#### Lecture 18 - UM 102 (Spring 2021)

Vamsi Pritham Pingali

IISc

#### Recap

▲御▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶

æ

Proved that

æ

• Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb R$ 

< E > < E >

æ

#### • Proved that y' = ky on $\mathbb{R}$ has a unique solution

э

• Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .

( )

э

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems

∃ >

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases using linear algebra.

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases using linear algebra.
- Recast y'' + Py' + Qy = 0 where

2/8

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases using linear algebra.
- Recast y'' + Py' + Qy = 0 where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants as

2/8

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases using linear algebra.
- Recast y'' + Py' + Qy = 0 where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants as a system of first-order ODE.

2/8

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases using linear algebra.
- Recast y" + Py' + Qy = 0 where P, Q ∈ ℝ are constants as a system of first-order ODE. Solved it in the case

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases using linear algebra.
- Recast y'' + Py' + Qy = 0 where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants as a system of first-order ODE. Solved it in the case where the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$

- Proved that y' = ky on  $\mathbb{R}$  has a unique solution  $y = y_0 e^{kt}$ .
- Studied a method to solve systems y' = Ay in some cases using linear algebra.
- Recast y" + Py' + Qy = 0 where P, Q ∈ ℝ are constants as a system of first-order ODE. Solved it in the case where the roots of D<sup>2</sup> + PD + Q = 0 real and distinct.

If the roots of

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  and

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ ,

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before,

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space.

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words,

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words,  $y(t) = ae^{\alpha_1 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_1 t} + be^{\alpha_2 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_2 t}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  is the solution.

If the roots of D<sup>2</sup> + PD + Q = 0 are complex distinct λ<sub>1</sub> ≠ λ<sub>2</sub> and λ<sub>i</sub> = α<sub>i</sub> + √−1β<sub>i</sub>, then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words, y(t) = ae<sup>α<sub>1</sub>t</sup>e<sup>√−1β<sub>1</sub>t</sup> + be<sup>α<sub>2</sub>t</sup>e<sup>√−1β<sub>2</sub>t</sup> where a, b ∈ C is the solution. Note that since P, Q are real,

If the roots of D<sup>2</sup> + PD + Q = 0 are complex distinct λ<sub>1</sub> ≠ λ<sub>2</sub> and λ<sub>i</sub> = α<sub>i</sub> + √-1β<sub>i</sub>, then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words, y(t) = ae<sup>α<sub>1</sub>t</sup>e<sup>√-1β<sub>1</sub>t</sup> + be<sup>α<sub>2</sub>t</sup>e<sup>√-1β<sub>2</sub>t</sup> where a, b ∈ C is the solution. Note that since P, Q are real, λ<sub>1</sub> = λ<sub>2</sub>, i.e.,

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words,  $y(t) = ae^{\alpha_1 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_1 t} + be^{\alpha_2 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_2 t}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  is the solution. Note that since P, Q are *real*,  $\lambda_1 = \overline{\lambda_2}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and  $\beta_2 = -\beta_1$ .

• If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words,  $y(t) = ae^{\alpha_1 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_1 t} + be^{\alpha_2 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_2 t}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  is the solution. Note that since P, Q are real,  $\lambda_1 = \overline{\lambda_2}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and  $\beta_2 = -\beta_1$ . Thus  $y(t) = e^{\alpha t}(ae^{\sqrt{-1}\beta t} + be^{-\sqrt{-1}\beta t})$ .

- If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words,  $y(t) = ae^{\alpha_1 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_1 t} + be^{\alpha_2 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_2 t}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  is the solution. Note that since P, Q are real,  $\lambda_1 = \overline{\lambda_2}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and  $\beta_2 = -\beta_1$ . Thus  $y(t) = e^{\alpha t} (ae^{\sqrt{-1}\beta t} + be^{-\sqrt{-1}\beta t})$ .
- If we insist on *real* solutions

- If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words,  $y(t) = ae^{\alpha_1 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_1 t} + be^{\alpha_2 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_2 t}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  is the solution. Note that since P, Q are real,  $\lambda_1 = \overline{\lambda_2}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and  $\beta_2 = -\beta_1$ . Thus  $y(t) = e^{\alpha t}(ae^{\sqrt{-1}\beta t} + be^{-\sqrt{-1}\beta t})$ .
- If we insist on *real* solutions then  $\bar{y} = y$ .

- If the roots of  $D^2 + PD + Q = 0$  are complex distinct  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and  $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + \sqrt{-1}\beta_i$ , then as before, the corresponding matrix is diagonalisable and hence the solutions form a two-dimensional complex vector space. In other words,  $y(t) = ae^{\alpha_1 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_1 t} + be^{\alpha_2 t}e^{\sqrt{-1}\beta_2 t}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  is the solution. Note that since P, Q are real,  $\lambda_1 = \overline{\lambda_2}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and  $\beta_2 = -\beta_1$ . Thus  $y(t) = e^{\alpha t} (ae^{\sqrt{-1}\beta t} + be^{-\sqrt{-1}\beta t})$ .
- If we insist on *real* solutions then  $\bar{y} = y$ . Thus (why?)  $y(t) = e^{\alpha t} (A \cos(\beta t) + B \sin(\beta t))$  where  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients (repeated roots)

Second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients (repeated roots)

• If 
$$D^2 + PD + Q = (D - \lambda)^2$$

# Second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients (repeated roots)

• If 
$$D^2 + PD + Q = (D - \lambda)^2$$
 then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real

• If 
$$D^2 + PD + Q = (D - \lambda)^2$$
 then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .

4/8

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.

In this case

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.

• In this case it turns out (HW)

4/8

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an *upper-triangular* form.

4/8

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an *upper-triangular* form. One can still prove (HW)

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an upper-triangular form. One can still prove (HW) that one has a

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an upper-triangular form. One can still prove (HW) that one has a two-dimensional real vector space

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an *upper-triangular* form. One can still prove (HW) that one has a two-dimensional real vector space worth of solutions.

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an upper-triangular form. One can still prove (HW) that one has a two-dimensional real vector space worth of solutions.
- Consider  $y = e^{\lambda t} (A + Bt)$ .

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an *upper-triangular* form. One can still prove (HW) that one has a two-dimensional real vector space worth of solutions.
- Consider  $y = e^{\lambda t} (A + Bt)$ . Clearly this is two-dimensional

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an *upper-triangular* form. One can still prove (HW) that one has a two-dimensional real vector space worth of solutions.
- Consider  $y = e^{\lambda t} (A + Bt)$ . Clearly this is two-dimensional and a solution.

- If  $D^2 + PD + Q = (D \lambda)^2$  then  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and  $P^2 = 4Q$ ,  $\lambda = -\frac{P}{2}$ .
- In this case Taking x = y', x' = -Px Qy, then unfortunately the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -P & -\frac{P^2}{4} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalisable.
- In this case it turns out (HW) one can bring A to an *upper-triangular* form. One can still prove (HW) that one has a two-dimensional real vector space worth of solutions.
- Consider  $y = e^{\lambda t} (A + Bt)$ . Clearly this is two-dimensional and a solution. Thus it is *the* solution.

æ

• Consider 
$$y' + P(x)y = 0$$
.

æ

- Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.
- Theorem:

문▶ ★ 문▶

- Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.
- Theorem: Let  $P: (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous.

- Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.
- Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ .

- Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.
- Theorem: Let  $P: (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ 

- Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.
- Theorem: Let P : (a, b) → ℝ be continuous. Let x<sub>0</sub> ∈ (a, b). There exists a unique differentiable function y : (a, b) → ℝ satisfying the ODE above

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where

- Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.
- Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is

given.

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ 

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ 

• Proof:

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is

given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ .

• Proof: Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t) dt$$
.

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ .

• Proof: Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^x P(t) dt$$
. By the FTC

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ .

• Proof: Let  $g(x) = \int_{a}^{x} P(t) dt$ . By the FTC g is differentiable on (*a*, *b*)

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is

given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ .

• Proof: Let  $g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$ . By the FTC g is differentiable on (a, b) and g'(x) = P(x).

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

• Theorem: Let  $P : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . There exists a unique differentiable function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ODE above and  $y(x_0) = A$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  is

given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ .

• Proof: Let  $g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$ . By the FTC g is differentiable on (a, b) and g'(x) = P(x). Note that

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

 Theorem: Let P : (a, b) → ℝ be continuous. Let x<sub>0</sub> ∈ (a, b). There exists a unique differentiable function y : (a, b) → ℝ satisfying the ODE above and y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A where A ∈ ℝ is

$$= Ae^{-\int_{x_0} P(t)dt}$$

given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-Jx_0}$ 

• Proof: Let  $g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$ . By the FTC g is differentiable on (a, b) and g'(x) = P(x). Note that  $(ye^{g(x)})'e^{-g(x)} = y' + yg'(x) = y' + P(x)y = 0$ .

5/8

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

 Theorem: Let P : (a, b) → ℝ be continuous. Let x<sub>0</sub> ∈ (a, b). There exists a unique differentiable function y : (a, b) → ℝ satisfying the ODE above and y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A where A ∈ ℝ is

$$= Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{\infty} P(t)dt}.$$

given. Moreover,  $y(x) = Ae^{-\int x}$ 

• Proof: Let  $g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$ . By the FTC g is differentiable on (a, b) and g'(x) = P(x). Note that  $(ye^{g(x)})'e^{-g(x)} = y' + yg'(x) = y' + P(x)y = 0$ . Hence  $ye^{g(x)}$ 

is a constant.

• Consider y' + P(x)y = 0.

given. Moreover, y(x)

 Theorem: Let P : (a, b) → ℝ be continuous. Let x<sub>0</sub> ∈ (a, b). There exists a unique differentiable function y : (a, b) → ℝ satisfying the ODE above and y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A where A ∈ ℝ is

$$=Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x}P(t)dt}.$$

• Proof: Let  $g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$ . By the FTC g is differentiable on (a, b) and g'(x) = P(x). Note that  $(ye^{g(x)})'e^{-g(x)} = y' + yg'(x) = y' + P(x)y = 0$ . Hence  $ye^{g(x)}$ is a constant. Thus  $y = Ae^{-\int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt}$ .

æ

• Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where

▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▶

• Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous.

(E)

• Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to

3 D ( 3 D)

• Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ 

• Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .

6/8

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions  $y_1, y_2$ ,

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where P, Q: (a, b) → ℝ are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function y : (a, b) → ℝ such that y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A.
- If there are two solutions  $y_1, y_2$ , then  $y_1 y_2$  satisfies

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions  $y_1, y_2$ , then  $y_1 y_2$  satisfies the homogeneous equation

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>, then y<sub>1</sub> y<sub>2</sub> satisfies the homogeneous equation with y<sub>1</sub>(x<sub>0</sub>) y<sub>2</sub>(x<sub>0</sub>) = 0.

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions  $y_1, y_2$ , then  $y_1 y_2$  satisfies the homogeneous equation with  $y_1(x_0) y_2(x_0) = 0$ . Hence, there is a

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover,

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is

6/8

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where  $P, Q : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function  $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y(x_0) = A$ .
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation plus a solution of the homogeneous one.

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where P, Q : (a, b) → ℝ are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function y : (a, b) → ℝ such that y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A.
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation plus a solution of the homogeneous one.

• Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^x P(t) dt$$
 and

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where P, Q : (a, b) → ℝ are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function y : (a, b) → ℝ such that y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A.
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation plus a solution of the homogeneous one.

• Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t) dt$$
 and  $h(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} Q(t) e^{g(t)} dt$ .

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where P, Q : (a, b) → ℝ are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function y : (a, b) → ℝ such that y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A.
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation plus a solution of the homogeneous one.

• Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$$
 and  $h(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} Q(t)e^{g(t)}dt$ . Then  $(ye^{g(x)})'e^{-g(x)} = Q(x)$ . Hence

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where P, Q : (a, b) → ℝ are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function y : (a, b) → ℝ such that y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A.
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation plus a solution of the homogeneous one.

• Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$$
 and  $h(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} Q(t)e^{g(t)}dt$ . Then  $(ye^{g(x)})'e^{-g(x)} = Q(x)$ . Hence  $(ye^{g(x)})' = h'(x)$ .

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where P, Q : (a, b) → ℝ are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function y : (a, b) → ℝ such that y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A.
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation plus a solution of the homogeneous one.

• Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$$
 and  $h(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} Q(t)e^{g(t)}dt$ . Then  $(ye^{g(x)})'e^{-g(x)} = Q(x)$ . Hence  $(ye^{g(x)})' = h'(x)$ . Thus  $ye^{g(x)} - h(x)$  is a constant.

- Consider y' + P(x)y = Q(x) where P, Q : (a, b) → ℝ are continuous. The aim is to find a diff. function y : (a, b) → ℝ such that y(x<sub>0</sub>) = A.
- If there are two solutions y₁, y₂, then y₁ − y₂ satisfies the homogeneous equation with y₁(x₀) − y₂(x₀) = 0. Hence, there is a unique solution.
- Moreover, even without the initial conditon any solution of the inhomogeneous equation is a *particular* solution of the inhomogeneous equation plus a solution of the homogeneous one.

• Let 
$$g(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} P(t)dt$$
 and  $h(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} Q(t)e^{g(t)}dt$ . Then  
 $(ye^{g(x)})'e^{-g(x)} = Q(x)$ . Hence  $(ye^{g(x)})' = h'(x)$ . Thus  
 $ye^{g(x)} - h(x)$  is a constant. Therefore  
 $y = h(x)e^{-g(x)} + Ae^{-g(x)}$ .

문▶ 문

An ODE of the form

• An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called

 An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called separable and is

 An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called separable and is most commonly solved by

An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0.

An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously,

An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance,

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.
- If  $0 < N_0 < M$ ,

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.
- If  $0 < N_0 < M$ ,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus 
$$N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1 + Ae^{Mkt}}$$

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  
 $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus 
$$N = \frac{MAe^{MRt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$$
 potentially only for short times.

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$ 

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ .

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous.

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

• Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create

7/8

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create realistic population models

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create realistic population models of predator-prey and

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by  $\int \frac{dy}{g(y)} = \int f(x) dx$  provided  $g(y) \neq 0$ . More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N)for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1 + Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create realistic population models of predator-prey and other systems using

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create realistic population models of predator-prey and other systems using the logistic equation.

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create realistic population models of predator-prey and other systems using the logistic equation. Surprisingly, the logistic function

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create realistic population models of predator-prey and other systems using the logistic equation. Surprisingly, the logistic function makes an appearance in

- An ODE of the form y'(x) = g(y)f(x) is called *separable* and is most commonly solved by ∫ dy/g(y) = ∫ f(x)dx provided g(y) ≠ 0. More rigorously, one uses FTC.
- For instance, consider the logistic equation N' = kN(M N) for modelling constrained population growth.

• If 
$$0 < N_0 < M$$
,  $\frac{dN}{N(M-N)} = kt + C$  and hence  $\ln(\frac{N}{M-N}) = M(kt + C)$  for short times.

- Thus  $N = \frac{MAe^{Mkt}}{1+Ae^{Mkt}}$  potentially only for short times. However, the formula shows that the solution is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  if A > 0 and as  $t \to \infty$   $N \to M$ . One can prove a general uniqueness result for solutions of y' = g(y)f(x) where f is continuous, and g is differentiable and its derivative is continuous. Thus this solution is *the* solution.
- One can create realistic population models of predator-prey and other systems using the logistic equation. Surprisingly, the logistic function makes an appearance in machine learning as well.

æ

• In general,

문 문 문

• In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE

-

• In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is say

• In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is say infinitely differentiable,

• In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g,

In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0

In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e.,  $y_0$  such that  $g(y_0) = 0$  are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed,

In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.

• Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for

In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.

• Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ ,

In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.

• Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from  $y_0 + \delta$  for a

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from  $y_0 + \delta$  for a small  $\delta$ ? (

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from  $y_0 + \delta$  for a small  $\delta$ ? (These sorts of questions

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory.

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively,

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively,  $g(y_0 + \Delta y) \approx 0 + \Delta y g'(y_0)$ .

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively,  $g(y_0+\Delta y)pprox 0+\Delta yg'(y_0).$  If  $g'(y_0)
  eq 0$ ,

8/8

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively,  $g(y_0 + \Delta y) \approx 0 + \Delta y g'(y_0)$ . If  $g'(y_0) \neq 0$ , then  $g(y) \approx \Delta y g'(y_0)$  and hence  $y \approx y_0 + \delta e^{g'(y_0)t}$

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively,  $g(y_0 + \Delta y) \approx 0 + \Delta y g'(y_0)$ . If  $g'(y_0) \neq 0$ , then  $g(y) \approx \Delta y g'(y_0)$  and hence  $y \approx y_0 + \delta e^{g'(y_0)t}$  at least for short t.

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively,  $g(y_0 + \Delta y) \approx 0 + \Delta y g'(y_0)$ . If  $g'(y_0) \neq 0$ , then  $g(y) \approx \Delta y g'(y_0)$  and hence  $y \approx y_0 + \delta e^{g'(y_0)t}$  at least for short t. Thus if  $g'(y_0) < 0$ ,

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> for such a y<sub>0</sub>, then y(t) = y<sub>0</sub> for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium</li>

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise.

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.
- This picture

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.
- This picture can be generalised to  $\vec{y}' = \vec{g}(\vec{y})$  too

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.
- This picture can be generalised to  $\vec{y}' = \vec{g}(\vec{y})$  too but it is more complicated

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.
- This picture can be generalised to  $\vec{y}' = \vec{g}(\vec{y})$  too but it is more complicated and involves computing eigenvalues of

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.
- This picture can be generalised to y' = g(y) too but it is more complicated and involves computing eigenvalues of a certain "derivative matrix".

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.
- This picture can be generalised to  $\vec{y}' = \vec{g}(\vec{y})$  too but it is more complicated and involves computing eigenvalues of a certain "derivative matrix". The rigorous statement of such

- In general, if y' = g(y) is an ODE where g : ℝ → ℝ is say infinitely differentiable, the roots of g, i.e., y<sub>0</sub> such that g(y<sub>0</sub>) = 0 are called *equilibrium points*.
- Indeed, if  $y(0) = y_0$  for such a  $y_0$ , then  $y(t) = y_0$  for all of time to come.
- What happens if we start from y<sub>0</sub> + δ for a small δ? (These sorts of questions are important in Chaos theory. See Jurassic Park for details.)
- Naively, g(y<sub>0</sub> + Δy) ≈ 0 + Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>). If g'(y<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0, then g(y) ≈ Δyg'(y<sub>0</sub>) and hence y ≈ y<sub>0</sub> + δe<sup>g'(y<sub>0</sub>)t</sup> at least for short t. Thus if g'(y<sub>0</sub>) < 0, y "returns" back to equilibrium and flies away to infinity otherwise. The former is called stable equilbrium and the latter unstable equilibrium.
- This picture can be generalised to \$\vec{y}' = \vec{g}(\vec{y})\$ too but it is more complicated and involves computing eigenvalues of a certain "derivative matrix". The rigorous statement of such a theorem is due to Hartman and Grobman.