

NOTES FOR 1 MAR (THURSDAY)

1. RECAP

- (1) Defined sectional curvature (determines the Riemann tensor completely) and the notions of positive/negative/constant curvature.
- (2) Wrote examples of manifolds with curvatures. Defined Space forms.
- (3) Stated the Killing-Hopf, Cartan-Hadamard, Preissman, and Synge theorems.
- (4) Defined divergence and gradient.

2. DIVERGENCE, STOKES' THEOREM, AND LAPLACIANS

Theorem 2.1. $\int_M \text{div}(X) \text{vol}_g = \int_{\partial M} i_X \text{vol}_g$ where $i_X \omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots) = \omega(X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots)$. If \vec{N} is a unit outward pointing normal vector field on the boundary, then $i_X \text{vol}_g = g(X, \vec{N}) d\text{vol}_g|_{\partial M}$.

Proof. Choose oriented normal coordinates x^i for g at $p \in M$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(X)(p) \text{vol}_g(p) &= \sum_i \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^i}(p) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots dx^m(p) = d\left(\sum_i X^i (-1)^{i-1} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i-1} \wedge dx^{i+1} \wedge \dots\right)(p) \\ (2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= d(i_X \text{vol})(p) \end{aligned}$$

Since the above equation is an equation of globally defined forms at p , it is independent of coordinates chosen. Thus $\text{div}(X) \text{vol}_g = d(i_X \text{vol})$. By the usual Stokes' theorem, $\int_M \text{div}(X) \text{vol}_g = \int_{\partial M} i_X \text{vol}$. Now if $X = g(X, \vec{N}) \vec{N} + Y$, then Y is tangent to the boundary. Choose oriented normal coordinates such that $x^1 = 0$ corresponds to the boundary (hence $\vec{N}(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}$ and Y is a linear combination of ∂_i where $i \geq 2$) Then $i_X \text{vol}(p)|_{x^1=0} = g(X, \vec{N})(p) i_{\vec{N}(p)} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots (p) + i_{Y(p)} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots (p) = g(X, \vec{N}) \text{vol}_g|_{\partial M}(p)$. As before, this equation holds globally. \square

In particular, if M has no boundary, then $\int_M \text{div}(X) = 0$. Now define

Definition 2.2. The Laplacian Δu where u is a function on M is a function $\Delta u = \text{div}(\nabla u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(g^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \right) + \Gamma_{ik}^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k} g^{jk}$. So in normal coordinates, it is the usual Laplacian at p .

As an example, take the flat metric $g = d\theta^1 \otimes d\theta^1 + d\theta^2 \otimes d\theta^2 + \dots$ on the torus. Then the Laplacian is easily seen to be the Laplacian we studied earlier. Here is an observation using Stokes :

$$(2.2) \qquad \int_M \Delta u = \int \text{div}(\text{grad}(u)) d\text{vol}_g = 0$$

So if $\Delta u = f$, a necessary condition is that $\int f d\text{vol}_g = 0$ (just like the torus). If $\Delta u = f$, then observe that for any smooth function v ,

$$(2.3) \qquad \int_M v \Delta u \text{vol}_g = \int_M v f \text{vol}_g \Rightarrow \int_M (\text{div}(v \nabla u) - \nabla v \cdot \nabla u) \text{vol}_g = - \int_M \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \text{vol}_g = \int_M u \Delta v \text{vol}_g$$

So we can define a distributional solution of $\Delta u = f$ as an L^2 function u such that the above holds for all smooth v .

What about the curl of a vector field X ? Firstly, given a vector field X , we can produce its dual

1-form $\omega_X(Y) = g(X, Y)$. We can then define $d\omega_X$ as a 2-form. If there is a way to take a 2-form α to an $m - 2$ form $*\alpha$, then in 3-dimensions, $*\alpha$ will be a 1-form, whose dual is a vector field. This should be the curl. So we need a notion called the Hodge star $*$ taking k -forms to $m - k$ forms.

Definition 2.3. Given a k -form α on a compact oriented m -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $*\alpha$ is a $(m - k)$ -form such that $\alpha \wedge *\beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_g \text{vol}_g$. Here the inner product on forms is defined as follows : Suppose at p , normal coordinates are chosen, i.e., $g_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij}$, then $dx^{i_1}(p) \wedge dx^{i_2} \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}(p)$ form an orthonormal basis at p for k -forms. Note that $\text{vol}(p) = dx^1(p) \wedge dx^2(p) \dots dx^m(p)$.

Does such an operator $*$: $\Gamma(\Omega^k(M)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega^{m-k}(M))$ exist ? Is it linear ? Yes to both. Suppose $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ form an orthonormal frame on an open set U , i.e., $\omega_1(p), \omega_2(p), \dots, \omega_m(p)$ form an orthonormal basis of T_p^*M for all $p \in U$. Then, $*(\omega_{i_1} \wedge \omega_{i_2} \dots \omega_{i_k}) = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(I)} \omega_{i_{k+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_{k+2}} \dots \wedge \omega_{i_m}$ where $\text{sgn}(I)$ is the sign of the permutation taking $(1, 2, \dots, m)$ to (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) . Then extend $*$ linearly to all forms. We will see why it is well-defined later on. Here are some examples :

- (1) Suppose $(M, g) = \mathbb{R}^2, g_{Euc}$ oriented in the usual way, then $*1 = dx \wedge dy$. Also, $*dx = dy$ and $*dy = -dx$. Finally, $*(dx \wedge dy) = 1$.
- (2) If $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ (with the Euclidean metric) oriented in the usual way, then $*1 = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$, $*dx = dy \wedge dz$, $*dy = dz \wedge dx$, $*dz = dx \wedge dy$.

If $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$, form the dual 1-forms $v = v_1 dx + v_2 dy + v_3 dz$ and likewise for w . Then $v \wedge w$ is a 2-form given by $v \wedge w = (v_1 w_2 - v_2 w_1) dx \wedge dy + \dots$. The Hodge star acting on this gives a 1-form $*(v \wedge w) = (v_1 w_2 - v_2 w_1) dz + \dots$ whose dual is $(v_2 w_3 - v_3 w_2, v_3 w_1 - w_3 v_1, v_1 w_2 - w_1 v_2)$ which are the components of $\vec{v} \times \vec{w}$. Since the cross product depends on the choice of orientation, it is called a ‘‘pseudovector’’.

This $*$ operator (the so-called Hodge star) has the following properties :

- (1) Suppose α, β are elements of $\Omega_p^k(M)$ $\alpha \wedge *\beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_g \text{vol}_g = \beta \wedge *\alpha$, i.e., it does satisfy the definition.
- (2) $*$ is well-defined, i.e., it does not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis.
- (3) If you change the metric from g to $\tilde{g} = cg$ where $c > 0$ is a constant, then $*_{\tilde{g}}\omega = \sqrt{c}^{2k-m} *_g \omega$
- (4) If you change the orientation, $*$ \rightarrow $-*$.
- (5) $**\eta = (-1)^{k(m-k)}\eta$.
- (6) $\langle *\alpha, *\eta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \eta \rangle$.

Proof. (1) Suppose we choose the orthonormal frame ω_i . Suppose $\beta = \beta_I \omega^{i_1} \wedge \omega_{i_2} \dots$ where the summation is over increasing indices $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$, we see that $*\beta = \beta_I (-1)^{\text{sgn}(I)} \omega^{i_{k+1}} \wedge \omega^{i_{k+2}} \dots$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \wedge *\beta &= \alpha_J \beta_I (-1)^{\text{sgn}(I)} \omega^{j_1} \wedge \omega^{j_2} \dots \omega^{j_k} \wedge \omega^{i_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \\ (2.4) \quad &= \alpha_I \beta_I (-1)^{\text{sgn}(I)} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(I)} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \dots = \alpha_I \beta_I \text{vol}_g = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \text{vol}_g \end{aligned}$$

Note that this property does not depend on how we defined $*$ (i.e., we did not use the fact that $*$ is well-defined)

- (2) The above property $\alpha \wedge *\beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \text{vol}_g$ defines $*$ uniquely because, if $*_1, *_2$ satisfy this property, then $\alpha \wedge (*_1 - *_2)\beta = 0$ for all α, β . However, $(a, b) \rightarrow a \wedge b$ is a non-degenerate pairing (Why? because $(a, *_1 a) \rightarrow a \wedge *_1 a = |a|^2 \text{vol}_g \geq 0$). Hence $*_1 \beta = *_2 \beta \forall \beta$.
- (3) Suppose $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m$ is an orthonormal frame for g , then $\frac{\omega_i}{\sqrt{c}}$ is one for \tilde{g} . From this the result follows trivially.
- (4) Obvious.

(5)

$$(2.5) \quad **(\eta) = \eta_I **(\omega^I) = \eta_I * ((-1)^{\text{sgn}(I)} \omega^{I^c}) = \eta_I (-1)^{\text{sgn}(I)} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(I^c)} \omega^I = (-1)^{k(m-k)} \eta$$

(6) Suppose η is a k -form and α an $m - k$ form.

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle *\alpha, *\eta \rangle \text{vol} &= *\alpha \wedge **\eta = (-1)^{k(m-k)} *\alpha \wedge \eta \\ &= (-1)^{k(m-k)} (-1)^{k(m-k)} \eta \wedge *\alpha = \langle \eta, \alpha \rangle \text{vol} = \langle \alpha, \eta \rangle \text{vol} \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we define an operator analogous of the curl $\nabla \times \vec{F}$:

Definition 2.4. Let α be a smooth k -form. Then $d^\dagger \alpha = (-1)^{m(k+1)+1} *d*\alpha$. Thus $d^\dagger \alpha$ is a smooth $k - 1$ -form depending on the first derivative of α (it is a first order differential operator).