NOTES FOR 6 MAR (TUESDAY)

1. Recap

- (1) Proved the divergence theorem
- (2) Defined the Hodge star and proved its properties.

2. Divergence, Stokes' theorem, and Laplacians

Definition 2.1. Let α be a smooth k-form. Then $d^{\dagger}\alpha = (-1)^{m(k+1)+1} * d * \alpha$. Thus $d^{\dagger}\alpha$ is a smooth k-1-form depending on the first derivative of α (it is a first order differential operator).

The "codifferential" satisfies the following properties :

- (1) $d^{\dagger}f = 0$ where f is a smooth function.
- (2) $d^{\dagger} \circ d^{\dagger} = 0.$
- (3) $(d\alpha,\beta) = \int_{M} \langle d\alpha,\beta \rangle vol_g = \int_{M} \langle \alpha,d^{\dagger}\beta \rangle vol_g = (\alpha,d^{\dagger}\beta)$. Thus, d^{\dagger} is formally speaking, the adjoint of d.

(4) If X is a vector field and ω_X is the dual 1-form, then $d^{\dagger}\omega_X = -div(X)$. Hence, $d^{\dagger}df = -\Delta f$.

Proof. (1) Obvious because f is a 0-form.

- (2) $d^{\dagger} \circ d^{\dagger} = \pm * d *^{2} d * = \pm * d \circ d * = 0$
- (3) Suppose β is a k-form and α a k-1 form.

$$(\alpha, d^{\dagger}\beta) = \int_{M} \alpha \wedge (-1)^{m(k+1)+1} * *d * \beta = \int_{M} \alpha \wedge (-1)^{m(k+1)+1+(m-k+1)(m-(m-k+1))} d * \beta$$

$$(2.1) \qquad \qquad = \int_{M} (-1)^{k} (-1)^{k} (d(\alpha \wedge *\beta) - d\alpha \wedge *\beta) = \int_{M} d\alpha \wedge *\beta = (d\alpha, \beta)$$

(4) Note that

(2.2)

$$(d^{\dagger}\omega_{X}, f) = (\omega_{X}, df) = \int_{M} g^{ij}(\omega_{X})_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{j}} vol$$

$$= \int_{M} g^{ij}g_{ik}X^{k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{j}} vol = (X, \nabla f) = -(div(X), f)$$

$$\Rightarrow (d^{\dagger}\omega_{X} + div(X), f) = 0 \ \forall \ f \in C^{\infty}(M)$$

The last equality implies the result because we can choose f to be a mollifier supported inside a coordinate chart and take limits.

The last equality motivates us to make the following definition :

Definition 2.2. Suppose α is a smooth k-form on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold (M, g). Define the second order linear partial differential operator (the Hodge Laplacian or the Laplace-Beltrami operator) as the k-form $\Delta_d \omega = (dd^{\dagger} + d^{\dagger}d)\omega$.

NOTES FOR 6 MAR (TUESDAY)

Let us calculate this on \mathbb{R}^m with the Euclidean metric and the usual orientation. (Remember that this Laplacian depends on the choice of a metric and an orientation.) Let $\eta = \eta_I dx^I$ be a k-form (where the sum is over all indices, whether increasing or not).

$$\begin{split} d\eta &= \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^I \\ d^{\dagger}\eta &= (-1)^{m(k+1)+1} * d * \eta = (-1)^{m(k+1)+1} * d(\eta_I(-1)^{sgn(I)} dx^{I^c}) \\ &= (-1)^{m(k+1)+1+sgn(I)} * \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^{I^c} = (-1)^{m(k+1)+1+sgn(I)} \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^j} (-1)^{sgn(j,I^c)} dx^{i_1} \dots dx^{i_{a_j(I)-1}} \wedge d\hat{x}^j \dots \\ &= (-1)^{m(k+1)+1+sgn(I)+m-k+a_j(I)-1+sgn(I^c)} \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^j} dx^{i_1} \dots \wedge d\hat{x}^j \dots \\ &= (-1)^{m(k+1)+m-k+a_j(I)+k(m-k)} \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^j} dx^{i_1} \dots \wedge d\hat{x}^j \dots = (-1)^{a_j(I)} \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^j} dx^{i_1} \dots \wedge d\hat{x}^j \dots \\ &\Delta_d \eta = (dd^{\dagger} + d^{\dagger}d)\eta = d((-1)^{a_j(I)} \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^j} dx^{i_1} \dots \wedge d\hat{x}^j) + d^{\dagger} \frac{\partial \eta_I}{\partial x^k} dx^k \wedge dx^I \\ &= \sum_{I,k,j \in (i_1,\dots,i_k)} (-1)^{a_j(I)} \frac{\partial^2 \eta_I}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} dx^k \wedge dx^{i_1} \dots \wedge d\hat{x}^j + \sum_{I,k,j \in (k,i_1,\dots,i_k)} (-1)^{a_j(k,I)} \frac{\partial^2 \eta_I}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} dx^k \wedge dx^{i_1} \dots d\hat{x}^j \dots \\ &= -\sum_{I,k} \frac{\partial^2 \eta_I}{\partial (x^k)^2} dx^I = -(\Delta \eta_I) dx^I \end{split}$$

So, in particular, in Euclidean space, if we compute the principal symbol of the Hodge Laplacian, i.e., we replace the highest order derivatives by a vector $\vec{\zeta}$, we get $\sigma_{\Delta_d}(\vec{\zeta}) = -\begin{bmatrix} |\zeta|^2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & |\zeta|^2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$.

Hence this operator is elliptic with constant coefficients. This holds true even for the flat torus.

Before we proceed further with the analysis of the PDE $\Delta_d \eta = \alpha$, we define a general notion of a Laplacian (the so called Bochner Laplacian or the Rough Laplacian). To do so, suppose (E, ∇, h) is a vector bundle on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a metric (h) compatible connection ∇ . Then we identify the formal adjoint $\nabla^{\dagger} : \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E) \to \Gamma(E)$ of the connection $\nabla : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E)$ defined by the property

(2.3)
$$(\nabla^{\dagger}\alpha,\beta) = \int_{M} \langle \nabla^{\dagger}\alpha,\beta \rangle_{h} vol_{g} = \int_{M} \langle \alpha,\nabla\beta \rangle_{g^{*}\otimes h} vol_{g} = (\alpha,\nabla\beta)$$

We need to prove that such an operator is actually a differential operator by finding a formula for it. (Such an operator is unique - Why ?) Suppose we choose an orthonormal normal trivialisation e_i for (E, ∇, h) and normal coordinates x^{μ} for g at p, then $A(p) = 0, h(p) = Id, g = Id + O(x^2) = g^*$. Let $\alpha = \alpha^i_{\mu} dx^{\mu} \otimes e_i, \beta = \beta^j e_j$. Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \alpha, \nabla \beta \rangle_{g^* \otimes h}(p) &= \sum_{\mu, i} \alpha^i_\mu(p) \frac{\partial \beta^i}{\partial x^\mu}(p) = \sum_{\mu, i} \frac{\partial \alpha^i_\mu \beta^i}{\partial x^\mu}(p) - \frac{\partial \alpha^i_\mu}{\partial x^\mu}(p) \beta^i(p) \\ &= div(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle^\sharp)(p) - \frac{\partial \alpha^i_\mu}{\partial x^\mu}(p) \beta^i(p) \end{split}$$

Now the expression $-\frac{\partial \alpha_{\mu}^{i}}{\partial x^{\mu}}(p)\beta^{i}(p)$ can be written as $-\langle tr(\nabla \alpha), \beta \rangle_{h}(p)$ which is a globally defined quantity. By the divergence theorem, $\nabla^{\dagger} \alpha = -tr(\nabla \alpha)$. So finally,

Definition 2.3. Suppose (M, g) is a compact oriented Riemannian manifold (without boundary as usual) and (E, ∇, h) is a vector bundle with a metric h and a metric-compatible connection ∇ . The Bochner Laplacian (sometimes called the Rough Laplacian) is defined as $\nabla^{\dagger}\nabla : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ where $\nabla^{\dagger}\alpha = -tr(\nabla\alpha)$.

Suppose we take $E = \Omega^k(M)$, then potentially, we have two Laplacians, Δ_d and $\nabla^* \nabla$. It turns out that

(2.4)
$$\Delta_d \eta = \nabla^* \nabla \eta + Curvature(\eta)$$

where the last term is something that depends linearly on η with coefficients depending on the Riemann tensor. This sort of an identity relating two different Laplacians is called a Bochner-Weitzenböck identity. So, taking inner product with η and integrating,

(2.5)
$$(d\eta, d\eta) + (d^{\dagger}\eta, d^{\dagger}\eta) = (\nabla\eta, \nabla\eta) + (\eta, Curvature(\eta)) \ge (\eta, Curvature(\eta))$$

So if $\Delta_d \eta = 0$, i.e., η is Harmonic, and the curvature term is positive, we have a contradiction unless $\eta = 0$. This sort of a conclusion turns out to be useful for topology. This method is called the Bochner technique for proving non-existence of non-trivial Harmonic objects.