseteq L$ is a field extension with $\text{Dim}_K L = m < \infty$, then as L -vector space V is finite dimensional if and only if V is finite dimensional as K -vector space. In this case $\text{Dim}_K V = m \cdot \text{Dim}_L V$. The dimension $\text{Dim}_K L$ is called the degree of the field extension $K \subseteq L$ and is usually denoted by $[L:K]$. For example $[\mathbb{C}:\mathbb{R}] = 2$ and $[\mathbb{R}:\mathbb{Q}] (= \aleph)$ $> \aleph_0$.

(4) Let K be an infinite field. Then the space $K[t]_n$ of the polynomial functions of degree $< n$ has the dimension n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see Example 3.A.7.

(5) Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval with more than one point. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, $\text{Dim}_K C_K^\alpha(I) > \aleph_0$, see 3.A. Exercise 21.

(6) Let V and W be K -vector spaces with bases $v_i, i \in I$ and $w_j, j \in J$, respectively. Then clearly $(v_i, 0), i \in I$ and $(0, w_j), j \in J$ together form a basis of $V \oplus W = V \times W$. In particular, $V \oplus W$ is finite dimensional if and only if both V and W are finite dimensional. In this case $\text{Dim}_K V \oplus W = \text{Dim}_K V + \text{Dim}_K W$.

Analogously, one can show that for arbitrary finite dimensional K -vector spaces V_1, \dots, V_n , the direct sum $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$ is also finite dimensional with $\text{Dim}_K (V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n) = \text{Dim}_K V_1 + \dots + \text{Dim}_K V_n$.

3.B.6 Example Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval with more than one point. Then the \mathbb{K} -vector space V of the solutions of the homogeneous linear differential equations

$$P(D)y = y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1y' + a_0y = 0$$

has dimension n . Every basis of this vector space is called a fundamental system of solutions of the differential equation. If $P(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^{n_1} \dots (x - \lambda_r)^{n_r}$ with pairwise distinct $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{K}$, $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then the quasi-polynomials

$$e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, t^{n_1-1} e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_r t}, \dots, t^{n_r-1} e^{\lambda_r t}$$

is a \mathbb{K} -basis, i.e. a fundamental system of solutions of $P(D)y = 0$, cf. Vol 1, 19.D.6. For further assertions see Vol 1, 19.D.8 and 19.D Exercises 4 and 5 and Section 11.E of Vol 2

If the dimension n of a finite dimensional vector space V is known, then to check that a system of n elements v_1, \dots, v_n in V is a basis of V , it is enough only to check only one of the both basis-properties, namely, either v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent or v_1, \dots, v_n is a generating system for V .

3.B.7 Theorem Let V be an n -dimensional K -vector space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a system v_1, \dots, v_n of n elements in V , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V .
- (ii) v_1, \dots, v_n is a generating system for V .
- (iii) v_1, \dots, v_n is linearly independent.

Proof The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (i) \Rightarrow (iii) are trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): The generating system v_1, \dots, v_n contains a basis by Corollary to 3.A.15 and by 3.B.3 every basis of V has exactly n elements. So v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): The linearly independent system v_1, \dots, v_n can be extended to a basis of V by 3.B.2, but by 3.B.3 every basis of V has exactly n elements. So v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V .

3.B.8 Example (Partial fractions)

We once again prove here Theorem 11.B.2 of Volume 1 on the partial fractions: Let K be an infinite field, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in K$ distinct elements in K , $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ +ve natural numbers with $n := n_1 + \dots + n_r$ and G be the polynomial function

$$G := (t - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (t - \alpha_m)^{n_m}.$$

Then the rational functions

$$\frac{1}{t-\alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{(t-\alpha_1)^{n_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{t-\alpha_r}, \dots, \frac{1}{(t-\alpha_r)^{n_r}}$$

defined on $K \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ and is a basis of the vector space of all rational functions of the form F/G , where F is a polynomial function of degree $< n$.

Proof Since the vector space

$V = \{F/G \mid F \in K[t]_n\}$ has dimension n , by 3.B.7 it is enough to prove that the above given rational functions are linearly independent. For this, suppose that

$$R := \frac{\alpha_{11}}{(t-\alpha_1)} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{1n_1}}{(t-\alpha_1)^{n_1}} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{r1}}{(t-\alpha_r)} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{rn_r}}{(t-\alpha_r)^{n_r}}$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{1n_1}, \dots, \alpha_{r1}, \dots, \alpha_{rn_r} \in K$$

and $\alpha_{i\bar{j}} \neq 0$, $\alpha_{i\bar{j}+1} = \dots = \alpha_{in_i} = 0$ for some index pair i, \bar{j} . Now, multiplying the equation $R=0$ by $\frac{G}{(t-\alpha_i)^{n_i}}$

We get $(t - \alpha_i)H + \alpha_{ij}G_i = 0$

with a polynomial function H and

$G_i := \prod_{k \neq i} (t - \alpha_k)^{m_k}$. In this poly

nomial equation, put $t = \alpha_i$ to

conclude that $\alpha_{ij}G_i(\alpha_i) \neq 0$ in K ,

but $G_i(\alpha_i) = 0$. ~~Since~~ Therefore

$\alpha_{ij} = 0$ which is a contradiction to our choice that $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$.

From 3.B.2 it follows that:

3.B.9 Theorem Let V be a K -vector space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(1) V is finite dimensional of $\dim_K V \leq n$

if and only if every $n+1$ vectors in V are linearly dependent.

(2) V is finite dimensional of $\dim_K V = n$

if and only if every $n+1$ vectors in V are linearly dependent and there are n linearly independent vectors in V .

(3) V is finite dimensional of $\text{Dim}_K V \leq n$
if and only if V has a generating
system consisting n vectors.

(4) V is finite dimensional of $\text{Dim}_K V = n$
if and only if V has a generating
system consisting n vectors and
(for $n > 0$) every generating system
of V has at least n elements.

In the following theorem we study
 the dimension of subspace in a finite
 dimensional vector space:

3.B.10 Theorem Let V be a finite
dimensional K -vector space and let
 $W \subseteq V$ be a subspace of V . Then:

(1) W is also finite dimensional and
 $\text{Dim}_K W \leq \text{Dim}_K V$.

(2) Every basis of W can be extended

(3) $W=V$ if and only if $\text{Dim}_K W = \text{Dim}_K V$.

Proof Let $n := \text{Dim}_K V$. Then every $n+1$ vectors in V are linearly dependent and hence every $(n+1)$ vectors in W are also linearly independent and hence $\text{Dim}_K W \leq n$ by 3.B.9 (1). This proves (1). (2) and (3) directly follow from 3.B.2 (Steinitz's exchange theorem)

The proof of the following theorem is a typical example of how one can work with bases.

3.B.11 Dimensional Formula. Let U and W be finite dimensional sub space of a K -vector space V . Then $U \cap W$ and $U+W$ are also finite dimensional and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_K(U+W) + \dim_K(U \cap W) \\ = \dim_K U + \dim_K W. \end{aligned}$$

Proof $U \cap W$ is a subspace of U (or W) and hence finite dimensional. Let x_1, \dots, x_r be a basis of $U \cap W$. Extend this to bases

$x_1, \dots, x_r, u_1, \dots, u_s$ resp. $x_1, \dots, x_r, w_1, \dots, w_t$ of U resp. W . It is enough to show that

$x_1, \dots, x_r, u_1, \dots, u_s, w_1, \dots, w_t$ is a basis of $U+W$.

First, we shall show that they are linearly independent: from

$$a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_r x_r + b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_s u_s + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_t w_t = 0$$

it follows that

$$x := a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_r x_r + b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_s u_s = -c_1 w_1 - \dots - c_t w_t \in U \cap W$$

and hence x is a linear combination of x_1, \dots, x_r only and hence $b_1 = \dots = b_s = 0$.

Now, from $a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_r x_r + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_s w_s = 0$,
 and linear independence of $x_1, \dots, x_r, w_1, \dots, w_s$
 it follows that $a_1 = \dots = a_r = c_1 = \dots = c_s = 0$.

The vectors $x_1, \dots, x_r, w_1, \dots, w_s, w_1, \dots, w_s$
 generate $U+W$. The subspace gen.
 by $x_1, \dots, x_r, w_1, \dots, w_s, w_1, \dots, w_s$ is clearly
 contained in $U+W$. Moreover, it
 contains the subspaces $U = Kx_1 + \dots + Kx_r +$
 $Kw_1 + \dots + Kw_s$ and $N = Kx_1 + \dots + Kx_r + Kw_1 + \dots + Kw_s$
 and hence also contains $U+W$.

3.B.12 Examples

(1) Let V be 1-dimensional K -vector
 space. Then ^{can}subspaces of V have dime-
 nsions 0 or 1 ^{only}. Therefore 0 and V are
 the only subspaces of V .

(2) Let V be a 2-dimensional K -vector
 space. Other than the trivial subspaces
 0 and V of dimensions 0 and 2, V has
 only 1-dimensional subspaces Kx , where
 x is a vector in V , $x \neq 0$. Analogously,

in a 3-dimensional K -vector space V , other than 0 , V and 1-dimensional subspaces Kx , $x \in V$, $x \neq 0$, there are the 2-dimensional subspaces $Kx + Ky$, where $x, y \in V$ are linearly independent vectors in V , i.e. each vector is not a multiple of the other.

(3) (Flags) Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis of the n -dimensional K -vector space V . Then the subspaces $V_i := Kv_1 + \dots + Kv_i$, $i=0, \dots, n$, form a chain of subspaces:

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_n = V$$

with $\dim_K V_i = i$, $i=0, \dots, n$. This chain of subspaces in V has maximal length. For, if $U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_m \subseteq V$ is a proper ascending chain of subspaces in V , then by 3.B.10(3) $\dim_K U_{i-1} < \dim_K U_i$ and hence $\dim_K U_i \geq i$ for all $i=0, \dots, m$ and so $m \leq \dim_K U_m \leq \dim_K V = n$.

A maximal proper ascending chain 3B/19

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_{m-1} \subset W_m = V$$

of subspaces in V , necessarily have

$$\text{Dim}_K W_i = i, \text{ for all } i = 0, \dots, m.$$

Such a chain is called a flag in V .

For such a chain if we choose $w_i \in W_i$,
 $w_i \notin W_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $W_i = \sum_{j=1}^i K w_j$

$i = 0, \dots, m$ and w_1, \dots, w_m is a basis of V .

3.B.13 Example (1) We use the notation as in 3.B.11. From the dimension formula it follows that

$$\text{Dim}_K (U + W) \leq \text{Dim}_K U + \text{Dim}_K W,$$

moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\text{Dim}_K U \cap W = 0$, i.e. $U \cap W = 0$; in this we say that $U + W$ is a direct sum of U and W . We shall

discuss this important concept in section § 5.F extensively.

If V is also finite dimensional with $\text{Dim}_K V = n$, then $\text{Dim}_K U + W \leq \text{Dim}_K V = n$ and from dimension formula we get the inequality:

$$\text{Dim}_K(U \cap W) \geq \text{Dim}_K U + \text{Dim}_K W - n.$$

In particular, if the sum $\text{Dim}_K U + \text{Dim}_K W$ of the dimensions of U and W is bigger than the dimension of V , then their intersection $U \cap W$ is not the zero space

(2) (Codimension - hyperplanes) Let

V be a K -vector space of the finite dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a subspace U of V ,

$n - \text{Dim}_K U$ is called the codimension of U in V and is denoted by

$$\text{Codim}_V U = \text{Codim}(U, V)$$

From the dimension formula 3.B.11 we get the codimension formula:

$$\text{Codim}_V(U+W) + \text{Codim}_V(U \cap W) = \text{Codim}_V U + \text{Codim}_V W$$

for arbitrary subspaces $U, W \subseteq V$.

In particular,

$$\text{Codim}_V(U \cap W) \leq \text{Codim}_V U + \text{Codim}_V W$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\text{Codim}_V(U+W) = 0$, i.e. if and only if $U+W=V$. In this case we say that U and W are transversal in V .

A subspace of codimension 1 in V , i.e. dimension $\text{Dim}_K V - 1 = n-1$ is called a hyperplane in V . If H is a hyperplane in V , then other than H and V there is no subspace U in V with $H \subseteq U \subseteq V$, since each of such a subspace has dimension $n-1$ or n .

Let H_1 and H_2 be two distinct hyperplanes in V . Then $H_1 + H_2$ is a subspace of V and $H_1 \subsetneq H_1 + H_2$, ($H_2 \subsetneq H_1 + H_2$) and hence $H_1 + H_2 = V$. Now, from the codimension formula, it follows that

$$\text{Codim}_V H_1 \cap H_2 = \text{Codim}_V H_1 + \text{Codim}_V H_2 = 2.$$

3B/22

In words = Two distinct hyperplanes
intersect transversally in a subspace
of codimension 2.