MA 200 - Lecture 4

1 Recap

- 1. C^1 implies differentiability.
- 2. Proved some properties of derivatives. (A few typos in the product rule but the concepts remain the same.)

2 Derivatives

More properties.

1. If $g(a) \neq 0$, then $\frac{f}{g}$ is diff at a with derivative $\frac{g(a)\nabla f(a) - f(a)\nabla g(a)}{g^2(a)}$: WLog f = 1 (why?). Now for δ small enough, we see that for all $||h|| < \delta$, $g(a + h) \neq 0$.

$$\frac{\left|\frac{1}{g(a+h)} - \frac{1}{g(a)} + \frac{Dg_a(h)}{g^2(a)}\right|}{\|h\|} = \frac{\left|\frac{g(a) - g(a) - Dg_a(h) - \Delta_2}{g(a+h)g(a)} + \frac{Dg_a(h)}{g^2(a)}\right|}{\|h\|}$$
$$\leq \frac{|\Delta_2|}{\|h\|} \frac{1}{|g(a+h)g(a)|} + \|\nabla g(a)\| \frac{1}{|g(a)|} |\frac{1}{g(a+h)} - \frac{1}{g(a)}| \to 0.$$
(1)

2. If *f* is constant, $\nabla f = 0$ (trivial). Conversely, if *U* is a connected open set and *f* is differentiable on all of *U* with $\nabla f = 0$ identically, then *f* is a constant. Indeed, fix $a \in U$ and let $S = \{x \in U \mid f(x) = f(a)\}$. By continuity of *f*, *S* is closed in *U*. It is clearly not empty. If *S* is proven to be open, then S = U by connectedness (why?). *S* is also open: To this end, consider an open ball *B* around $b \in S$ that is wholly contained in *U*. We shall prove that $B \subset S$. Indeed, let $x \in B$. Then $b+t(x-b) \in B$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The function g(t) = f(b+t(x-b)) is continuous on [0, 1] (because it is a composition of continuous functions). It is differentiable on (0, 1) and g' = 0: $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{g(t+h) - g(t)}{b} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(b+t(x-b) + h(x-b)) - f(b+t(x-b))}{b} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(b+t(x-b) + h(x-b)) - f(b+t(x-b))}{b}$

$$\nabla_{x-b}f(b+t(x-b)) \stackrel{h}{=} \langle \nabla f(b+t(x-b)), x-b \rangle = 0. \text{ By Lagrange's MVT, } g(1) = g(0)$$

and hence $x \in S$.

3. If $F : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear map, then *F* is differentiable and DF(h) = F(h): $\frac{F(a+h) - F(a) - F(h)}{\|h\|} = 0 \forall h.$ Here is a concrete example where using the definition is as efficient as blindly calculating. Prove that $F : Mat_{n \times n} \to Mat_{n \times n}$ given by $F(A) = A^2$ is differentiable everywhere and calculate its derivative: The components of F are polynomials (quadratic ones) in the entries of A and are hence C^1 and therefore differentiable. Thus, so is F. We can calculate the derivative in two ways:

- 1. Blindly: $(DF_A(H))_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial A_{kl}} H_{kl} = \sum_{k,l,m} \frac{\partial A_{im}A_{mj}}{\partial A_{kl}} H_{kl} = \sum \delta_{ik} \delta_{ml} A_{mj} H_{kl} + \delta_{mk} \delta_{jl} A_{im} H_{kl} = \sum_{k,l} A_{lj} H_{il} + A_{ik} H_{kj} = \{H, A\}_{ij}.$
- 2. Using the definition: $F(A + H) F(A) = (A + H)^2 A^2 = \{A, H\} + H^2$ and hence $\frac{\|F(A + H) F(A) \{A, H\}\|_{Frob}}{\|H\|_{Frob}} \le \|H\|_{Frob} \to 0.$

Here is another interesting example. Prove that det : $Mat_{n\times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable everywhere and that $\nabla_H \det(I) = tr(H)$: The determinant is a polynomial in the entries of the matrix and hence C^1 (and differentiable). This can be proven using properties of determinants. (Optional: A fun way to do this is to notice that $\det(I + tH) =$ $(1 + t\lambda_1)(1 + t\lambda_2) \dots$ where λ_i are (possibly complex) eigenvalues of H.)

We need an analogue of the chain rule to conclude that $sin(x^2 + y^2)$ is differentiable without using C^1 implies differentiability. More importantly, we need a formula for the derivative. This will help us answer two kinds of questions:

- 1. If a particle is zooming around in a room (whose temperature is T(x, y, z)) with trajectory $\vec{r}(t)$, then how fast does the temperature change according to it?
- 2. To solve partial differential equations that have radial symmetry, it helps to switch to polar coordinates. If we know the derivatives of a function f(x, y) in Cartesian coordinates, how can we calculate those of $\tilde{f}(r, \theta) = f(r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta))$ in polar coordinates?

Intuitively, $T(x(t+h), y(t+h), z(t+h)) \approx T(x(t) + hx'(t), y(t) + hy'(t), z(t) + hz'(t)) \approx T(x(t), y(t), z(t)) + hx'(t)\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + hy'(t)\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + hz'(t)\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$. Likewise, $f((r+h)\cos(\theta + k), (r+h)\sin(\theta + k)) - \tilde{f}(r, \theta) \approx f(r\cos(\theta) + h\cos(\theta) - rk\sin(\theta), r\sin(\theta) + h\sin(\theta) + rk\cos(\theta)) - \tilde{f}(r, \theta) \approx f_x(h\cos(\theta) - rk\sin(\theta)) + f_y(r\sin(\theta) + h\sin(\theta) + rk\cos(\theta)) = h(f_xx_r + f_yy_r) + k(f_xx_\theta + f_yy_\theta)$. In other words, we expect that $D\tilde{f} = DfD\vec{r}$ (as matrices). This expectation is very similar to the one-variable chain rule $(f \circ g)'(a) = f'(g(a))g'(a)$.

The rigorous statement of the chain rule is as follows. (One can easily see that the above examples and the one-variable chain rule are special cases of this general formulation.)

Theorem 1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : A \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $g : B \to \mathbb{R}^p$ with $f(A) \subset B$. Suppose *a* is an interior point of *A* and f(a) is an interior point of *B*. If *f* is differentiable at *a* and *g* is differentiable at *b*, then $g \circ f$ is differentiable at *a*. Moreover, $D(g \circ f)_a = Dg_{f(a)}Df_a$ (as multiplication of matrices).

Proof. Since b = f(a) is an interior point, g(y) is defined on $|y - b| < \epsilon_1$ for some ϵ_1 . Since f is diff, it is continuous. Hence, $|f(x) - b| < \epsilon_1$ for all $x \in A$ such that $|x - a| < \delta_1$ and δ_1 can be chosen to be so small that $|x - a| < \delta_1$ is contained in A (because a is an interior point too).

Let $\Delta_1(h) = f(a+h) - f(a) - Df_a(h)$ and $\Delta_2(\tilde{h}) = g(b+\tilde{h}) - g(b) - Dg_b\tilde{h}$. By definition of the differentiability of g at b, we see that for every $1 > \epsilon > 0$ there exists a $1 > \delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$ such that $\|\Delta_2(\tilde{h})\| < \frac{\epsilon}{100+\|Dg_b\|+\|Df_a\|+\|Df_a\|\|Dg_b\|}\|\tilde{h}\|$ whenever $\|\tilde{h}\| < \delta_2$. Now $g(f(a+h)) - g(f(a)) = g(b+Df_a(h) + \Delta_1(h)) - g(b) = \Delta_2(Df_a(h) + \Delta_1(h)) + Dg_b(Df_a(h) + \Delta_1(h))$. Therefore, $\|g(f(a+h)) - g(f(a)) - Dg_bDf_a(h)\| \le \|\Delta_2(Df_a(h) + \Delta_1(h))\| + \|Dg_b(\Delta_1(h))\| \le \|\Delta_2(Df_a(h) + \Delta_1(h))\| + \|Dg_b\|\|\Delta_1(h)\|$. To be continued....