## NOTES FOR 3 NOV (FRIDAY)

## 1. Recap

- (1) Defined closed and exact forms and by means of an example, showed that the topology of a domain can be detected by means of the question "Which closed forms are exact ?"
- (2) Stated (and gave an idea of the proof) of Poincaré 's lemma, i.e., on any star-shaped domain (in fact, on any smoothly contractible manifold), every smooth closed form is exact.

## 2. Integration of top forms over manifolds

If  $\omega = f dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots dx^m$ , then we may define  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \omega = \int f dx^1 dx^2 \dots$  If you change coordinates to  $\tilde{x}$ , then  $\int \omega = \int f \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} d\tilde{x}^1 \dots$  The change of variables formula implies that  $\int \omega$  is well-defined only if the coordinate changes do not change the sign of the Jacobian, i.e., they are orientation-preserving. But other than that, the integral of a form does not seem to depend on the coordinates chosen.

**Definition 2.1.** So suppose  $\omega$  is a smooth top form with compact support (otherwise it may not be integrable) on an oriented manifold M. Assume that  $(\vec{x}_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha})$  is a locally finite coordinate cover that is compatible with the given orientation (that is,  $\mu_p = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}^1}(p), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}^2}(p), \ldots\right]$ ). Let  $\rho_{\alpha}$  be a partition-of-unity subordinate to the open cover. Then define

(2.1) 
$$\int_{M} \omega = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\Phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}) = \mathbb{R}^{m}} \rho_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha}^{1} dx_{\alpha}^{2} \dots$$

Note that  $\rho_{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha}$  has compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and hence the integral makes sense.

If we choose a different coordinate cover  $(\vec{y}_{\beta}, V_{\beta})$  with a different partition-of-unity  $\psi_{\beta}$ , then we need to prove that we get the same integral.

To do this, firstly, we claim that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \omega_\alpha dx_\alpha^1 \dots = \int \omega_\beta dx_\beta^1 \dots$  whenever  $\omega$  has compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Indeed, this follows from the change-of-variables formula, orientation-compatibility, and the transformation rule for top forms. Thus  $\int_{U_\alpha} \omega$  is well-defined independent of the coordinate chart chosen as long as  $\omega$  has compact support in  $U_\alpha$ .

Secondly, if  $\omega$  has compact support within one coordinate chart  $U_{\alpha}$ , then  $\int_{M} \omega = \int_{U_{\alpha}} \omega$ . Indeed,

if 
$$\int_{M} \omega = \sum_{\beta} \int_{V_{\beta}} \psi_{\beta} \omega = \sum_{\beta} \int_{U_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}} \psi_{\beta} \omega = \int_{U_{\alpha}} \omega$$
 where the last equality holds because, on  $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} f = \int \sum \psi_{\beta} f$ .

Thirdly, it is easy to see that  $\int_M (\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \int_M \omega_1 + \int_M \omega_2$  if both forms have compact support inside one oriented coordinate chart. Indeed, this follows from the addition property of Lebesgue integration for functions in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Hence, 
$$\int_M \omega = \sum_{\alpha} \int_M \rho_{\alpha} \omega = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_M \rho_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta} \omega = \sum_{\alpha} \beta \int_M \psi_{\beta} \omega.$$

Therefore the integral of top forms with compact support over manifolds is well-defined. Now suppose  $f : M \to N$  is a diffeomorphism and suppose  $\omega$  is a top form on N having compact support, then clearly  $f^*\omega$  is a top form on M having compact support. Suppose  $(y_\alpha, V_\alpha)$  is an oriented coordinate cover of N and  $\rho_\alpha$  is a partition-of-unity. If f is orientation-preserving, then

## NOTES FOR 3 NOV (FRIDAY)

 $(x_{\alpha} = y_{\alpha} \circ f, U_{\alpha} = f^{-1}(V_{\alpha})$  is an oriented open cover of M (and  $\psi_{\alpha} = \rho_{\alpha} \circ f$  is a partition of unity). Thus  $\int_{M} f^* \omega = \sum \int \psi_{\alpha} f^* \omega = \sum \int f^*(\rho_{\alpha} \omega)$ . By the change of variables formula and the formula for the pullback of a top-form, we see that the latter integral is  $\int \omega$ . On the other hand, if f is orientation-reversing, we would have gotten  $-\int \omega$ .

Now we define integration over manifolds with boundary. Firstly, a smooth form  $\omega$  on a manifold with boundary is a continuous form which is smooth on the interior, and in every boundary chart  $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{H}^m$ , it extends smoothly to a neighbourhood of the upper half space. (Essentially, a smooth function on a closed set is simply a smooth function on some open set containing the closed set.)

Firstly, an oriented manifold-with-boundary  $(M, \partial M, \mu)$  is simply one which can be covered with charts so that the jacobians are positive. (Even for the boundary charts.) So if  $\omega$  is a smooth top-form on an oriented manifold with boundary  $(M, \partial M)$ , then we define the integral as follows : Firstly, if  $\omega$ is a smooth form on  $\mathbb{H}^m$  with compact support, then  $\int_{\mathbb{H}}^m \omega$  is independent of the coordinates chosen (as long as the coordinates are compatible with the orientation) by the change of variables formula.

If  $\omega$  is a smooth form on M with compact support, then define  $\int \omega = \sum \int_{\Phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})} \omega \rho_{\alpha}$  where  $\rho_{\alpha}$  is a partition-of-unity. As before, this definition is independent of choices.

Before we state Stokes' theorem, let's look at  $\int_{[0,1]^m} d\omega$  where  $\omega = \omega_1 dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \dots + \omega_2 dx^1 \wedge dx^3 \wedge \dots$ 

(2.2) 
$$\int_{[0,1]^m} d\omega = \sum_i \int_{[0,1]^m} \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x^i} (-1)^{i-1} dx^1 \wedge \dots dx^m$$
$$= \sum_i \int_{[0,1]^{m-1}} \omega_i(x^1, \dots, 1, x^{i+1}, \dots) - \omega_i(x^1, \dots, 0, \dots) dx^1 \wedge \dots \hat{dx^i} dx^{i+1} \dots$$

So we have reduced the integral to an integral over the boundary which is a sum of integrals over each of the boundary faces. However, there seem to be some orientation choice on the boundary. Indeed, suppose we look at the face  $x^1 = 1$ , then we are integrating  $\omega_1(1, x^2, ...)$  over it. Whereas for  $x^1 = 0$ , we pick up a negative sign. This means,  $(x^2, ..., x^m)$  is an oriented chart for  $x^1 = 1$  but it has the opposite orientation for  $x^1 = 0$ . This means that our orientation on the boundary is by an ordered basis  $e_1, ..., e_{m-1}$  such that  $[N, e_1, ..., e_{m-1}] = \mu$  where N is the outward pointing normal.

Using this observation we define the "induced orientation"  $\partial \mu$  on  $\partial M$  given an orientation  $\mu$  on M. Suppose we choose a boundary chart  $\Phi : U \to \mathbb{H}^m$ . Then  $[v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}] \in \partial \mu$  if and only if  $[w, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}] \in \mu$  where w is any outward pointing vector (pointing towards the lower half-plane).