# MA 229/MA 235 - Lecture 21

IISc

Tensors

## Recap

æ

• Tensor products.

æ

Э

- Tensor products.
- Types of tensors, symmetric and alternating tensors (forms). Symmetrisation, Anti-symmetrisation.

- < ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 回 > < 回 > <

• Let 
$$T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$$

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union  $T^{k,l}M = \cup_{p \in M} T_p^{k,l}M$

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union  $T^{k,l}M = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p^{k,l}M$  can be given a vector bundle structure over M.

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union  $T^{k,l}M = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p^{k,l}M$  can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,l</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,l</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors.

• Let 
$$T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$$

The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e.,

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to *M*.

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to *M*. Each fibre is a vector space.

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to M. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (U, x) is a coordinate chart.

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to M. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (U, x) is a coordinate chart. Consider the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes dx^{j_l}$ .

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to *M*. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (*U*, *x*) is a coordinate chart. Consider the basis ∂/∂x<sup>i1</sup> ⊗ ... ⊗ ∂/∂x<sup>ik</sup> ⊗ dx<sup>j1</sup> ⊗ dx<sup>j1</sup>. This basis gives a local trivialisation π<sup>-1</sup>(*U*) → *U* × ℝ<sup>n(k+I)</sup>.

• Let 
$$T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$$

- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to M. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (U, x) is a coordinate chart. Consider the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes dx^{j_l}$ . This basis gives a local trivialisation  $\pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathbb{R}^{n(k+l)}$ . We declare the topology and manifold structure

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to M. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (U, x) is a coordinate chart. Consider the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes dx^{j_l}$ . This basis gives a local trivialisation  $\pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathbb{R}^{n(k+l)}$ . We declare the topology and manifold structure such that these local trivialisations are diffeomorphisms (as usual).

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to M. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (U, x) is a coordinate chart. Consider the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes dx^{j_l}$ . This basis gives a local trivialisation  $\pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathbb{R}^{n(k+l)}$ . We declare the topology and manifold structure such that these local trivialisations are diffeomorphisms (as usual).
- As a consequence,

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to M. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (U, x) is a coordinate chart. Consider the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes dx^{j_l}$ . This basis gives a local trivialisation  $\pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathbb{R}^{n(k+l)}$ . We declare the topology and manifold structure such that these local trivialisations are diffeomorphisms (as usual).
- As a consequence, a tensor field is smooth iff

- Let  $T_p^{k,l}M := T_pM \otimes T_pM \dots T_pM \otimes T_p^*M \otimes T_p^*M \dots$
- The disjoint union T<sup>k,I</sup>M = ∪<sub>p∈M</sub>T<sup>k,I</sup><sub>p</sub>M can be given a vector bundle structure over M. This bundle is called the bundle of mixed (k, l)-tensors. Smooth sections of this bundle are called smooth (k, l)-tensor fields, i.e., smoothly varying tensor fields.
- Indeed, consider the obvious projection map to M. Each fibre is a vector space. Suppose (U, x) is a coordinate chart. Consider the basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes dx^{j_l}$ . This basis gives a local trivialisation  $\pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathbb{R}^{n(k+l)}$ . We declare the topology and manifold structure such that these local trivialisations are diffeomorphisms (as usual).
- As a consequence, a tensor field is smooth iff the coefficients in this trivialisation are smooth functions.

### Riemannian metrics

|▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 め∢ぐ

• An example of a

• An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field

• An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric:

• An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M

• An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that

• An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example:

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric  $g = (dx^1) \otimes (dx^1) + \ldots + (dx^n) \otimes (dx^n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric  $g = (dx^1) \otimes (dx^1) + \ldots + (dx^n) \otimes (dx^n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A metric on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi)$ :

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric  $g = (dx^1) \otimes (dx^1) + \ldots + (dx^n) \otimes (dx^n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A metric on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi)$ :  $g = dr \otimes dr + r^2 d\theta \otimes d\theta$ .

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric
   g = (dx<sup>1</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>1</sup>) + ... + (dx<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>n</sup>) on ℝ<sup>n</sup>. A metric on
   (0,∞) × (0,2π): g = dr ⊗ dr + r<sup>2</sup>dθ ⊗ dθ. Note that this
   metric is

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric
   g = (dx<sup>1</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>1</sup>) + ... + (dx<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>n</sup>) on ℝ<sup>n</sup>. A metric on
   (0,∞) × (0,2π): g = dr ⊗ dr + r<sup>2</sup>dθ ⊗ dθ. Note that this
   metric is basically the Euclidean metric on ℝ<sup>2</sup>

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric
   g = (dx<sup>1</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>1</sup>) + ... + (dx<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>n</sup>) on ℝ<sup>n</sup>. A metric on
   (0,∞) × (0,2π): g = dr ⊗ dr + r<sup>2</sup>dθ ⊗ dθ. Note that this
   metric is basically the Euclidean metric on ℝ<sup>2</sup> but in different
   coordinates!

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric
   g = (dx<sup>1</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>1</sup>) + ... + (dx<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>n</sup>) on ℝ<sup>n</sup>. A metric on
   (0,∞) × (0,2π): g = dr ⊗ dr + r<sup>2</sup>dθ ⊗ dθ. Note that this
   metric is basically the Euclidean metric on ℝ<sup>2</sup> but in different
   coordinates! This raises a question:

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric
   g = (dx<sup>1</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>1</sup>) + ... + (dx<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>n</sup>) on ℝ<sup>n</sup>. A metric on
   (0,∞) × (0,2π): g = dr ⊗ dr + r<sup>2</sup>dθ ⊗ dθ. Note that this
   metric is basically the Euclidean metric on ℝ<sup>2</sup> but in different
   coordinates! This raises a question: Is every metric on ℝ<sup>n</sup>
   secretly the Euclidean metric locally in some coordinate chart?

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric
   g = (dx<sup>1</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>1</sup>) + ... + (dx<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>n</sup>) on ℝ<sup>n</sup>. A metric on
   (0,∞) × (0,2π): g = dr ⊗ dr + r<sup>2</sup>dθ ⊗ dθ. Note that this
   metric is basically the Euclidean metric on ℝ<sup>2</sup> but in different
   coordinates! This raises a question: Is every metric on ℝ<sup>n</sup>
   secretly the Euclidean metric locally in some coordinate chart?
   The answer is NO.

- An example of a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field is a Riemannian metric: A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is a covariant symmetric 2-tensor field that defines an inner product on every tangent space.
- Example: The Euclidean metric
  g = (dx<sup>1</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>1</sup>) + ... + (dx<sup>n</sup>) ⊗ (dx<sup>n</sup>) on ℝ<sup>n</sup>. A metric on
  (0,∞) × (0,2π): g = dr ⊗ dr + r<sup>2</sup>dθ ⊗ dθ. Note that this
  metric is basically the Euclidean metric on ℝ<sup>2</sup> but in different
  coordinates! This raises a question: Is every metric on ℝ<sup>n</sup>
  secretly the Euclidean metric locally in some coordinate chart?
  The answer is NO. There is an obstruction called the Riemann
  curvature tensor.

• Theorem:

• Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof:

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts  $(U_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha})$ .

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>).
   Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover.

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>). Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover. Now take the locally defined Riemannian metric g<sub>α</sub> = dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> + ... + dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub>

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>). Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover. Now take the locally defined Riemannian metric g<sub>α</sub> = dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> + ... + dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> and define g = ∑<sub>α</sub> ρ<sub>α</sub>g<sub>α</sub>.

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>). Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover. Now take the locally defined Riemannian metric g<sub>α</sub> = dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> + ... + dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> and define g = ∑<sub>α</sub> ρ<sub>α</sub>g<sub>α</sub>. This sum gives a well-defined smooth covariant symmetric 2-tensor.

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>). Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover. Now take the locally defined Riemannian metric g<sub>α</sub> = dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> + ... + dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> and define g = ∑<sub>α</sub> ρ<sub>α</sub>g<sub>α</sub>. This sum gives a well-defined smooth covariant symmetric 2-tensor. It is positive-definite because

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>). Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover. Now take the locally defined Riemannian metric g<sub>α</sub> = dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> + ... + dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> and define g = ∑<sub>α</sub> ρ<sub>α</sub>g<sub>α</sub>. This sum gives a well-defined smooth covariant symmetric 2-tensor. It is positive-definite because at least one of the ρ<sub>α</sub> is non-zero at every point.

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>). Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover. Now take the locally defined Riemannian metric g<sub>α</sub> = dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> + ... + dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> and define g = ∑<sub>α</sub> ρ<sub>α</sub>g<sub>α</sub>. This sum gives a well-defined smooth covariant symmetric 2-tensor. It is positive-definite because at least one of the ρ<sub>α</sub> is non-zero at every point.
- Using Riemannian metrics, one can measure distances and so on.

- Theorem: Every smooth manifold (with or without boundary) admits a Riemannian metric.
- Proof: Cover the manifold by coordinate charts (U<sub>α</sub>, x<sub>α</sub>). Consider a partition-of-unity ρ<sub>α</sub> subordinate to this cover. Now take the locally defined Riemannian metric g<sub>α</sub> = dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>1</sup><sub>α</sub> + ... + dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> ⊗ dx<sup>n</sup><sub>α</sub> and define g = ∑<sub>α</sub> ρ<sub>α</sub>g<sub>α</sub>. This sum gives a well-defined smooth covariant symmetric 2-tensor. It is positive-definite because at least one of the ρ<sub>α</sub> is non-zero at every point.
- Using Riemannian metrics, one can measure distances and so on. One can also define the notion of an isomorphism in this category (Isometry).

# More about alternating tensors

- < ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Returning to alternating tensors,

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is alternating iff

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  is linearly dependent:

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds,

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular,

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ .

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots,) = 0$ .

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots, ) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ .

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots) = -\alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_1, \ldots)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is alternating.

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots) = -\alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_1, \ldots)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is alternating. Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is alternating,

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots) = -\alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_1, \ldots)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is alternating. Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is alternating, and  $\sum_i c_i v_i = 0$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ WLOG,

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots) = -\alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_1, \ldots)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is alternating. Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is alternating, and  $\sum_i c_i v_i = 0$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ WLOG, then firstly,

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots) = -\alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_1, \ldots)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is alternating. Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is alternating, and  $\sum_i c_i v_i = 0$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ WLOG, then firstly,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever two of the  $v_i$ coincide (why?)

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \dots, v_i + v_1, \dots, ) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_i,\ldots) = -\alpha(\mathbf{v}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is alternating. Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is alternating, and  $\sum_i c_i v_i = 0$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ WLOG, then firstly,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever two of the  $v_i$ coincide (why?) and hence  $\alpha(c_1v_1,\ldots,v_k) = \alpha(c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \ldots,v_2,\ldots) = 0 \text{ (why?)}$ 

Returning to alternating tensors, here is a useful result:  $\alpha$  is alternating iff  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever the collection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is linearly dependent: Indeed, if the latter holds, in particular, if two of the  $v_i$  coincide,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$ . This means,  $\alpha(v_1 + v_i, \dots, v_i + v_1, \dots, ) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) + \alpha(v_i, \ldots, v_i + v_1, \ldots) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_i,\ldots) = -\alpha(\mathbf{v}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots)$  and hence  $\alpha$  is alternating. Conversely, if  $\alpha$  is alternating, and  $\sum_i c_i v_i = 0$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ WLOG, then firstly,  $\alpha(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = 0$  whenever two of the  $v_i$ coincide (why?) and hence  $\alpha(c_1v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \alpha(c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \ldots, v_2, \ldots) = 0$  (why?) Thus  $\alpha(v_1,\ldots,v_k) = 0$  whenever they are linearly dependent.

• Let V be a f.d. vector space

• Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ .

• Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ .

• Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ ,

• Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor

• Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^I(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_1}(v_1) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_1}(v_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_k}(v_1) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_k}(v_k) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \ldots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \ldots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,

• Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a

• Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \text{ consider the } k\text{-covariant tensor}$   $\epsilon^{i}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_1}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_1}(v_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_k}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_k}(v_k) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^I(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \text{ consider the } k\text{-covariant tensor}$   $\epsilon^{I}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_1}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_1}(v_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_k}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_k}(v_k) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^I(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These  $\epsilon^{l}$  are called elementary alternating k-tensors or

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \text{ consider the } k\text{-covariant tensor}$   $\epsilon^{I}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_1}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_1}(v_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_k}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_k}(v_k) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These  $\epsilon^{l}$  are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms.

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \text{ consider the } k \text{-covariant tensor}$   $\epsilon^I(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_1}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_1}(v_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_k}(v_1) & \dots & \epsilon^{i_k}(v_k) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^I(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These 
   e<sup>l</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^I(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These ε<sup>l</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be (signed) volumes of some generalised parallelopipeds (as we shall see later on).

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These e<sup>1</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be (signed) volumes of some generalised parallelopipeds (as we shall see later on).
  For future use,

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^{i_k} & \dots & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These ε<sup>l</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be (signed) volumes of some generalised parallelopipeds (as we shall see later on).
- For future use, if I, J are multiindices of size k,

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_k^{i_k} & v_k^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These ε<sup>l</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be (signed) volumes of some generalised parallelopipeds (as we shall see later on).
- For future use, if I, J are multiindices of size k, then we define  $\delta_J^I$  as a determinant

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_r^{i_k} & \dots & v_r^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These ε<sup>l</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be (signed) volumes of some generalised parallelopipeds (as we shall see later on).
- For future use, if I, J are multiindices of size k, then we define  $\delta'_J$  as a determinant of a matrix  $A_{ab} = (\delta)^{i_a}_{j_b}$ .

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_r^{i_k} & \dots & v_r^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These ε<sup>l</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be (signed) volumes of some generalised parallelopipeds (as we shall see later on).
- For future use, if *I*, *J* are multiindices of size *k*, then we define  $\delta_J^I$  as a determinant of a matrix  $A_{ab} = (\delta)_{j_b}^{i_a}$ .  $\delta_J^I = sgn(\sigma)$  if  $J = I_{\sigma}$  and no repetitions

- Let V be a f.d. vector space with a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Let  $\epsilon^1, \ldots, \epsilon^n$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , consider the k-covariant tensor  $\epsilon^{i}(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{1}}(v_{k}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{1}) & \ldots & \epsilon^{i_{k}}(v_{k}) \end{pmatrix} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1^{i_1} & \dots & v_k^{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_r^{i_k} & \dots & v_r^{i_k} \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $\epsilon^l(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a minor of a matrix.
- These ε<sup>l</sup> are called elementary alternating k-tensors or elementary k-forms. They are suppose to be (signed) volumes of some generalised parallelopipeds (as we shall see later on).
- For future use, if *I*, *J* are multiindices of size *k*, then we define  $\delta_J^I$  as a determinant of a matrix  $A_{ab} = (\delta)_{jb}^{ia}$ .  $\delta_J^I = sgn(\sigma)$  if  $J = I_{\sigma}$  and no repetitions and 0 otherwise.

#### Elementary alternating tensors-Properties

· (미) (한) (분) (분) (분) (한) ()

#### Elementary alternating tensors-Properties

• If I has a repeated index,

• If I has a repeated index,  $\epsilon^{I} = 0$  (why?).

- If I has a repeated index,  $\epsilon^{I} = 0$  (why?).
- If  $J = I_{\sigma}$ , then  $\epsilon^{I} = sgn(\sigma)\epsilon^{J}$  (why?).

• If I has a repeated index,  $\epsilon' = 0$  (why?).

• If 
$$J = I_{\sigma}$$
, then  $\epsilon^{I} = sgn(\sigma)\epsilon^{J}$  (why?).

• 
$$\epsilon'(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_k})=\delta'_J$$
 (why?).

(4日) (型) (当) (当) (1) (1)

• Consider the vector space  $\Lambda^k(V^*)$  - the space of

Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) - the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V.

Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) - the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots$

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem:

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis.

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof:

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results,

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?)

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon^{I}$  are linearly independent:

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon'$  are linearly independent: If  $\sum' c_I \epsilon' = 0$ , then

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon'$  are linearly independent: If  $\sum' c_I \epsilon' = 0$ , then consider  $0 = \sum' c_I \epsilon' (e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, ...) = \sum' c_I \delta'_J = c_J$  (why?)

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon'$  are linearly independent: If  $\sum' c_I \epsilon' = 0$ , then consider  $0 = \sum' c_I \epsilon' (e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, ...) = \sum' c_I \delta'_J = c_J$  (why?)
- Secondly, they span the space:

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon'$  are linearly independent: If  $\sum' c_I \epsilon' = 0$ , then consider  $0 = \sum' c_I \epsilon' (e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, ...) = \sum' c_I \delta'_J = c_J$  (why?)
- Secondly, they span the space: Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k$ .

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon'$  are linearly independent: If  $\sum' c_I \epsilon' = 0$ , then consider  $0 = \sum' c_I \epsilon' (e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, ...) = \sum' c_I \delta'_J = c_J$  (why?)
- Secondly, they span the space: Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k$ . Then let  $\alpha_I = \alpha(e_{i_1}, \ldots)$ .

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon'$  are linearly independent: If  $\sum' c_I \epsilon' = 0$ , then consider  $0 = \sum' c_I \epsilon' (e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, ...) = \sum' c_I \delta'_J = c_J$  (why?)
- Secondly, they span the space: Let  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k$ . Then let  $\alpha_I = \alpha(e_{i_1}, \ldots)$ . Thus  $(\alpha \sum' \alpha_I \epsilon^I)(e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_n}) = 0$  (why?) and

- Consider the vector space Λ<sup>k</sup>(V<sup>\*</sup>) the space of alternating covariant k-tensors/k-forms on V. We want a basis of this space.
- Consider "increasing" multiindices,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  For increasing-index-summation, we put a prime sign.
- Theorem: Increasing-index elementary forms form a basis. As a consequence,  $dim(\Lambda^k) = \binom{n}{k}$  when  $k \le n$  and 0 otherwise.
- Proof: If k > n, then by previous results, Λ<sup>k</sup> is the trivial vector space (why?) So assume k ≤ n.
- Firstly, the  $\epsilon'$  are linearly independent: If  $\sum' c_I \epsilon' = 0$ , then consider  $0 = \sum' c_I \epsilon' (e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, \ldots) = \sum' c_I \delta'_J = c_J$  (why?)
- Secondly, they span the space: Let α ∈ Λ<sup>k</sup>. Then let α<sub>I</sub> = α(e<sub>i1</sub>,...). Thus (α Σ' α<sub>I</sub>ε<sup>I</sup>)(e<sub>j1</sub>,..., e<sub>jn</sub>) = 0 (why?) and hence we are done (why?).