## NOTES FOR 14 NOV (THURSDAY)

## 1. Recap

- (1) Defined self-adjoint operators and gave examples.
- (2) Proved that any two norms on f.d. spaces are equivalent, defined the operator norm, and showed that I-A is invertible if  $||A||_{op} < 1$ , and that  $e^A$  makes sense. Defined unitary operators and proved that they correspond to unitary matrices in an orthonormal basis.

## 2. Unitary operators; Spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators

In whatever follows, as usual we assume that the spaces are finite-dimensional. (Spectral theory, that is, the theory of eigenvalues and eigenvectors is much more subtle in infinite-dimensions.)

**Theorem 2.1.** The eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator are real. Moreover, eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

*Proof.* If  $Av = \lambda v$ , then  $(v, Av) = \overline{\lambda}(v, v)$  and  $(Av, v) = \lambda(v, v)$ . Since  $A = A^*$ ,  $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$ . If  $Aw = \mu w$ , then  $\lambda(v, w) = (Av, w) = (v, Aw) = \overline{\mu}(v, w) = \mu(v, w)$ . Hence, (v, w) = 0.

As a corollary, if a self-adjoint operator has distinct eigenvalues, it has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors, i.e., for a Hermitian matrix A there exists a unitary matrix U such that  $UAU^{\dagger} = D$ . (Such a matrix is said to be "unitarily equivalent" to a diagonal matrix.) In fact, much more can be said.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $T : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint operator between finite-dimensional inner product spaces. Then, there exist invariant subspaces  $E_i$  and orthogonal projections  $\Pi_i : V \to E_i$  such that  $I = \sum_i \Pi_i$  and  $T : E_i \to E_i$  is of the form  $Tv = \lambda_i v$  where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, T is diagonalisable using an orthonormal basis.

*Proof.* Induct on n = dim(V). For dim(V) = 1 it is trivial. Assume it has been proven for  $1, \ldots, n-1$ . Now there exists a unit eigenvector e of T with real eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Let  $\Pi_e$  be the orthogonal projection to the space  $\langle e \rangle$  spanned by e. Then  $I = \Pi_e + (I - \Pi_e)$ . We claim that  $W = \langle e \rangle^{\perp}$  is an invariant subspace. Indeed, if (v, e) = 0, then  $(Tv, e) = (v, Te) = (v, \lambda e) = \lambda(v, e) = 0$ . Moreover, the restriction  $T : W \to W$  is self-adjoint (why?) Hence, by the induction hypothesis,  $\sum_i \Pi_i = I$  on W. Thus,  $v = \Pi_e v + (I - \Pi_e)v = \Pi_e v + \sum_i \Pi_i(I - \Pi_e)v$ . Note that  $\Pi_i(I - \Pi_e)v = \Pi_i v - \Pi_i \Pi_e v = \Pi_i v - \Pi_i(v, e)e = \Pi_i v$ . If  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  for some i, define a new projection operator as  $\Pi_i + \Pi_e$ . We are done.

As a consequence, if *A* is a Hermitian matrix, then there exists an orthonormal basis  $w_i$  such that  $A = \sum_i \lambda_i |w_i\rangle \langle w_i|$ .

Here are a couple of consequences.

(1) We have a variational characterisation of the largest eigenvalue of a Hermitian matrix : Let A be a self-adjoint operator over a finite-dimensional inner product space and let λ be its largest eigenvalue. Then λ = sup<sub>||v||=1</sub>(Av, v). Moreover, ||A|| = sup |λ| over all eigenvalues of A.

Indeed, let  $e_i$  be an eigenvector basis. Then,  $(Av, v) = \sum_i \lambda_i |v_i|^2 \le \lambda ||v||^2 = \lambda$  with equality holding iff v is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

(2) As a HW exercise, you will show that simultaneous diagonalisation with orthonormal eigenvectors is possible for families of commuting self-adjoint operators. Now we have the following result (functional calculus).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. There exists a unique function  $\tilde{f} : Herm_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \to Herm_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\tilde{f}(A)v = f(\lambda)v$  for every eigenvector v of A.

Indeed, since  $v = \sum_i (v, e_i)e_i$ ,  $\tilde{f}(A)v = \sum_i (v, e_i)f(\lambda_i)e_i$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{f}(A) = U^{\dagger}f(D)U$ . (Actually, the theorem is stronger : It says that if f is continuous, then so is  $\tilde{f}$ .) As a consequence,

**Proposition 2.4.** Let A be any matrix. Then  $C = A^{\dagger}A$  has a unique Hermitian non-negative definite square root B, i.e.,  $B^2 = A^{\dagger}A$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, a Hermitian matrix is non-negative definite iff its eigenvalues are non-negative. Indeed, if  $Av = \lambda v$ , then  $(v, Av) = \lambda(v, v) \ge 0$ . Moreover, if its eigenvalues are non-negative, we get the result by diagonalisation.

Secondly, *C* is clearly Hermitian and non-negative definite and hence  $\sqrt{C}$  exists as  $B = U^{\dagger}\sqrt{D}U$ . In fact, choosing the positive square root of *D*, clearly, *B* is Hermitian and non-negative definite. Indeed,  $v^{\dagger}Bv = (Uv)^{\dagger}\sqrt{D}Uv \ge 0$ . Noticing that the eigenvalues of  $B^2$  are simply the eigenvalues of *B* squared (by simultaneously diagonalising them), we are done with uniqueness too.

- (3) The operator norm of a Hermitian matrix is max<sub>λ</sub> |λ| where the maximum is over all eigenvalues of *A*. Indeed, ||Av|| = ||∑<sub>i</sub> λ<sub>i</sub>v<sub>i</sub>e<sub>i</sub>|| where e<sub>i</sub> is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. So, ||Av|| ≤ |λ|<sub>max</sub>||v|| with equality holding iff v is an eigenvector.
- (4) In fact, one can compute the norm of even non-rectangular matrices  $A \in Mat_{m \times n}$  using eigenvalues in a clever manner. Indeed, since  $A^{\dagger}A$  and  $AA^{\dagger}$  are Hermitian (and non-negative definite), they are diagonalisable (with eigenvalues being non-negative numbers). Let  $A^{\dagger}A = UD_1U^{\dagger}$  (the columns of U are called the "left-singular" vectors of A) and  $AA^{\dagger} = VD_2V^{\dagger}$  (likewise, right-singular vectors).

Claim : The non-zero entries of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are the same.

Proof : If  $A^{\dagger}Av = \lambda v$ , then  $AA^{\dagger}Av = \lambda Av$ . Hence, if  $\lambda \neq 0$  since  $Av \neq 0$ , Av is an eigenvector of  $AA^{\dagger}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  are linearly independent eigenvectors of  $A^{\dagger}A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $Av_i$  are also linearly independent. Indeed, if  $\sum_i c_i Av_i = 0$ , then  $\sum_i c_i A^{\dagger}Av_i = \sum_i c_i \lambda v_i = 0$  and hence  $c_i = 0 \forall i$ . Likewise, the same argument shows that if v is an eigenvector of  $AA^{\dagger}$ , then  $A^{\dagger}v$  is one of  $A^{\dagger}A$ . Thus, the dimensions of their non-zero eigenspaces are equal.

Now,  $||Av||^2 = (Av, Av) = (A^{\dagger}Av, v)$  and hence the operator norm of Av is the square root of the largest eigenvalue of  $A^{\dagger}A$ . In the HW you will show that there are unitary matrices U, V such that  $V^{\dagger}AU$  is "diagonal" and consists of zeroes as well as the square roots of the non-zero eigenvalues of  $A^{\dagger}A$ . These numbers are called the singular values of A. (This decomposition is called the singular value decomposition (SVD).)

2