

NOTES FOR 22 OCT (TUESDAY)

1. RECAP

- (1) Stated the JCF theorem.
- (2) Defined generalised eigenvectors and generalised eigenvalues. Proved a couple of properties.
- (3) Reduced the proof of JCF to nilpotent operators.

2. THE JORDAN CANONICAL FORM

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be an operator between finite-dimensional vector spaces. Assume that all the eigenvalues of T are in \mathbb{F} . Then there exist unique invariant subspaces V_i such that $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ and there is a basis of V obtained through bases of V_i such that T is of the Jordan Canonical Form in this basis, i.e., T is upper-triangular, with the only possible super-diagonal elements being 1. The V_i are direct sums of Jordan*

blocks where a Jordan block looks like
$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$
 (The Jordan Blocks are unique up to permutation.)

Proof. Assume from now on that T is nilpotent. Once again, let $K = \text{Ker}(T)$ and $R = \text{Ran}(T)$. By induction, there exists a linearly independent set $w_1, \dots, w_r \in R$ such that the corresponding Jordan chains span R and have exponents/orders d_i . Let $v_k \in V$ such that $Tv_k = w_k$. We claim that

- (1) The Jordan chains of v_k are linearly independent : Indeed, if $\sum_k c_k v_k + c_{T^k} T v_k + \dots = 0$, then $\sum_k c_k w_k + c_{T^k} T w_k + \dots = 0$. Thus, all the c_j are zero except for the ones corresponding to $T^{d_i} v_i = T^{d_i-1} w_i$. But a linear combinations of some Jordan chain vectors of w_k is 0 implies that the coefficients are 0.
- (2) There are linearly independent vectors $e_1, \dots, e_k \in K$ such that they along with Jordan chains of v_k form a basis of V : Firstly, $K + U = V$ where U is the span of the Jordan chains of v_k . Indeed, if $v \in V$, then $Tv = R = TU = Tu$ where $u \in U$. Hence $v - u \in K$. Extend the Jordan chain basis of U to any basis of V by adding $e_i \in K$. We are done with proving existence.

For uniqueness, note that we can again restrict ourselves to nilpotent operators. We can use the following "algorithm" (not quite ! We don't know how to put it on a computer !) to calculate the Jordan form is :

- (1) Compute the eigenvalues. Calculate the minimal polynomial. Its multiplicities k give the maximum sizes of the Jordan blocks.
- (2) Compute the dimensions k_i of the kernels $K_r = N((T - \lambda I)^r)$ for all $r \leq k_\lambda$.
- (3) $k_i - k_{i-1}$ is the number of blocks whose size is at least i .

□

As a corollary,

Corollary 2.2. *TFAE.*

- (1) T is diagonalisable.

- (2) Every generalised eigenvector is an eigenvector.
- (3) All the Jordan blocks are 1×1 blocks.
- (4) The minimal polynomial has no repeated roots.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$: If $(T - \lambda_i)^n v = 0$, then writing $v = \sum_k c_k e_k$ where e_i are eigenvectors, $\sum_{k|\lambda_k \neq \lambda_i} c_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_i)^n e_k = 0$. Hence, all such $c_k = 0$. So v is in the eigenspace of λ_i .

$2 \Rightarrow 3$: If there is a non- 1×1 block, it is easy to see that its generator is a non-eigenvector but is a generalised one.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$: Trivial. $1 \Rightarrow 4$ was done earlier. For $4 \Rightarrow 1$, if there is a generalised eigenvector v of λ that is not an eigenvector, then $m(T)v \neq 0$ as can be seen by an earlier computation to find the minimal polynomial of a Jordan block. \square

Here is another consequence : Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be an operator over a f.d. vector space over a characteristic 0 field satisfying $T^r = 1$ for some r . Assume that $t^r - 1 = 0$ has all its roots (with multiplicity) in the field. Then T is diagonalisable.

Indeed, firstly, the eigenvalues are some roots of $t^r - 1 = 0$. Secondly, if $(T - \lambda I)^2 v = 0$ and $v \neq 0$, then let $w = (T - \lambda I)v$. Hence, $Tw = \lambda w$. So $0 = (T^r - I)v = (T - \lambda I)(T^{r-1} + \dots + \lambda^{r-1})v = 0$ which means that $0 = (T^{r-1} + \dots)w = r\lambda^{r-1}w$ and hence $w = 0$.

Here are a couple of examples (from Artin). Computing the change of basis is even more painful. (One needs to not only calculate dimensions of K_r , but also bases such that a few are Jordan chains generated by elements in $K_k - K_{k-1}$, etc.)

$$(1) A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. p_A(t) = t^3. \text{ It turns out that } A^2 \neq 0. \text{ So, if } v \text{ is any vector such that } A^2 v \neq 0,$$

v, Av, A^2v will be a Jordan chain. A is similar to just one Jordan block.

$$(2) B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. p_B(t) = t^3. \text{ Actually, } B^2 = 0 \text{ is the minimal polynomial. So there is a } 2 \times 2$$

Jordan block and a 1×1 block. Let v be a vector such that $Bv \neq 0$. Then, v, Bv generate the 2×2 block. An eigenvector e linearly independent of Bv generates the other block.

3. INNER PRODUCTS

The proof that e^{ikx} are linearly independent was by multiplying with e^{-ilx} and integrating. All the integrals except one became zero. This is reminiscent of taking dot products in \mathbb{R}^n . So we generalise this concept by means of a definition : Let \mathbb{F} be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} from now onwards till the end of time (unless specified otherwise). Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . A map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is said to be an inner product if the following hold.

- (1) $\langle v, w_1 + w_2 \rangle = \langle v, w_1 \rangle + \langle v, w_2 \rangle$.
- (2) $\langle \lambda v, w \rangle = \lambda \langle v, w \rangle$.
- (3) $\langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$.
- (4) $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$ with equality holding iff $v = 0$.

Note that the last two properties do not make sense for most other fields. Basically, an inner product is a sesquilinear form that satisfies a positivity property.