

## NOTES FOR 2 JAN (TUESDAY)

### 1. LOGISTICS

- (1) Webpage : [http://math.iisc.ac.in/~vamsipingali/339\\_2018.html](http://math.iisc.ac.in/~vamsipingali/339_2018.html)
- (2) HW - 25%, Midterm - 25%, Final - 50 % (The HW will be put up on the webpage)
- (3) Office - N -23. Office hours - Wed - 4-5.
- (4) Prereqs - A first course on manifolds, some analysis (Fourier analysis and a little bit of function spaces and measure theory), multivariable calculus, and functional analysis (up to and including the spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators). The functional analysis part can be read from the appendix in Evans' book.

### 2. GOALS OF THE COURSE (FAMOUS LAST WORDS)

- (1) How to write PDE on manifolds. This will include a crash course on basic Riemannian geometry. (What is a PDE on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ? It is an equation of the form  $F(u, \nabla u, D^2u, \dots) = 0$ . If you want to write it on a manifold, what is  $D^2u$ ? If you use coordinates to define it, then changing coordinates gives a different PDE. So we need some additional structure to even write PDE on manifolds. The structure we will use is a Riemannian metric.)
- (2) How to prove existence and uniqueness of solutions for linear elliptic (and hopefully some nonlinear) PDE.
- (3) Why you should care about studying PDE on manifolds. (Hopefully, some Hodge theory and the uniformisation theorem.)

### 3. THE POISSON ODE AND FOURIER ANALYSIS

Suppose we want to solve the ODE  $u'' = f$  for a  $2\pi$  periodic smooth function  $u$  where  $f$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic smooth function, then

$$(3.1) \quad u'(x) = u'(0) + \int_0^x f(t)dt$$

$u(x) = u(x + 2\pi)$  implies that  $u'(x) = u'(x + 2\pi)$  (in fact they are equivalent if  $u(0) = u(2\pi)$ ). Thus  $\int_0^{2\pi} f(t)dt = 0$ . This is a necessary and sufficient (by the periodicity of  $f$ ,  $\int_x^{x+2\pi} f(t)dt = \int_0^{2\pi} f(t)dt$ ) condition. (Smoothness is guaranteed by the fundamental theorem of calculus.)

In other words, there is a unique-up-to-a-constant smooth periodic solution of the ODE if and only if  $f$  is smooth, periodic, and satisfies  $\int_0^{2\pi} f(t)dt = 0$ . Interestingly enough, denoting the vector space of smooth  $2\pi$ -periodic functions as  $C^\infty$ , the map  $T : C^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty$  given by  $T(u) = u''$  has kernel precisely the constants. Moreover, equipping this vector space with the inner product  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} uv dx$ , we see that  $T = T^*$  and  $T(u) = f$  if and only if  $f$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(T^*) = \ker(T)$ . This is very similar to finite-dimensional linear algebra. Moreover, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, if  $f$  is  $k$ -times continuously differentiable (will be denoted as  $C^k$  from now on), then  $u$  is  $C^{k+2}$ .

The above mentioned observations are not coincidences. Later on, we will see that many PDE (the so-called elliptic PDE) satisfy similar properties. However, to prove such things, we cannot rely on a direct formula for the solution unlike the case of ODE. So we need a more abstract, theoretical

method.

Thinking naively (like an engineer or a physicist) we write the Fourier series  $u = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}(k)e^{ikx}$

where  $\hat{u}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x)e^{-ikx} dx$  and likewise for  $f$ . Then we see that

$$(3.2) \quad \hat{u}(k)k^2 = -\hat{f}(k)$$

In other words, there is a (formal) solution if and only if  $\hat{f}(0) = 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)dx$ . In this case,  $\hat{u}(0)$  is a free parameter and hence the solution is unique upto a constant. Moreover, since as sharp changes in music (think of opera music) correspond to very shrill sounds, if the high-frequency Fourier components are “small”, then the function is very “smooth” (melodious notes are not too shrill). Since  $\hat{u}(k) = \frac{\hat{f}(k)}{k^2}$ ,  $u$  behaves more smoothly than  $f$  does. So if  $f$  is a smooth function, we expect  $u$  to be so as well.

To make things rigorous, firstly, notice that the Fourier coefficients make sense for any integrable function. The convergence of Fourier series is a subtle phenomenon though. For example, there exist continuous functions whose Fourier series do not convergence pointwise at some points.<sup>1</sup> Nonetheless, we have the following useful results.

- (1) Riesz-Fischer : A measurable function on  $[0, 2\pi]$  is in  $L^2$  if and only if its Fourier series converges in the  $L^2$  norm to it. Moreover, if  $a_k$  is in  $l^2$ , then  $\sum a_k e^{ikx}$  converges in  $L^2$ .
- (2) Parseval-Plancherel : The Fourier series transform is an isometric isomorphism between  $L^2([0, 2\pi])$  and  $l^2$ .
- (3) Let  $C^{0,\alpha}$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) consist of all Hölder continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic functions  $g$ , i.e., periodic functions  $g$  such that  $|g(x) - g(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha$  for all  $x, y$ . Note that if  $f$  is in  $C^1$ , then  $f$  is Hölder continuous.

Theorem : If  $f \in C^{0,\alpha}$  then  $|\hat{f}(k)| \leq \frac{K}{|k|^\alpha} \forall |k| \geq 1$ .

*Proof.*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\pi \frac{\widehat{f(x+h)}(k) - \hat{f}(k)}{h^\alpha} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h^\alpha} e^{-ikx} dx \\ &\Rightarrow \left| \frac{\widehat{f(x+h)}(k) - \hat{f}(k)}{h^\alpha} \right| \leq C \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h^\alpha} e^{-ikx} dx \right| = \left| \int_h^{2\pi+h} \frac{f(y)}{h^\alpha} e^{-ik(y-h)} - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(x)}{h^\alpha} e^{-ikx} dx \right| \\ &= \left| - \int_0^h \frac{f(y)}{h^\alpha} e^{-ik(y-h)} dy + \int_{2\pi}^{2\pi+h} \frac{f(y)}{h^\alpha} e^{-ik(y-h)} dy + \frac{1}{h^\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikx} f(x) (e^{ikh} - 1) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{h^\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikx} f(x) (e^{ikh} - 1) dx \right| = |\hat{f}(k)| \frac{|e^{ikh} - 1|}{h^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Take  $h = \frac{1}{k}$ . Using 3.3 and 3.4 we see that  $|\hat{f}(k)| \leq \frac{K}{k^\alpha}$ .

As for uniform convergence, □

<sup>1</sup>Already this is beginning to hint that expecting results like “If  $f$  is  $C^k$ , then  $u$  is  $C^{k+2}$ ” is a bad idea from the Fourier-analytic point of view. In fact for PDE, this expectation is false.

(4) Theorem : If  $f \in C^{0,\alpha}$ , the Fourier series converges uniformly to  $f$ .

(5) Theorem : If  $f \in C^1$  then  $\hat{f}' = ik\hat{f}(k)$ . This holds for higher derivatives too.

*Proof.*

$$(3.5) \quad \hat{f}' = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x)e^{-ikx} dx = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)(e^{-ikx})' dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} ikf(x)e^{-ikx} dx = ik\hat{f}(k)$$

□

(6) Theorem : If  $f$  is smooth, then the Fourier coefficients are rapidly decaying (decay faster than any polynomial). Also the Fourier series of  $f$  and its derivatives converge uniformly. Conversely, if  $a_k$  are rapidly decaying, then they are the Fourier coefficients of a smooth function (with convergence being uniform).

*Proof.* If  $f$  is smooth, then  $\hat{f}^{(l)}(k) = (ik)^l \hat{f}(k)$ . Since  $\hat{f}^{(l)}(k)$  is bounded,  $\hat{f}(k)$  is rapidly decaying. By one of the earlier theorems, the convergence is uniform.

If  $|a_k| \leq C_l |k|^{-l}$ , then by the Weierstrass  $M$ -test (choosing  $l > 1$ ), we see that  $\sum a_k e^{ikx}$  converges uniformly to a continuous function  $u$ . The same argument also shows that  $\sum (ik)^l a_k e^{ikx}$  converges uniformly to  $u_l$ . It is easy to see (fundamental theorem of calculus and interchange of summation and integration) that  $u_l = u^{(l)}$ . □