## NOTES FOR 4 FEB (TUESDAY)

## 1. Recap

- (1) Defined connections, looked at them locally, proved that they form an affine space, and proved that they exist on every vector bundle.
- (2) Defined the pullback of a connection.

## 2. Connections and curvature

Now we turn to another notion arising from a connection. What if we want to take the second derivative ? There is a nice way to do this using a connection, but let us return to that later. For now, let us be very naive. Note that  $\nabla$  takes sections to vector-valued 1-forms. What if we want to apply  $\nabla$  again ? Unfortunately, unless we have a way to differentiate 1-forms, there is no meaning to differentiating  $\omega \otimes s$ . But we actually do have a way to differentiate 1-forms using the exterior derivative d ! So, define the following map  $d^{\nabla} : \Gamma(V \otimes T^*M) \to \Gamma(V \otimes \Omega^2(M))$  given by  $d^{\nabla}(\omega \otimes s) = d\omega \otimes s + \omega \wedge \nabla s$  and extending it linearly. Of course,  $d^{\nabla}(f\omega \otimes s) = df \wedge \omega \otimes s + fd^{\nabla}(\omega \otimes s)$ . So indeed, tensoriality holds and hence the image of  $d^{\nabla}$  is a vector-valued 2-form. Actually, let's take this opportunity to define  $d^{\nabla} : \Gamma(V \otimes \Omega^r M) \to \Gamma(V \otimes \Omega^{r+1}M)$  as  $d^{\nabla}(s \otimes \omega) = \nabla s \wedge \omega + s \otimes d\omega$ .

It is natural to ask whether  $(d^{\nabla})^2 = 0$  on sections (i.e. vector-valued 0-forms). But this is not true ! Indeed, locally,  $d^{\nabla}s = (d\vec{s} + A\vec{s})$ . Thus  $(d^{\nabla})^2s = d(d\vec{s} + A\vec{s}) + A \wedge (d\vec{s} + A\vec{s}) =$  $0 + d(A\vec{s}) + A \wedge d\vec{s} + A \wedge A\vec{s} = dA\vec{s} - A \wedge d\vec{s} + A \wedge d\vec{s} + A \wedge A\vec{s} = (dA + A \wedge A)\vec{s} = F\vec{s}$  where F is locally a matrix of 2-forms called the curvature of  $(V, \nabla)$ . In other words,  $(d^{\nabla})^2s$  depends linearly on s and not on any derivative of it ! More curiously, if we calculate how F changes when we change the trivialisation, we see that  $\tilde{F} = gFg^{-1}$ . In other words, F is actually a section of  $End(V) \otimes \Omega^2(M)$ . (We can do this calculation more invariantly by proving tensoriality, i.e.,  $(d^{\nabla})^2(fs) = f(d^{\nabla})^2s$ .)

**Definition 2.1.** The curvature F of a connection  $\nabla$  is a section of  $End(V) \otimes \Omega^2(M)$  defined as  $Fs = (d^{\nabla})^2 s$ . It locally has the formula,  $F = dA + A \wedge A$ .

If V is a line bundle,  $A \wedge A = 0$  and F = dA is a global closed 2-form (because End(L) is a trivial bundle).

Here is an interesting observation :

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $(L, \nabla)$  is a (real or complex) line bundle, then its curvature F is a globally defined closed 2-form whose De Rham cohomology class is independent of the connection chosen.

*Proof.* We already saw that F is a globally defined close 2-form. Suppose  $\nabla_1, \nabla_2 = \nabla_1 + a$  are two connections where a is a section of  $End(L) \otimes T^*M$ . Noting that End(L) is trivial, a is a globally defined 1-form. Now  $F_2 = dA_2 = dA_1 + da = F_1 + da$ . Therefore  $[F_2] = [F_1]$ .

Real line bundles are actually quite straightforward to study. They are either orientable (and hence trivial) or non-orientable. In either case,  $L \otimes L$  has transition functions  $g_{\alpha\beta}^2 > 0$ . Thus  $L \otimes L$  is always a trivial real line bundle.

Complex line bundles are much more complicated and interesting. The De Rham cohomology class  $\left[\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}F\right]$  associated to a complex line bundle L is denoted as  $c_1(L)$  and is called the first Chern

class of L. (The presence of  $\sqrt{-1}$  and  $2\pi$  is technical. It is done so that whenever you integrate this cohomology class against a 1-dimensional submanifold, you get an integer as the answer.)

Given connections  $\nabla^v, \nabla^w$  on vector bundles V and W respectively, there exists natural connections on  $V \oplus W$ ,  $V^*$  (for this you only need  $\nabla^v$ ), and  $V \otimes W$ -

- (1)  $V \oplus W : \nabla^{v \oplus w}(s \oplus t) = \nabla^v s \oplus \nabla^w t$ . It is easy to verify that this satisfy all the definition of a connection. Locally,  $A^{v \oplus w} = A^v \oplus A^w$  (a block diagonal matrix). Therefore,  $F^{v \oplus w} = F^v \oplus F^w$ .
- (2)  $V \otimes W : \nabla^{v \oplus w}(s \otimes t) = \nabla^v s \otimes t + s \otimes \nabla^w t$ . Unfortunately, not every section of  $V \otimes W$  is of the form  $s \otimes t$ . It is not obvious that it is even of the form  $\sum c_{\alpha\beta}s_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\beta}$  where  $s_{\alpha}, t_{\beta}$  are global sections.

However, given a p, it is easy to see that there exist global smooth sections  $s_{\alpha}, t_{\beta}$  such that  $\sum c_{\alpha\beta}s_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\beta} = s$  on a neighbourhood U of p. Define  $\nabla^{v \oplus w}(\sum c_{\alpha\beta}s_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\beta}) = \sum c_{\alpha\beta}(\nabla^{v}s_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\beta} + s_{\alpha} \otimes \nabla^{w}t_{\beta})$ . We have to show that it is well-defined (independent of choices of  $s_{\alpha}, t_{\beta}$ ) and is genuinely a connection. This will be given as a homework problem.

Locally,  $A^{v \otimes w} = A^v \otimes I + I \otimes A^w$  where we are using the Kronecker product of the matrices. Moreover,  $F^{v \otimes w} = F^v \otimes I + I \otimes F^w$ .

(3)  $V^*$ : Define  $\nabla s^*$  to satisfy  $d(s^*(t)) = (\nabla s^*)(t) + s^*(\nabla t)$  where t is any section of V and  $s^*$  a section of  $V^*$ . This is indeed a connection (easy to see). Locally, suppose  $e_i$  is a frame for V, then  $e^{i*}$  defined by  $e^{i*}(e_j) = \delta^i_j$  is a frame for  $V^*$ . In this frame,  $(A^*)^j_{-i} = (\nabla e^{i*})(e_j) = d(e^{i*}(e_j)) - e^{i*}(\nabla e_j) = -A^i_{-j}$ . Thus  $A^* = -A^T$ . Therefore  $F^* = -F^T$ .

In the case where V = L is a line bundle, the curvature satisfies  $F^* = -F$ . Therefore, for a complex line bundle  $c_1(L^*) = -c_1(L)$ .

(4) If  $E = S \oplus Q$ , then given a connection  $\nabla$  on E, we can define connections on S and Q. Indeed,  $\nabla^S s = \pi_1 \circ \nabla s$  where  $\pi_1$  is the projection to S. So, for example, since  $V \otimes V = Alt \oplus Sym$ , we see that, given a connection on V, we have a connection on the alternating tensors. (More generally,  $V \otimes V \otimes V \dots = Alt \oplus other things including Sym(V)$ .) Hence, if we are given a connection on TM, we have a connection on  $T^*M$  and hence on  $\Omega^k(M)$  for all k.

As a consequence, given a connection on V, we have a naturally defined connection on  $V \otimes V \otimes V \dots$ If V = L is a line bundle equipped with a connection  $\nabla$  with curvature F, then  $L \otimes L \otimes \dots$  has a connection whose curvature is kF. So for a complex line bundle,  $c_1(L \otimes L \dots) = kc_1(L)$ . In fact,  $c_1(L \otimes L_2) = c_1(L_1) + c_1(L_2)$ .

Now we specialise further to more important connections.

**Definition 2.3.** Suppose h is a metric on V. Then a connection  $\nabla$  on V is said to be metric compatible with h if for any two sections  $s_1, s_2, d(h(s_1, s_2)) = h(\nabla s_1, s_2) + h(s_1, \nabla s_2)$ .

It turns out that this is equivalent to saying that parallel transport preserves dot products. Locally, choosing an orthonormal frame  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ , (i.e. a collection of r smooth local sections such that at every point there are orthonormal) we see that  $d(h(e_i, e_j)) = 0 = h(\nabla e_i, e_j) + h(e_i, \nabla e_j) = h(A^k_{\_i}e_k, e_j) + h(e_i, A^k_{\_j}e_k) = A^j_{\_i} + A^i_{\_j}$ . Therefore A is a skew-symmetric (skew-Hermitian in the complex case) matrix of 1-forms in a local orthonormal trivialisation. In that trivialisation,  $F = dA + A \wedge A$  is a skew-symmetric (or skew-Hermitian in the complex case) matrix of 2-forms.

Note that the trivial connection  $\nabla = d$  on a trivial bundle is compatible with the trivial metric.

**Theorem 2.4.** On a vector bundle V equipped with a metric h (whether real or complex), there exists a metric compatible connection  $\nabla$ .

The proof of this theorem is very similar to the previous one (indeed, replace "trivialisation" with "orthonormal trivialisation" everywhere). Just as before, if we are given one metric compatible

connection  $\nabla_0$ , every other metric compatible connection equals  $\nabla_0 + a$  where  $a \in \Gamma(End(V) \otimes T^*M)$ is a skew-symmetric (or skew-Hermitian in the complex case) endomorphism-valued 1-form.

Note that suppose we are given a connection  $\nabla^m$  on  $T^*M$  and  $\nabla^v$  on V, then we have a connection  $\nabla^{m\otimes v}$  on  $T^*M\otimes V$ . Therefore, we can define the second derivative of a section s of V as  $\nabla^{m\otimes v}\nabla^v s$ . Likewise, we can define higher order derivatives.

Now we define a PDE on a manifold.

**Definition 2.5.** Suppose V and W be smooth manifolds. Let C(M, V), C(M, W) be the set of smooth maps from M to V, W respectively. A  $k^{th}$  order partial differential operator L is a map  $L: C(M, V) \to C(M, W)$  such that locally it is of the form  $Ls(x) = F(x, s, \partial s, \partial^2 s, \ldots, \partial^k s)$  where F is a smooth function. A PDE is an equation of the form Lu = f.

If V and W are vector bundles, then a linear partial differential operator is one that takes sections of V to sections of W, and satisfies  $L(a_1u_1 + a_2u_2) = a_1L(u_1) + a_2L(u_2)$  where  $a_1, a_2$  are constants.

Note that the above notion is well-defined. Indeed, if you change trivialisations and coordinates, you will get a different F but it will remain smooth and depend only on k derivatives of s. We can finally come up with examples of PDE on manifolds :

- (1) Any PDE in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  does the job.
- (2) More non-trivially, the Laplace equation  $\Delta u = f$  on a torus is an example of a second-order linear PDE. A second order non-linear PDE on a torus is  $\Delta u = e^u - f$ . (If f > 0 this PDE turns out to have a unique smooth solution. Note that if f = 1, there is an obvious solution, i.e., u = 0.)
- (3) Lu = du = f where u is a k-form.
- (4)  $\nabla u = f$  where  $u \in \Gamma(V)$  and  $f \in \Gamma(V \otimes T^*M)$ .
- (5)  $\nabla^{T^*M} du = f$  where u is a smooth function and f is a (0, 2)-tensor. This equation is a second order linear PDE.
- (6) A harmonic map  $f: M \to N$  satisfies a second order nonlinear PDE (that we may write later).
- (7) The Ricci flow is a second-order nonlinear PDE for the metric on a manifold.
- (8) The Einstein equations in General Relativity are a second-order nonlinear PDE for a Lorentzian metric.
- (9) The Navier-Stokes equation is a second-order nonlinear PDE.
- (10) The Monge-Ampère equation is a second order nonlinear PDE.