## NOTES FOR 30 JAN (TUESDAY)

## 1. Recap

(1) Proved the existence of geodesically convex balls and proved that geodesics are locally length minimising.
(2) Defined isometries and stated the Myers-Steenrod theorem. Calculated geodesics for some examples.
(3) Defined geodesic completeness.

## 2. Riemannian manifolds and metrics on vector bundles

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $(M, g)$ is a connected Riemannian manifold. Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $M$ is geodesically complete.
(2) $(M, d)$ is a complete metric space.
(3) $A$ set $K \subset M$ is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.
(4) There is a smooth exhaustion function $\psi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $\psi^{-1}(-\infty, c)$ is relatively compact in M) such that $|d \psi|_{g} \leq 1$.
(5) There exists an exhaustive sequence $K_{\nu}$ of compact subsets of $M$ (i.e. $K_{\nu} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(K_{\nu+1}\right)$, $\left.\cup_{\nu} K_{\nu}=M\right)$ and functions $\psi_{\nu}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi_{\nu}=1$ in a neighbourhood of $K_{\nu}$, $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\psi_{\nu}\right) \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(K_{\nu+1}\right), 0 \leq \psi_{\nu} \leq 1$ and $\left|d \psi_{\nu}\right|_{g} \leq 2^{-\nu}$.
Moreover, any two points in a geodesically complete manifold can be joined by a minimal length geodesic.

Proof. Zeroethly, we recall that the metric $d$ induces the same topology as the original one on the manifold. In particular, $d$ is continuous.

First we prove that 1 implies that any two points can be joined by a minimal geodesic. Suppose $p, q \in M$ with $d(p, q)=r>0$. Choose $U_{p}$ as in an earlier theorem. Let $S \subset U_{p}$ be the spherical shell of radius $\delta<\epsilon$. There is a point $p_{0}=\exp _{p}(\delta v),\|v\|=1$ on $S$ such that $d\left(p_{0}, q\right) \leq d(s, q)$ for all $s \in S$ (by compactness of $S$ ). We claim that $\exp _{p}(r v)=q$ (thus proving what we want). To prove this we prove that $d(\gamma(t), q)=r-t$ for $t \in[\delta, r]$.

Indeed, firstly, since every curve from $p$ to $q$ must intersect $S$ (Intermediate value theorem : $d(p, p)=0, d(p, q)>\delta)$ we see that $d(p, q)=\min _{s \in S}(d(p, s)+d(s, q))=\delta+d\left(p_{0}, q\right)$. Thus $d\left(p_{0}, q\right)=$ $r-\delta$.

The set $A$ of $t \in[\delta, r]$ satisfying the property that $d(\gamma(t), q)=r-t$ is non-empty. It is closed by continuity. If we prove that it is open, then by connectedness we will be done. If $s_{0}<r \in A$. Let $\delta^{\prime}$ be small. We want to show that $\delta^{\prime}+s_{0} \in A$. Let $B_{\delta^{\prime}}\left(\gamma\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$ be the geodesically convex ball with boundary $S^{\prime}$. Let $x_{0}^{\prime}$ be the point of minimum of $d(x, q)$ on $S^{\prime}$.

Note that $r-s_{0}=d\left(\gamma\left(s_{0}\right), q\right) \leq \delta^{\prime}+d\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, q\right)$. Actually, since there is a curve $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ joining $x_{0}^{\prime}, q$ such that $d\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, q\right) \leq l\left(\gamma_{\epsilon}\right)_{x_{0}^{\prime}}^{q} \leq d\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, q\right)+\epsilon$, we see that the curve consisting of the geodesic between $s_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}$ of length $\delta^{\prime}$ and then $\gamma_{\epsilon}$ joining $x_{0}^{\prime}, q$ is of length at most $\delta^{\prime}+d\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, q\right)+\epsilon$. Thus $d\left(\gamma\left(s_{0}\right), q\right)=\delta^{\prime}+d\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, q\right)$ (it is less than this quantity and there are curves whose length is arbitrarily close to this quantity).

Now $d\left(p, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \geq d(p, q)-d\left(q, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=s_{0}+\delta^{\prime}$. By the local minimising properties of geodesics, it is clear that $\gamma\left(s_{0}+\delta^{\prime}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime}$. Thus $d\left(\gamma\left(s_{0}+\delta^{\prime}\right), q\right)=r-\left(s_{0}+\delta^{\prime}\right)$.
(1) $1 \Rightarrow 3$ : Of course compact sets are closed and bounded. Suppose $K$ is closed and bounded. Then there is a metric ball $K \subset B_{R}(p)$ where $p \in K$. By existence of length minimising geodesics, there exists a ball $B_{r}(0) \in T_{p} M$ such that $B_{R}(p) \subset \exp \left(\bar{B}_{r}(0)\right)$. Since the right hand side is compact, so is $K$.
(2) $3 \Rightarrow 2$ : If $x_{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then the set $K=$ closure of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is certainly closed and bounded. Thus it is compact. Hence $x_{n}$ has a convergent subsequence. Therefore $x_{n}$ converges.
(3) $2 \Rightarrow 1$ : Suppose $(M, g)$ is not geodesically complete. Then there is an arc-length parametrised geodesic $\gamma$ defined on $s<s_{0}$ but not at $s_{0}$. Let $s_{n}<s_{0} \rightarrow s_{0}$. For large $n, m, d\left(\gamma\left(s_{n}\right), \gamma\left(s_{m}\right)\right) \leq$ $\left|s_{n}-s_{m}\right|<\epsilon$. Hence $\gamma\left(s_{n}\right)$ is Cauchy and converges to $p_{0}$. Let $W_{p_{0}}, \delta$ be a geodesically convex normal neighbourhood around $p_{0}$. Assume that $n, m$ are large so that $\left|s_{m}-s_{n}\right|<\delta$. There is a geodesic $\alpha$ connecting $\gamma\left(s_{n}\right), \gamma\left(s_{m}\right)$ with length less than $\delta$. Wherever $\gamma$ is defined, $\alpha=\gamma$ (by uniqueness). Suppose $\beta$ is the geodesic connecting $\gamma\left(s_{N}\right)$ and $p_{0}$. Let $M$ be so large that $d\left(\gamma\left(s_{m}\right), p_{0}\right) \leq \epsilon$ for all $m \geq M$. Now $L(\gamma)_{s_{N}}^{s_{0}}=\left|s_{N}-s_{0}\right|=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|s_{N}-s_{m}\right|=$ $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} d\left(\gamma\left(s_{N}\right), \gamma\left(s_{m}\right)\right) \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(d\left(\gamma\left(s_{N}\right), p_{0}\right)\right)+\epsilon$. Hence $L(\gamma)_{s_{N}}^{s_{0}} \leq d\left(\gamma\left(s_{N}\right), p_{0}\right)+\epsilon \forall \epsilon$. Thus means that $\gamma=\beta$. Thus $\beta$ extends $\gamma$ past $s_{0}$ to $s_{0}+\delta$.
(4) $2 \Rightarrow 4$ : Fix $x_{0} \in M$. Set $\psi_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{4} d\left(x_{0}, x\right)$. Of course $\psi_{0}(x)$ is smooth when $x$ is close to $x_{0}$. In fact, it is Lipshitz with constant $\frac{1}{4}$. Since Lipshitz functions are differentiable almost everywhere (Rademacher's theorem), $\psi_{0}$ is so and $\left|d \psi_{0}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ almost everywhere. $\psi_{0}$ is of course an exhaustion except that it is not smooth. If we manage to smooth it out to $\psi$ satisfying $\left|\psi-\psi_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and $|d \psi| \leq 1$, we will be done. This smoothing will be given as a HW exercise. (Essentially, you take a locally finite cover by normal balls of a small enough size so that the metric is close to being Euclidean. Let $\rho_{\alpha}$ be a partition-of-unity. Now using mollification, you can approximate $\rho_{\alpha} \psi_{0}$ by a smooth function $\psi_{\alpha}$ uniformly and let $\psi=\sum_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}$. You need to be clever in approximating so that the derivative of $\psi$ is not too big.)
(5) $4 \Rightarrow 5$ : Choose a smooth function $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho=1$ on $(-\infty, 1.1)$ and $\rho=0$ on $[1.9, \infty), 0 \leq \rho^{\prime} \leq 2$ on $[1,2]$. Then $K_{\nu}=\left\{x: \psi(x) \leq 2^{\nu+1}\right\}$ and $\psi_{\nu}(x)=\rho\left(2^{-\nu-1} \psi(x)\right)$.
(6) $5 \Rightarrow 4$ : Set $\psi=\sum 2^{\nu}\left(1-\psi_{\nu}\right)$.
(7) $4 \Rightarrow 3$ : The inequality $|d \psi|_{g} \leq 1$ implies that $|\psi(x)-\psi(y)| \leq d(x, y)$ and hence all finite closed balls are compact. (Indeed, if $x_{k}$ in a closed ball $B_{R}$ is sequence without a convergent subsequence, then $\psi\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ because $\psi$ is proper. But $d\left(x_{k}, y\right)$ is bounded.)

Here are examples of complete manifolds :
(1) Compact manifolds. In fact, as a corollary of the Hopf-Rinow theorem, we see that there is always a minimal geodesic joining any two points on a compact manifold.
(2) $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
(3) $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. Indeed, one can write down the geodesics (they are vertical lines and semicircles) explicitly to see this.

## 3. Connections and curvature

Here are a bunch of observations / questions :
(1) The Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=F(g, \partial g)$ do not transform like a tensor does upon change of coordinates. (In english, this means, when I change coordinates, the new symbols are not linearly dependent on the old ones.) This can be verified easily by calculation. So what kind of objects are they?
(2) In g.n.c, the second term in the Taylor expansion of the metric was a tensor (which we called the Riemann curvature tensor) depending on two derivatives of the metric. Since this seems to detect "curvature" in the english sense of the word (for example, it is 0 when the metric can be made Euclidean), we need to see if there is a more invariant way to define this quantity.
(3) If one wants to follow the shortest path in a city, one follows "one's nose", i.e., the tangent vector of the path is transported "parallel" to itself, i.e., there is no acceleration in any other direction. So we need to define a notion of "parallel transport" along a curve.

