MA 229/MA 235 - Lecture 17

IISc

Flows

Recap

• Defined vector bundles and gave examples.

- Defined vector bundles and gave examples.
- Defined the dual bundle and constructed the cotangent bundle as a special case.

Back to vector fields

• Recall that

Flows

æ

• Recall that the point of defining

Flows

 Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was • Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids

• Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.

• Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.

• Def:

Flows

- Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.
- Def: Let *M* be a smooth manifold with or without boundary.

- Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.
- Def: Let *M* be a smooth manifold with or without boundary.
 Let *J* ⊂ ℝ be an interval containing 0 in its interior.

- Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.
- Def: Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval containing 0 in its interior. Let X be a smooth vector field on M.

- Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.
- Def: Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval containing 0 in its interior. Let X be a smooth vector field on M. An integral curve for X starting at $p \in M$

- Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.
- Def: Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval containing 0 in its interior. Let X be a smooth vector field on M. An integral curve for X starting at $p \in M$ is a smooth path $\gamma : J \to M$ such that

- Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.
- Def: Let M be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval containing 0 in its interior. Let X be a smooth vector field on M. An integral curve for X starting at $p \in M$ is a smooth path $\gamma : J \to M$ such that $\gamma(0) = p$ and

- Recall that the point of defining vector fields/tangent bundle was to model the flow of fluids along a manifold.
- Def: Let *M* be a smooth manifold with or without boundary. Let *J* ⊂ ℝ be an interval containing 0 in its interior. Let *X* be a smooth vector field on *M*. An integral curve for *X* starting at *p* ∈ *M* is a smooth path *γ* : *J* → *M* such that *γ*(0) = *p* and *γ'*(*t*) = *X*(*γ*(*t*)) ∀ *t* ∈ *J*.

Examples

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・日・900

• The constant vector field in \mathbb{R}^n :

Flows

æ

Э

-∢≣≯

• The constant vector field in \mathbb{R}^n : Let $X = c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

< ∃ →

The constant vector field in ℝⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on ℝⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is

• The constant vector field in \mathbb{R}^n : Let $X = c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ on \mathbb{R}^n . The integral curve starting at \vec{p} is $\vec{p} + \vec{ct}$ (why?)

The constant vector field in ℝⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on ℝⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t,

The constant vector field in Rⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on Rⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t, then pⁱ → pⁱ + cⁱt is a diffeomorphism of Rⁿ!

The constant vector field in Rⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on Rⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t, then pⁱ → pⁱ + cⁱt is a diffeomorphism of Rⁿ!

• Rotational vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 :

4/10

Flows

- The constant vector field in \mathbb{R}^n : Let $X = c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ on \mathbb{R}^n . The integral curve starting at \vec{p} is $\vec{p} + \vec{c}t$ (why?) Note that if we fix t, then $\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} + \vec{c}t$ is a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n !
- Rotational vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 : X = (y, -x). Now $\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \frac{dy}{dt} = -x$.

Flows

The constant vector field in Rⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on Rⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t, then pⁱ → pⁱ + cⁱt is a diffeomorphism of Rⁿ!

Flows

• Rotational vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 : X = (y, -x). Now $\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \frac{dy}{dt} = -x$. Thus $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -x, \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = -y$.

- The constant vector field in Rⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on Rⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t, then pⁱ → pⁱ + cⁱt is a diffeomorphism of Rⁿ!
- Rotational vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 : X = (y, -x). Now $\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \frac{dy}{dt} = -x$. Thus $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -x, \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = -y$. Hence $x = A\cos(t) + B\sin(t), y = x' = -A\sin(t) + B\cos(t)$.

- The constant vector field in Rⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on Rⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t, then pⁱ → pⁱ + cⁱt is a diffeomorphism of Rⁿ!
- Rotational vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 : X = (y, -x). Now $\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \frac{dy}{dt} = -x$. Thus $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -x, \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = -y$. Hence $x = A\cos(t) + B\sin(t), y = x' = -A\sin(t) + B\cos(t)$. At $t = 0, x_0 = A, y_0 = B$.

- The constant vector field in Rⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on Rⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t, then pⁱ → pⁱ + cⁱt is a diffeomorphism of Rⁿ!
- Rotational vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 : X = (y, -x). Now $\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \frac{dy}{dt} = -x$. Thus $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -x, \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = -y$. Hence $x = A\cos(t) + B\sin(t), y = x' = -A\sin(t) + B\cos(t)$. At $t = 0, x_0 = A, y_0 = B$. Fixing t,

- The constant vector field in Rⁿ: Let X = cⁱ ∂/∂xⁱ on Rⁿ. The integral curve starting at pⁱ is pⁱ + cⁱt (why?) Note that if we fix t, then pⁱ → pⁱ + cⁱt is a diffeomorphism of Rⁿ!
- Rotational vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 : X = (y, -x). Now $\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \frac{dy}{dt} = -x$. Thus $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -x, \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = -y$. Hence $x = A\cos(t) + B\sin(t), y = x' = -A\sin(t) + B\cos(t)$. At $t = 0, x_0 = A, y_0 = B$. Fixing t, $(x_0, y_0) \rightarrow (x_0\cos(t) + y_0\sin(t), -x_0\sin(t) + y_0\cos(t))$ is a diffeo of \mathbb{R}^2 !

Examples

・ロト・西ト・西ト・西・ ものくの

• Incomplete vector field in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$:



 \bullet Incomplete vector field in $\mathbb{R}^2-\{0\}\colon$ Consider the constant vector field

Flows

.⊒ . ►



Incomplete vector field in ℝ² - {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² - {0}.

5/10

< ∃ >

• Incomplete vector field in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$: Consider the constant vector field $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$. The integral curve for say (-1, 0)

Incomplete vector field in ℝ² - {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² - {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1!

Incomplete vector field in ℝ² - {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² - {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (

• Incomplete vector field in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$: Consider the constant vector field $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least

Incomplete vector field in ℝ² - {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² - {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve

Incomplete vector field in ℝ² - {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² - {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 :

5/10

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$.

5/10

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1 - x_0 t}$, $y = y_0$.

5/10

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1 - x_0 t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words,

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1 - x_0 t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1 - x_0 t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (Incomplete vector field.)

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1 - x_0 t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (Incomplete vector field.)
- A compactly supported vector field in \mathbb{R}^n :

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1-x_0t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (Incomplete vector field.)
- A compactly supported vector field in ℝⁿ: Let X be any smooth vector field.

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1-x_0t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (Incomplete vector field.)
- A compactly supported vector field in ℝⁿ: Let X be any smooth vector field. Let ρ be a smooth compactly supported function.

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1-x_0t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (Incomplete vector field.)
- A compactly supported vector field in ℝⁿ: Let X be any smooth vector field. Let ρ be a smooth compactly supported function. Then ρX is a compactly supported vector field.

A B A A B A

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1-x_0t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (Incomplete vector field.)
- A compactly supported vector field in ℝⁿ: Let X be any smooth vector field. Let ρ be a smooth compactly supported function. Then ρX is a compactly supported vector field. For any starting point outside the support,

高 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Incomplete vector field in ℝ² {0}: Consider the constant vector field X = ∂/∂x in ℝ² {0}. The integral curve for say (-1,0) does not exist at t = 1! Such a vector field (that has at least one integral curve that does not exist for all time) is called an incomplete vector field.
- A vector field that blows up in finite-time in \mathbb{R}^2 : $X = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. Note that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = 0$. Hence, $x = \frac{x_0}{1-x_0t}$, $y = y_0$. In other words, it blows up in finite time! (Incomplete vector field.)
- A compactly supported vector field in Rⁿ: Let X be any smooth vector field. Let ρ be a smooth compactly supported function. Then ρX is a compactly supported vector field. For any starting point outside the support, the integral curve is a constant!

しょう 御 ・ 前 ・ 一時 ・ (目 ・

• Theorem:

• Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field

• Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary).

 Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists

 Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and

 Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that

 Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p,

Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X

Flows

Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.

6/10

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from

6/10

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system as an integral equation

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system as an integral equation and using an iterative method

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system as an integral equation and using an iterative method and the contraction mapping principle.

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system as an integral equation and using an iterative method and the contraction mapping principle. For uniqueness and smoothness,

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system as an integral equation and using an iterative method and the contraction mapping principle. For uniqueness and smoothness, one needs to put in more effort (Gronwall's inequality).

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system as an integral equation and using an iterative method and the contraction mapping principle. For uniqueness and smoothness, one needs to put in more effort (Gronwall's inequality).
- The ϵ_p can be finite

- Theorem: Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M (without boundary). For every p ∈ M, there exists a neighbourhood U_p ⊂ M and ε_p > 0 such that for every q ∈ U_p, there is a unique smooth integral curve γ : (-ε_p, ε_p) → M for X starting at q.
- This theorem follows almost immediately from the existence/uniqueness/smooth-dependence-on-initial-parameters theorem for (time-independent) systems of ODE.
- That theorem is proven by rewriting the system as an integral equation and using an iterative method and the contraction mapping principle. For uniqueness and smoothness, one needs to put in more effort (Gronwall's inequality).
- The ϵ_p can be finite and U_p need not be all of M.

・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪ト・ 首、 ろよの

• Let $\gamma: J \to M$ be a smooth integral curve.

• Let $\gamma:J\to M$ be a smooth integral curve. Then $\tilde{\gamma}:\tilde{J}\to M$ given by

< ∃ >

• Let $\gamma: J \to M$ be a smooth integral curve. Then $\tilde{\gamma}: \tilde{J} \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(at)$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$

Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \rightarrow M$ given by

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $ilde{\gamma}: J-b
 ightarrow M$ given by $ilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t+b)$ is

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose *M*, *N* are smooth manifolds,

Flows

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, $F : M \to N$ is a smooth map,

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M.

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, $F : M \to N$ is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?)

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of pushforwards of vector fields.

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of pushforwards of vector fields. However, if Y is a smooth vector field on M

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, $F : M \to N$ is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of pushforwards of vector fields. However, if Y is a smooth vector field on M such that $Y_{F(p)} = (F_*)_p(X_p)$ for all $p \in M$ (

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, $F : M \to N$ is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of pushforwards of vector fields. However, if Y is a smooth vector field on M such that $Y_{F(p)} = (F_*)_p(X_p)$ for all $p \in M$ (then Y and X are said to be

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of pushforwards of vector fields. However, if Y is a smooth vector field on M such that Y_{F(p)} = (F_{*})_p(X_p) for all p ∈ M (then Y and X are said to be F-related),

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of pushforwards of vector fields. However, if Y is a smooth vector field on M such that Y_{F(p)} = (F_{*})_p(X_p) for all p ∈ M (then Y and X are said to be F-related), then whenever γ is an integral curve for X,

- Let γ : J → M be a smooth integral curve. Then γ̃ : J̃ → M given by γ̃(t) = γ(at) where a ∈ ℝ is an integral curve for aX starting at p.
- $\tilde{\gamma}: J b \to M$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t + b)$ is an integral curve for X.
- Suppose M, N are smooth manifolds, F : M → N is a smooth map, and X is a smooth vector field on M. Unfortunately, there need not be a smooth vector field on N that is a "pushforward" of X (why?) If F is a diffeo, then one can talk of pushforwards of vector fields. However, if Y is a smooth vector field on M such that Y_{F(p)} = (F_{*})_p(X_p) for all p ∈ M (then Y and X are said to be F-related), then whenever γ is an integral curve for X, F ∘ γ is an integral curve for Y (why?)

・ A 目 > A 目 > A 目 > A 目 > A O A O

• Theorem:

• Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X

• Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete.

• Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular,

• Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold

• Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let *p* be a starting point.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ε such that the integral curve exists on (-ε, ε). If T < ∞,

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ε such that the integral curve exists on (-ε, ε). If T < ∞, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?).

Flows

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$.

Flows

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \rightarrow T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?).

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation).

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So $q_n \to q$.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ε such that the integral curve exists on (-ε, ε). If T < ∞, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence t_n → T. Then at least one of the sequences q_n = γ(-t_n), r_n = γ(t_n) is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So q_n → q. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ε such that the integral curve exists on (-ε, ε). If T < ∞, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence t_n → T. Then at least one of the sequences q_n = γ(-t_n), r_n = γ(t_n) is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So q_n → q. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points for some time.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ε such that the integral curve exists on (-ε, ε). If T < ∞, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence t_n → T. Then at least one of the sequences q_n = γ(-t_n), r_n = γ(t_n) is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So q_n → q. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points for some time. Hence, there are two integral curves

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n)$, $r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So $q_n \to q$. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points for some time. Hence, there are two integral curves starting at q_n (for some large n).

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ε such that the integral curve exists on (-ε, ε). If T < ∞, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence t_n → T. Then at least one of the sequences q_n = γ(-t_n), r_n = γ(t_n) is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So q_n → q. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points for some time. Hence, there are two integral curves starting at q_n (for some large n). By uniqueness, they coincide.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So $q_n \rightarrow q$. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points for some time. Hence, there are two integral curves starting at q_n (for some large n). By uniqueness, they coincide. Hence, the integral curve can be

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So $q_n \rightarrow q$. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points for some time. Hence, there are two integral curves starting at q_n (for some large n). By uniqueness, they coincide. Hence, the integral curve can be smoothly extended past T.

- Theorem: Every smooth compactly supported vector field X is complete. In particular, any smooth vector field on a compact manifold is complete.
- Proof: Let p be a starting point. Let T be the supremum of all ϵ such that the integral curve exists on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. If $T < \infty$, then firstly p is within the support of X (why?). Secondly, consider a sequence $t_n \to T$. Then at least one of the sequences $q_n = \gamma(-t_n), r_n = \gamma(t_n)$ is within a compact set (why?). WLOG assume q_n does. Hence there is a convergent subsequence (that we still call q_n abusing notation). So $q_n \rightarrow q$. Now an integral curve exists with q as starting points for some time. Hence, there are two integral curves starting at q_n (for some large *n*). By uniqueness, they coincide. Hence, the integral curve can be smoothly extended past T. A contradiction.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ = うへの

• Theorem:

• Theorem: If X is compactly supported,

• Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times M$ given by

 Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X

 Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (

• Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times M$ given by $\theta_t(p) = \gamma(t)$ where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and

• Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times M$ given by $\theta_t(p) = \gamma(t)$ where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t.

Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (

• Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times M$ given by $\theta_t(p) = \gamma(t)$ where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, $\theta_{t+s} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$ and $\theta_{-t} = \theta_t^{-1}$. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms

Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times M$ given by $\theta_t(p) = \gamma(t)$ where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, $\theta_{t+s} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$ and $\theta_{-t} = \theta_t^{-1}$. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof:

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions.

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions. Now if we prove that

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions. Now if we prove that $\theta_{-t} = \theta_t^{-1}$,

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions. Now if we prove that $\theta_{-t} = \theta_t^{-1}$, then θ_t is a diffeo.

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions. Now if we prove that $\theta_{-t} = \theta_t^{-1}$, then θ_t is a diffeo. Indeed, θ_0 is identity.

Flows of compactly supported vector fields

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions. Now if we prove that $\theta_{-t} = \theta_t^{-1}$, then θ_t is a diffeo. Indeed, θ_0 is identity. If we prove that $\theta_{t+s} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$,

Flows of compactly supported vector fields

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions. Now if we prove that θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹, then θ_t is a diffeo. Indeed, θ₀ is identity. If we prove that θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s, we are done.

Flows of compactly supported vector fields

- Theorem: If X is compactly supported, then θ : ℝ × M given by θ_t(p) = γ(t) where γ is the integral curve of X starting from p (this map is called the time-t flow of X) is smooth and a diffeomorphism for each fixed t. Moreover, θ_{t+s} = θ_t ∘ θ_s and θ_{-t} = θ_t⁻¹. (Such a collection of diffeomorphisms is a called a one-parameter group.)
- Proof: The smoothness follows from local-smooth-dependence-on-initial-conditions. Now if we prove that $\theta_{-t} = \theta_t^{-1}$, then θ_t is a diffeo. Indeed, θ_0 is identity. If we prove that $\theta_{t+s} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$, we are done. This follows from uniqueness (why?)

AD > <目 > <目 > <目 > <目 > <</p>

• Theorem:

• Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary),

Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*,

 Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism

Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.

10/10

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof:

10/10

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from p

10/10

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M*

10/10

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?)

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed,

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness:

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose q can be obtained from p

10/10

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose q can be obtained from p by a diffeo.

10/10

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*.

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job.

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function.

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.
- Closedness:

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.
- Closedness: Suppose $q_n \rightarrow q$.

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.
- Closedness: Suppose $q_n \rightarrow q$. There is again a neighbourhood of q,

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.
- Closedness: Suppose q_n → q. There is again a neighbourhood of q, consisting of points that can be obtained from q

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.
- Closedness: Suppose q_n → q. There is again a neighbourhood of q, consisting of points that can be obtained from q by diffeos fixing p.

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.
- Closedness: Suppose q_n → q. There is again a neighbourhood of q, consisting of points that can be obtained from q by diffeos fixing p. q_n lies in the neighbourhood for some n.

- Theorem: For every smooth connected manifold *M* (without boundary), and points *p*, *q* ∈ *M*, there exists a diffeomorphism that takes *p* to *q*.
- Proof: The set of all points that can be obtained from *p* by diffeomorphisms of *M* is non-empty (why?) If we prove that it is open and closed, we are done (why?)
- Openness: Suppose *q* can be obtained from *p* by a diffeo. We shall prove that there exists a diffeo that takes *q* to any point nearby but fixes *p*. Composition will then do the job. Indeed, take a constant vector field in coordinates and cut it off by a bump function. The flow of this compactly supported vector field does the job.
- Closedness: Suppose q_n → q. There is again a neighbourhood of q, consisting of points that can be obtained from q by diffeos fixing p. q_n lies in the neighbourhood for some n. Again, composition does the job.