



A Real-analytic Nonpolynomially Convex Isotropic Torus with no Attached Discs

Purvi Gupta

Abstract. We show by means of an example in \mathbb{C}^3 that Gromov's theorem on the presence of attached holomorphic discs for compact Lagrangian manifolds is not true in the subcritical real-analytic case, even in the absence of an obvious obstruction, *i.e.*, polynomial convexity.

A compact set $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called *polynomially convex* if, for every $z \notin X$, there is a holomorphic polynomial P such that $|P(z)| > \sup_{x \in X} |P(x)|$. It is known that no real compact n -dimensional submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (without boundary) can be polynomially convex. In the particular case when the inclusion $\iota: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is maximally isotropic (or Lagrangian) with respect to $\omega_{\text{st}} = i \sum_1^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$, *i.e.*, $\iota^*(\omega_{\text{st}}) = 0$, Gromov [5] proved a stronger statement: there is a holomorphic disc attached to M ; *i.e.*, there is a nonconstant holomorphic map from the unit disc \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{C}^n that is continuous up to the boundary and maps $\partial\mathbb{D}$ into M . Gromov's result is not true in the subcritical case (when $\dim M < n$), as there are several examples of polynomially convex isotropic surfaces in \mathbb{C}^3 . It is natural to ask whether Gromov's result holds in the subcritical case in the absence of polynomial convexity. For \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth manifolds, this is known to be false due to an example in [6] of a nonpolynomially convex two-torus in \mathbb{C}^3 that does not have any analytic variety attached to it. Since this torus is the graph of a real-valued function over the standard torus in \mathbb{C}^2 , it is isotropic in \mathbb{C}^3 with respect to ω_{st} . No such examples are known in the real-analytic case.

In this note, we produce an explicit real-analytic nonpolynomially convex two-torus $T \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ that is isotropic with respect to ω_{st} , but has no holomorphic discs attached to it. In view of the example in [6], we note that our example does have a holomorphic annulus attached to it. The isotropicity of T implies that it is both totally real and rationally convex (see [4]). Examples of totally real tori with no attached holomorphic discs have been given by Alexander [1] and Duval–Gayet [3] in \mathbb{C}^2 , but such examples cannot be rationally convex in view of Duval–Sibony (see [4, Theorem 3.1]) and Gromov's result. In the case of manifolds with boundary, Duval has constructed an example of a nonpolynomially convex Lagrangian surface in \mathbb{C}^2 that has no attached discs (see [2] or [4]).

Theorem 1 *There is a real-analytic two-torus in \mathbb{C}^3 that is isotropic with respect to ω_{st} , not polynomially convex, but has no holomorphic discs attached to it.*

Received by the editors March 8, 2017.

Published electronically June 7, 2017.

AMS subject classification: 32V40, 32E20, 53D12.

Keywords: polynomial hull, isotropic submanifold, holomorphic disc.

Proof Let $p(z, w) := 1 - 4z^2 + 4w^2 - z^2w^2$ and

$$T := \left\{ (z, w, \operatorname{Re} p(z, w)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z, w \in \partial\mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Being the graph of a real-valued function on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 := \partial\mathbb{D} \times \partial\mathbb{D}$, T is isotropic with respect to ω_{st} . We claim that T is not polynomially convex, and its polynomial hull (defined below) consists of T and an attached annulus.

Before we proceed, we fix some notation. If $A \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$ and $f: \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\mathcal{G}_f(A) = \left\{ (z, w, f(z, w)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : (z, w) \in A \right\}$$

denotes the graph of $f|_A$. If $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$, then $\mathcal{G}_f(\{\zeta\})$ is simplified to $\mathcal{G}_f(\zeta)$. For a compact $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the *polynomial hull* of X is the set

$$\widehat{X} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |P(z)| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |P(x)| \text{ for all polynomials } P \right\}.$$

Now, let $f(z, w) := \operatorname{Re}(p(z, w))$. In our notation, $T = \mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We first consider a related torus $T_1 := \mathcal{G}_{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We will show that T_1 has all the required properties except that it is not isotropic with respect to ω_{st} . It will then follow from a simple observation that T is indeed the required example.

We claim that

$$(1) \quad \widehat{T}_1 = T_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z}),$$

where $\mathcal{Z} = \left\{ (z, w) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2 : w^2 = \frac{4z^2 - 1}{4 - z^2} \right\}$. Since $p|_{\mathcal{Z}} \equiv 0$, $\mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z})$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{Z} . Moreover, by a computation due to Rudin (see [8, proof of Theorem B]) \mathcal{Z} is a connected finite Riemann surface of genus 0 with two boundary components in \mathbb{T}^2 ; i.e., $\mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z})$ is an annulus attached to T_1 .

To prove (1), we use a technique due to Jimbo (see [7]). Following the notation in [7], let

$$\begin{aligned} h(z, w) &= (zw)^{-2}(z^2w^2 - 4w^2 + 4z^2 - 1), \\ L &= (\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}), \\ V &= \left\{ (z, w) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{T}^2 \cup L) : \overline{p(z, w)} = h(z, w) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h(z, w) = \overline{p(z, w)}$ on \mathbb{T}^2 . Next, we compute

$$\Delta(z, w) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}(z, w) & \frac{\partial p}{\partial w}(z, w) \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(z, w) & \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}(z, w) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -8z - 2zw^2 & 8w - 2z^2w \\ \frac{8}{z^3} + \frac{2}{z^3w^2} & -\frac{8}{w^3} + \frac{2}{z^2w^3} \end{vmatrix},$$

to obtain $\Delta(z, w) = -16(zw)^{-3}(z - iw)(z + iw)p(z, w)$. Setting $q_1 = (z - iw)$, $q_2 = z + iw$, $q_3 = p(z, w)$, and $Q_j := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : q_j(z, w) = 0\}$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, we have that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \{(z, iz) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 : z \in \partial\mathbb{D}\}, \\ Q_2 &= \{(z, -iz) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 : z \in \partial\mathbb{D}\}, \\ Q_3 &= \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \partial\mathcal{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In [7], Jimbo showed that if $\Delta(z, w) \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus L$ and

$$J := \{1 \leq j \leq 3 : \emptyset \neq Q_j \neq \widehat{Q}_j, \widehat{Q}_j \setminus (\mathbb{T}^2 \cup L) \subset V\} \neq \emptyset,$$

then

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2) \cup \bigcup_{j \in J} \{(z, w, \overline{p(z, w)}) : (z, w) \in \widehat{Q}_j\},$$

and p restricts to a constant on each \widehat{Q}_j , $j \in J$. In view of (2), $J = \{3\}$, $\widehat{Q}_3 = \mathcal{Z}$, and, since $p|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \bar{p}|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$, (1) holds; *i.e.*, there is only one annulus attached to T_1 . Since \mathbb{T}^2 is totally real and rationally convex, and \bar{p} is smooth, $T_1 = \mathcal{G}_{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is totally real and rationally convex. Due to a result by Duval and Sibony (see [4]), T_1 is isotropic with respect to some Kähler form on \mathbb{C}^3 . But, $\iota^*(\omega_{\text{st}}) \neq 0$, where $\iota: T_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is the inclusion map.

We now return to $T := \mathcal{G}_f(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Note that the algebraic isomorphism

$$F(z, w, \eta) \mapsto \left(z, w, \frac{1}{2}(\eta + p(z, w)) \right)$$

maps T_1 onto T and fixes the variety $\mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z})$. Thus, $\widehat{T} = F(\widehat{T}_1) = T \cup \mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{Z})$. As there are no nontrivial holomorphic discs attached to an annulus, there are none attached to T . ■

References

- [1] H. Alexander, *Disks with boundaries in totally real and Lagrangian manifolds*. Duke Math. J. 100(1999), no. 1, 131–138. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-99-10004-4>
- [2] J. Duval, *Convexité rationnelle des surfaces lagrangiennes*. Invent. Math. 104(1991), no. 3, 581–599. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01245091>
- [3] J. Duval and D. Gayet, *Riemann surfaces and totally real tori*. Comment. Math. Helv. 89(2014), 299–312. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4171/CMH/320>
- [4] J. Duval and N. Sibony, *Polynomial convexity, rational convexity, and currents*. Duke Math. J. 79(1995), no. 2, 487–513. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-95-07912-5>
- [5] M. Gromov, *Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*. Invent. Math. 82(1985), no. 2, 307–347. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01388806>
- [6] A. J. Izzo, H. S. Kalm, and E. F. Wold, *Presence or absence of analytic structure in maximal ideal spaces*. Math. Ann. 366(2016), no. 1–2, 459–478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00208-015-1330-9>
- [7] T. Jimbo, *Polynomial hulls of graphs on the torus in \mathbb{C}^2* . Sci. Math. Jpn. 62(2005), 335–342.
- [8] W. Rudin, *Pairs of inner functions on finite Riemann surfaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 140(1969), 423–434. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1969-0241629-0>

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5B7
e-mail: pgupta45@uwo.ca