#### Lecture 19 - UM 102 (Spring 2021)

Vamsi Pritham Pingali

IISc

#### Recap

Solved second-order

#### • Solved second-order linear homogeneous

• Solved second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients.

э

- Solved second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients.
- Solved first-order

э

- Solved second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients.
- Solved first-order linear ODE.

- Solved second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients.
- Solved first-order linear ODE.
- Made some remarks about

- Solved second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients.
- Solved first-order linear ODE.
- Made some remarks about nonlinear separable ODE.

- Solved second-order linear homogeneous ODE with constant-coefficients.
- Solved first-order linear ODE.
- Made some remarks about nonlinear separable ODE.
- Defined equilibria.

3/10

• If 
$$y' = g(y)$$
,

• If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines

• If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of

If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at

If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>.

If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (

If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)

If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)

• If 
$$y(0) = y_0$$
,

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If  $y(0) = y_0$ , one can "flow" along

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If  $y(0) = y_0$ , one can "flow" along the tiny line at  $(0, y_0)$

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ,

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y')

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on.

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of the solution is gleaned from

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of the solution is gleaned from these phase diagrams and trajectories.

3/10

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of the solution is gleaned from these phase diagrams and trajectories.
- For instance,

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of the solution is gleaned from these phase diagrams and trajectories.
- For instance, one can analyse  $x' = ax(1-x) h(1 + \sin(2\pi t))$  that

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of the solution is gleaned from these phase diagrams and trajectories.
- For instance, one can analyse  $x' = ax(1-x) h(1 + \sin(2\pi t))$  that represents the

population of fish

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of the solution is gleaned from these phase diagrams and trajectories.
- For instance, one can analyse  $x' = ax(1-x) h(1 + \sin(2\pi t))$  that represents the

population of fish with periodic harvesting.

- If y' = g(y), one can draw tiny lines indicating the slope of a potential solution at every point (x, y) in ℝ<sup>2</sup>. These are called phase diagrams. (Note that one can do the same even for y' = F(x, y).)
- If y(0) = y<sub>0</sub>, one can "flow" along the tiny line at (0, y<sub>0</sub>) for a small time δ, and then look at the slope at (δ, y') and so on. These curves are called trajectories of the "vector field" associated to the ODE.
- A qualitative picture of the solution is gleaned from these phase diagrams and trajectories.
- For instance, one can analyse  $x' = ax(1-x) - h(1 + sin(2\pi t))$  that represents the

population of fish with periodic harvesting.

# Euler's method (Not for exams)
• Suppose we want to solve

• Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with  $y(0) = y_0$ 

3 × 4 3 ×

• Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with  $y(0) = y_0$  numerically. (

3 D ( 3 D)

Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at

4/10

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size.

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,
  - $y(x+h) = y(x) + \int_x^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t)) dt.$

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,

 $y(x+h) = y(x) + \int_{x}^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t)) dt$ . This formulation of

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,  $y(x + h) = y(x) + \int_x^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t))dt$ . This formulation of an ODE

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,  $y(x + h) = y(x) + \int_x^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t))dt$ . This formulation of an ODE as an integration

an ODE as an integral equation

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of

an ODE as an integral equation is useful for

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational and theoretical purposes

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,  $y(x + h) = y(x) + \int_x^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t))dt$ . This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance).

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,  $y(x + h) = y(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{x+h} F(y(t), y(t))dt$ . This formulation of

 $y(x + h) = y(x) + \int_{x}^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t))dt$ . This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,  $y(x + h) = y(x) + \int_x^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t))dt$ . This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational
  - and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e.,

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and
  - uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e., the errors keep building up.

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational
  - and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e., the errors keep building up. There are better methods

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational
  - and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e., the errors keep building up. There are better methods like the Runge-Kutta methods (

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational
  - and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e., the errors keep building up. There are better methods like the Runge-Kutta methods (that replace

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational
  - and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e., the errors keep building up. There are better methods like the Runge-Kutta methods (that replace the slope with a

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method: y<sub>n+1</sub> = y<sub>n</sub> + F(x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>)h where h is the step-size. More rigorously, y(x + h) = y(x) + ∫<sub>x</sub><sup>x+h</sup> F(x(t), y(t))dt. This formulation of an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational
  - and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e., the errors keep building up. There are better methods like the Runge-Kutta methods (that replace the slope with a weighted sum of slopes)

- Suppose we want to solve y' = g(y)f(x) with y(0) = y<sub>0</sub> numerically. (or more generally, y' = F(x, y)).
- Using the intuition from phase diagrams, we arrive at Euler's iterative method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + F(x_n, y_n)h$  where h is the step-size. More rigorously,  $y(x + h) = y(x) + \int_x^{x+h} F(x(t), y(t))dt$ . This formulation of
  - an ODE as an integral equation is useful for computational and theoretical purposes (i.e. to prove existence and uniqueness for instance). Watch Hidden Figures for instance!
- This method is unfortunately numerically unstable often, i.e., the errors keep building up. There are better methods like the Runge-Kutta methods (that replace the slope with a weighted sum of slopes) that yield much better error estimates.

• Now we attempt to solve

• Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where

• Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and

• Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier,

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if y<sub>1</sub> is a *particular* solution and y<sub>2</sub> is any other solution,

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions  $u_1(x)$ ,  $u_2(x)$ .

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions  $u_1(x)$ ,  $u_2(x)$ .
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1 u_1(x) + c_2 u_2(x)$ .

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1u_1(x) + c_2u_2(x)$ . Hence, we "just"

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1u_1(x) + c_2u_2(x)$ . Hence, we "just" need to find *one*

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1u_1(x) + c_2u_2(x)$ . Hence, we "just" need to find *one*solution of

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1u_1(x) + c_2u_2(x)$ . Hence, we "just" need to find *one*solution of the inhomogeneous equation.

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1u_1(x) + c_2u_2(x)$ . Hence, we "just" need to find *one*solution of the inhomogeneous equation. There is a way

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1u_1(x) + c_2u_2(x)$ . Hence, we "just" need to find *one*solution of the inhomogeneous equation. There is a way to do this using

- Now we attempt to solve y'' + Py' + Qy = R(x) where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}$  and R(x) is a continuous function.
- As earlier, if  $y_1$  is a *particular* solution and  $y_2$  is any other solution, then  $y_1 y_2$  solves the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- We already proved that the solutions of the homogeneous equation form a real two-dimensional vector space spanned by two functions u<sub>1</sub>(x), u<sub>2</sub>(x).
- Thus the general solution is  $y_1 + c_1u_1(x) + c_2u_2(x)$ . Hence, we "just" need to find *one*solution of the inhomogeneous equation. There is a way to do this using the homogeneous equation itself.

æ

• Clearly  $y = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2$  where  $a_1, a_2$  are constants

э

• Clearly  $y = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2$  where  $a_1, a_2$  are constants does *not* solve the inhomogeneous equation.

• Clearly  $y = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2$  where  $a_1, a_2$  are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .

- Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)
- Let's try  $y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$ .  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ .

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

This is just

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x), a_2(x)$ .

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

This is just one equation with two knowns a<sub>1</sub>(x), a<sub>2</sub>(x). The trick is to

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x), a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x), a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

- This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .
- So we have

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• Since  $u_1, u_2$  are

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

- Since  $u_1, u_2$  are linearly independent

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

- Since  $u_1, u_2$  are linearly independent det $(A) \neq 0$  (?!)
Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A\begin{bmatrix} a'_1\\ a'_2\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ R\end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2\\ u'_1 & u'_2\end{bmatrix}$ .

Since u<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>2</sub> are linearly independent det(A) ≠ 0 (?!) This determinant is denoted as

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 \\ a'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ R \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u'_1 & u'_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Since u<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>2</sub> are linearly independent det(A) ≠ 0 (?!) This determinant is denoted as W(x) = u<sub>1</sub>u'<sub>2</sub> - u<sub>2</sub>u'<sub>1</sub> and is called

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 \\ a'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ R \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u'_1 & u'_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

• Since  $u_1, u_2$  are linearly independent det $(A) \neq 0$  (?!) This determinant is denoted as  $W(x) = u_1u'_2 - u_2u'_1$  and is called the Wronskian of  $u_1, u_2$  (

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 \\ a'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ R \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u'_1 & u'_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Since u<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>2</sub> are linearly independent det(A) ≠ 0 (?!) This determinant is denoted as W(x) = u<sub>1</sub>u'<sub>2</sub> - u<sub>2</sub>u'<sub>1</sub> and is called the Wronskian of u<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>2</sub> (after a crazy Polish chap).

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A\begin{bmatrix} a_1'\\ a_2'\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ R\end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2\\ u_1' & u_2'\end{bmatrix}$ .

• Since  $u_1, u_2$  are linearly independent det $(A) \neq 0$  (?!) This determinant is denoted as  $W(x) = u_1u'_2 - u_2u'_1$  and is called the Wronskian of  $u_1, u_2$  (after a crazy Polish chap).

• Thus 
$$a'_1 = rac{-u_2 R(x)}{W(x)}$$
 and

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A\begin{bmatrix} a_1'\\ a_2'\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ R\end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2\\ u_1' & u_2'\end{bmatrix}$ .

• Since  $u_1, u_2$  are linearly independent det $(A) \neq 0$  (?!) This determinant is denoted as  $W(x) = u_1u'_2 - u_2u'_1$  and is called the Wronskian of  $u_1, u_2$  (after a crazy Polish chap).

• Thus 
$$a'_1 = \frac{-u_2 R(x)}{W(x)}$$
 and  $a'_2 = \frac{u_1 R(x)}{W(x)}$ .

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 \\ a'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ R \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u'_1 & u'_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

• Since  $u_1, u_2$  are linearly independent det $(A) \neq 0$  (?!) This determinant is denoted as  $W(x) = u_1u'_2 - u_2u'_1$  and is called the Wronskian of  $u_1, u_2$  (after a crazy Polish chap).

• Thus 
$$a'_1 = \frac{-u_2 R(x)}{W(x)}$$
 and  $a'_2 = \frac{u_1 R(x)}{W(x)}$ . Integrating these,

Clearly y = a<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> where a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub> are constants does not solve the inhomogeneous equation. What if we let them vary with x? (Method of variation of parameters.)

• Let's try 
$$y = a_1(x)u_1(x) + a_2(x)u_2(x)$$
.  $y' = \sum_i a_i u'_i + a'_i u_i$ .  
 $y'' = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + a_i u''_i$ . Thus  
 $y'' + Py' + Qy = \sum_i (a'_i u_i)' + a'_i u'_i + Pa'_i u_i = R$ .

• This is just one equation with two knowns  $a_1(x), a_2(x)$ . The trick is to set  $a'_1u_1 + a'_2u_2 = 0$  and  $a'_1u'_1 + a'_2u'_2 = R$ .

• So we have 
$$A \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 \\ a'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ R \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u'_1 & u'_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- Since  $u_1, u_2$  are linearly independent det $(A) \neq 0$  (?!) This determinant is denoted as  $W(x) = u_1u'_2 u_2u'_1$  and is called the Wronskian of  $u_1, u_2$  (after a crazy Polish chap).
- Thus  $a'_1 = \frac{-u_2 R(x)}{W(x)}$  and  $a'_2 = \frac{u_1 R(x)}{W(x)}$ . Integrating these, we get the desired particular solution.

포사 포

• Solve 
$$y'' + y = \tan(x)$$
 on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

포사 포

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve

æ

토 🕨 🗶 토 🕨

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y'' + y = 0. In this case

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

э

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y'' + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:  $y_1 = a_1(x)\cos(x) + a_2(x)\sin(x).$

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is W(x) = cos<sup>2</sup>(x) + sin<sup>2</sup>(x) = 1.

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is W(x) = cos<sup>2</sup>(x) + sin<sup>2</sup>(x) = 1.
- Hence  $a'_1 = -\sin(x)\tan(x)$  and  $a'_2 = \cos(x)\tan(x) = \sin(x)$ .

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is W(x) = cos<sup>2</sup>(x) + sin<sup>2</sup>(x) = 1.
- Hence  $a'_1 = -\sin(x)\tan(x)$  and  $a'_2 = \cos(x)\tan(x) = \sin(x)$ . Thus  $a_2 = -\cos(x)$  and

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is W(x) = cos<sup>2</sup>(x) + sin<sup>2</sup>(x) = 1.
- Hence  $a'_1 = -\sin(x)\tan(x)$  and  $a'_2 = \cos(x)\tan(x) = \sin(x)$ . Thus  $a_2 = -\cos(x)$  and  $a_1 = \int (-\sec(x) + \cos(x)) = \sin(x) - \ln|\sec(x) + \tan(x)|$ .

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is W(x) = cos<sup>2</sup>(x) + sin<sup>2</sup>(x) = 1.
- Hence  $a'_1 = -\sin(x)\tan(x)$  and  $a'_2 = \cos(x)\tan(x) = \sin(x)$ . Thus  $a_2 = -\cos(x)$  and  $a_1 = \int (-\sec(x) + \cos(x)) = \sin(x) - \ln|\sec(x) + \tan(x)|$ .
- Therefore

э

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is W(x) = cos<sup>2</sup>(x) + sin<sup>2</sup>(x) = 1.
- Hence  $a'_1 = -\sin(x)\tan(x)$  and  $a'_2 = \cos(x)\tan(x) = \sin(x)$ . Thus  $a_2 = -\cos(x)$  and  $a_1 = \int (-\sec(x) + \cos(x)) = \sin(x) - \ln|\sec(x) + \tan(x)|$ .
- Therefore the general solution is

- Solve  $y'' + y = \tan(x)$  on  $(\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .
- First we solve y" + y = 0. In this case our methods easily produce y = c<sub>1</sub> cos(x) + c<sub>2</sub> sin(x).
- Now we attempt variation of parameters:
   y<sub>1</sub> = a<sub>1</sub>(x) cos(x) + a<sub>2</sub>(x) sin(x). The Wronskian of cos(x), sin(x) is W(x) = cos<sup>2</sup>(x) + sin<sup>2</sup>(x) = 1.
- Hence  $a'_1 = -\sin(x)\tan(x)$  and  $a'_2 = \cos(x)\tan(x) = \sin(x)$ . Thus  $a_2 = -\cos(x)$  and  $a_1 = \int (-\sec(x) + \cos(x)) = \sin(x) - \ln|\sec(x) + \tan(x)|$ .
- Therefore the general solution is  $y = c_1 \cos(x) + c_2 \sin(x) - \cos(x) \ln |\sec(x) + \tan(x)|.$

• • = • • = •

• The above procedure

• The above procedure is painful to implement

• The above procedure is painful to implement in general.

• The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose *R* is

• The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose *R* is a polynomial of

• The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose *R* is a polynomial of degree *n* 

• The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance,
- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ .

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ .

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0.

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is a particular solution.

8/10

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is a particular solution. The general solution is

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is a particular solution. The general solution is  $y = x^3 - 6x + c_1 \cos(x) + c_2 \sin(x)$ .

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is a particular solution. The general solution is  $y = x^3 - 6x + c_1 \cos(x) + c_2 \sin(x)$ .
- On the other hand,

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is a particular solution. The general solution is  $y = x^3 - 6x + c_1 \cos(x) + c_2 \sin(x)$ .
- On the other hand, variation of parameters leads

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is a particular solution. The general solution is  $y = x^3 - 6x + c_1 \cos(x) + c_2 \sin(x)$ .
- On the other hand, variation of parameters leads to annoying integrals like

- The above procedure is painful to implement in general. Suppose R is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q \neq 0$ .
- We can try  $y_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ .
- For instance, solve  $y'' + y = x^3$ . Let's try  $y_1 = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$ . Then A = 1, B = 0, C = -6, D = 0. Thus  $y_1 = x^3 - 6x$  is a particular solution. The general solution is  $y = x^3 - 6x + c_1 \cos(x) + c_2 \sin(x)$ .
- On the other hand, variation of parameters leads to annoying integrals like ∫ x<sup>3</sup> cos(x).

æ

• Suppose 
$$R = p(x)e^{mx}$$
 where

æ

• Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and

듣게 세르게

• Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial

문에 세문에

• Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.

3 D ( 3 D)

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try

• Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.

• We first try 
$$y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$$
.

글 🖌 🔺 글 🕨

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for *u* is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ .

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u.

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial.

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero,

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial.

9/10

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero,

9/10

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2polynomial.

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree n polynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2 polynomial.
- Find a particular solution

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree n polynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2 polynomial.
- Find a particular solution to  $y'' + y = xe^{3x}$ .
- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2polynomial.
- Find a particular solution to  $y'' + y = xe^{3x}$ . In this case

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree n polynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2 polynomial.
- Find a particular solution to  $y'' + y = xe^{3x}$ . In this case m = 3 and hence

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2polynomial.
- Find a particular solution to  $y'' + y = xe^{3x}$ . In this case m = 3 and hence 2m + P = 6 and  $m^2 + Pm + Q = 10$ .

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2polynomial.
- Find a particular solution to  $y'' + y = xe^{3x}$ . In this case m = 3 and hence 2m + P = 6 and  $m^2 + Pm + Q = 10$ . So let's try u = Ax + B.

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2polynomial.
- Find a particular solution to  $y'' + y = xe^{3x}$ . In this case m = 3 and hence 2m + P = 6 and  $m^2 + Pm + Q = 10$ . So let's try u = Ax + B. It turns out that

- Suppose  $R = p(x)e^{mx}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and p(x) is a polynomial of degree n.
- We first try  $y(x) = u(x)e^{mx}$ . Then the ODE for u is  $u'' + (2m + P)u' + (m^2 + Pm + Q)u = p(x)$ . This equation is akin to the first case and hence we can try a polynomial for u. Clearly if  $m^2 + Pm + Q \neq 0$  we ought to try a degree npolynomial. If it is 0 and 2m + P is not zero, then a degree n + 1 polynomial. If both are zero, then a degree n + 2polynomial.
- Find a particular solution to  $y'' + y = xe^{3x}$ . In this case m = 3 and hence 2m + P = 6 and  $m^2 + Pm + Q = 10$ . So let's try u = Ax + B. It turns out that  $y_1 = \frac{e^{3x}(5x-3)}{50}$ .

æ

• Suppose a particle

돈 돈 돈

• Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under

• Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential *V*(*x*).

Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0.

Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen

Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?

Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>.

Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V',

Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ .

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ .

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance?

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.

• Thus 
$$x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$$
.

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if we apply another

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if we apply another external time-dependent force F(t) on it?

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if we apply another external time-dependent force F(t) on it? Then  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = F(t)$ .

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if we apply another external time-dependent force F(t) on it? Then  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = F(t)$ .
- This equation is precisely

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if we apply another external time-dependent force F(t) on it? Then  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = F(t)$ .
- This equation is precisely what we have been dealing with.

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it?  $V(x) \approx V(0) + \frac{k^2}{2}x^2$ . Since F = -V',  $F = -k^2x$ .
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if we apply another external time-dependent force F(t) on it? Then  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = F(t)$ .
- This equation is precisely what we have been dealing with. The key lies in finding

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus x" + 2cx' + k<sup>2</sup>x = 0. Further, what if we apply another external time-dependent force F(t) on it? Then x" + 2cx' + k<sup>2</sup>x = F(t).
- This equation is precisely what we have been dealing with. The key lies in finding solutions to

- Suppose a particle of mass 1 is under the influence of a potential V(x). Suppose it is at stable equilibrium at x = 0. What will happen if we perturb it? V(x) ≈ V(0) + k<sup>2</sup>/2 x<sup>2</sup>. Since F = -V', F = -k<sup>2</sup>x.
- Thus  $x'' = -k^2 x$ . This equation can be solved to yield  $x = A\cos(kt) + B\sin(kt)$ . This is a Harmonic Oscillator.
- What if the particle is subject to air resistance? Stokes' law says that the viscous drag is F = -2cx'.
- Thus  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = 0$ . Further, what if we apply another external time-dependent force F(t) on it? Then  $x'' + 2cx' + k^2x = F(t)$ .
- This equation is precisely what we have been dealing with. The key lies in finding solutions to the homogeneous problem.