

# MA 229/MA 235 - Lecture 6

IISc

# Recap

- Lagrange's multipliers.

- Lagrange's multipliers.
- Topological manifolds.

- Lagrange's multipliers.
- Topological manifolds.
- Definition of smooth manifolds.

# Forget about the qualifier 'maximal'

# Forget about the qualifier 'maximal'

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.

# Forget about the qualifier ‘maximal’

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.
- Every smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained



# Forget about the qualifier 'maximal'

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.
- Every smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a *unique* maximal smooth atlas, called

# Forget about the qualifier 'maximal'

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.
- Every smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a *unique* maximal smooth atlas, called the smooth structure determined by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Forget about the qualifier ‘maximal’

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.
- Every smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a *unique* maximal smooth atlas, called the smooth structure determined by  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Two smooth atlases for  $M$

# Forget about the qualifier ‘maximal’

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.
- Every smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a *unique* maximal smooth atlas, called the smooth structure determined by  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Two smooth atlases for  $M$  determine the same smooth structure

# Forget about the qualifier 'maximal'

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.
- Every smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a *unique* maximal smooth atlas, called the smooth structure determined by  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Two smooth atlases for  $M$  determine the same smooth structure iff

# Forget about the qualifier ‘maximal’

- Let  $M$  be a topological manifold.
- Every smooth atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a *unique* maximal smooth atlas, called the smooth structure determined by  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Two smooth atlases for  $M$  determine the same smooth structure iff their union is a smooth atlas.



- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union



- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ ,

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ .

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ .



- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth.

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other.

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal:

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ ,



- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction.

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas,

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas, then the unique maximal atlas



- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ : Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas, then the unique maximal atlas containing the union

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ : Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas, then the unique maximal atlas containing the union contains each and

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ : Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas, then the unique maximal atlas containing the union contains each and hence we are done.

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas, then the unique maximal atlas containing the union contains each and hence we are done. If they determine the same smooth structure,

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ : Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas, then the unique maximal atlas containing the union contains each and hence we are done. If they determine the same smooth structure, then the maximal atlases are the same and hence

- Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the union of all charts that are *smoothly compatible* with  $\mathcal{A}$ . This beast is a smooth atlas compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ : Indeed, if  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then let  $p \in U \cap V$ . There is a chart  $(\eta, W) \in \mathcal{A}$  that is smoothly compatible with  $(\phi, U)$  and with  $(\psi, V)$ . Thus  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  when restricted to  $W \cap U \cap V$  is smooth. Thus  $(\phi, U), (\psi, V)$  are smoothly compatible with each other. Now  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also maximal: Indeed, if there is any chart that is smoothly compatible with every element of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  such that it is *not* contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is any other maximal smooth atlas containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- If the union is a smooth atlas, then the unique maximal atlas containing the union contains each and hence we are done. If they determine the same smooth structure, then the maximal atlases are the same and hence their union is a smooth atlas.



- Just as in the case of



- Just as in the case of topological manifolds,

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talk of smooth coordinate balls, i.e.,

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talk of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas,

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talk of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way,

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition:



# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover:

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ .

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that



- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ .

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ .

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,

# Coordinates

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ .

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talk of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talk of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ .

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ . Thus  $V_\beta = V_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$ .

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ . Thus  $V_\beta = V_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$ . Secondly,



- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talk of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ . Thus  $V_\beta = V_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$ . Secondly, using the above lemma,

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ . Thus  $V_\beta = V_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$ . Secondly, using the above lemma, there is a countable cover of

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ . Thus  $V_\beta = V_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$ . Secondly, using the above lemma, there is a countable cover of smoothly compatible coordinate charts  $(\phi_i, U_i)$ .

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ . Thus  $V_\beta = V_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$ . Secondly, using the above lemma, there is a countable cover of smoothly compatible coordinate charts  $(\phi_i, U_i)$ . Simply choose

- Just as in the case of topological manifolds, one talks of smooth coordinate balls, i.e., a member  $(\phi, U)$  of the maximal smooth atlas, such that  $\phi(U)$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (By the way, note that the closed coordinate balls are compact.)
- Proposition: A smooth manifold  $M$  has a countable basis of smooth coordinate balls.
- Proof: Firstly, every open cover  $V_\alpha$  of  $M$  has a countable sub-cover: Consider a countable basis  $W_i$ . Let  $V_{\alpha_j}$  be the countable subcollection such that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  contains some basis element  $W_{i_j}$ . We claim that  $V_{\alpha_j}$  cover  $M$ . Indeed, given any  $p \in M$ ,  $p \in V_\beta$  for some  $V_\beta$ . Since  $W_i$  form a basis,  $p \in W_p \subset V_\beta$ . Thus  $V_\beta = V_{\alpha_i}$  for some  $i$ . Secondly, using the above lemma, there is a countable cover of smoothly compatible coordinate charts  $(\phi_i, U_i)$ . Simply choose rational points and rational balls around them. □



# Coordinates

- Recall that when

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ ,



# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience.  
(

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically,

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that,

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice,

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e.,

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply,



# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”.

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e.,

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds



# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of choice of coordinates.

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of choice of coordinates.
- There are two ways of doing this:

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of choice of coordinates.
- There are two ways of doing this: Either don't refer to coordinates

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of choice of coordinates.
- There are two ways of doing this: Either don't refer to coordinates at all while defining (the mathematician's way), or

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of choice of coordinates.
- There are two ways of doing this: Either don't refer to coordinates at all while defining (the mathematician's way), or define using coordinates but



# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of choice of coordinates.
- There are two ways of doing this: Either don't refer to coordinates at all while defining (the mathematician's way), or define using coordinates but make sure the “correct” results

# Coordinates

- Recall that when we use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we simply write  $p = (r, \theta)$  or  $p = (x, y)$  depending on our convenience. (Technically, we are using two different  $\mathbb{R}^2$ s here.)
- Akin to that, in practice, one omits reference to  $\phi$ , i.e., one says simply, “ $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  in local coordinates”. One *identifies*  $U$  with  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and abuses notation, i.e., one thinks of a manifold as a bunch of open subsets of (different)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ s with some “gluing” by transition functions (we will make this precise later on).
- When we want to define objects on manifolds like say smooth functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must make sure that our definitions are *independent* of choice of coordinates.
- There are two ways of doing this: Either don't refer to coordinates at all while defining (the mathematician's way), or define using coordinates but make sure the “correct” results are obtained if we change coordinates (the physicist's way).

# Examples of smooth manifolds

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.



# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before,

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter,

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds.
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ :

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds.
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable.



# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds.
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ ,

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds.
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ .

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ . This is a homeomorphism.

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ . This is a homeomorphism. Unfortunately, this chart is



# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ . This is a homeomorphism. Unfortunately, this chart is *not* smoothly compatible

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ . This is a homeomorphism. Unfortunately, this chart is *not* smoothly compatible with the usual  $\psi(u) = u$  because

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ . This is a homeomorphism. Unfortunately, this chart is *not* smoothly compatible with the usual  $\psi(u) = u$  because  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}(x) = x^{1/3}$  which is not smooth.

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ . This is a homeomorphism. Unfortunately, this chart is *not* smoothly compatible with the usual  $\psi(u) = u$  because  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}(x) = x^{1/3}$  which is not smooth. So the smooth structures are different. (

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- A countable discrete space (0 dimensional).
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology and usual chart.
- Open subsets of smooth manifolds. (As before, closed subsets need not be smooth (or for that matter, even topological) manifolds).
- Products of smooth manifolds  $M_1 \times M_2 \dots \times M_k$ : Indeed, they are Hausdorff and second-countable. Suppose  $(\phi_{\alpha,i}, U_{\alpha,i})$  are smooth atlases on  $M_i$ , then the “product chart” gives a smooth atlas on the product (that induces a unique smooth structure).
- Another smooth structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $U = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\phi(u) = u^{1/3}$ . This is a homeomorphism. Unfortunately, this chart is *not* smoothly compatible with the usual  $\psi(u) = u$  because  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}(x) = x^{1/3}$  which is not smooth. So the smooth structures are different. (But we are “cheating” in a sense.)

# Examples of smooth manifolds

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis



# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .
- $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .
- $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :  $\det(A) \neq 0$  is an open subset



# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .
- $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :  $\det(A) \neq 0$  is an open subset and hence a manifold.  
(

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .
- $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :  $\det(A) \neq 0$  is an open subset and hence a manifold. (Likewise,  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is also a manifold.)

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .
- $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :  $\det(A) \neq 0$  is an open subset and hence a manifold. (Likewise,  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is also a manifold.)
- Matrices of full rank:

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .
- $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :  $\det(A) \neq 0$  is an open subset and hence a manifold. (Likewise,  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is also a manifold.)
- Matrices of full rank: Again, an open subset of  $M(m \times n)$ .

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Finite-dimensional normed vector spaces. (a choice of a basis identifies such an object with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A different basis gives a smoothly compatible chart.)
- Graphs of smooth functions  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Matrices  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  and  $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ .
- $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ :  $\det(A) \neq 0$  is an open subset and hence a manifold. (Likewise,  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is also a manifold.)
- Matrices of full rank: Again, an open subset of  $M(m \times n)$ .
- Graphs of smooth functions.

# Examples of smooth manifolds

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ :

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .



# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff.

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas:

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and likewise for  $U_i^-$ .

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and likewise for  $U_i^-$ . These sets cover the sphere.

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and likewise for  $U_i^-$ . These sets cover the sphere. Now  $U_i^\pm \cap S^n$  are graphs

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and likewise for  $U_i^-$ . These sets cover the sphere. Now  $U_i^\pm \cap S^n$  are graphs and hence possess graph coordinates:



# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and likewise for  $U_i^-$ . These sets cover the sphere. Now  $U_i^\pm \cap S^n$  are graphs and hence possess graph coordinates:  $\phi_i^\pm(y) = (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{i-1}, y^{i+1}, \dots, y^n)$ .

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and likewise for  $U_i^-$ . These sets cover the sphere. Now  $U_i^\pm \cap S^n$  are graphs and hence possess graph coordinates:  $\phi_i^\pm(y) = (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{i-1}, y^{i+1}, \dots, y^n)$ . Checking that transition maps are diffeomorphisms

# Examples of smooth manifolds

- Spheres  $S^n$ : Consider  $\sum_i (y^i)^2 = 1$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It is compact and Hausdorff. Here is a smooth atlas: Let  $U_i^+$  be the open set where  $y_i > 0$  and likewise for  $U_i^-$ . These sets cover the sphere. Now  $U_i^\pm \cap S^n$  are graphs and hence possess graph coordinates:  $\phi_i^\pm(y) = (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{i-1}, y^{i+1}, \dots, y^n)$ . Checking that transition maps are diffeomorphisms is an exercise.