Lecture 15 - UM 102 (Spring 2021)

Vamsi Pritham Pingali

IISc

Recap

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with the determinant

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with the determinant to prove that two matrices

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with the determinant to prove that two matrices are *not* similar. (

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with the determinant to prove that two matrices are *not* similar. (Also proved that similar matrices

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with the determinant to prove that two matrices are *not* similar. (Also proved that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues.)

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with the determinant to prove that two matrices are *not* similar. (Also proved that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues.)
- Did examples of

Recap

- Every complex $n \times n$ matrix has n eigenvalues when counted with multiplicity.
- Proved that $n \times n$ complex matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is diagonalisable.
- Defined trace as a tool along with the determinant to prove that two matrices are *not* similar. (Also proved that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues.)
- Did examples of eigenvalues and eigenspaces.

Three questions

Three questions

- Three questions:

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking*

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that they are diagonalisable?

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that they are diagonalisable?
- Can we diagonalise

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that they are diagonalisable?
- Can we diagonalise by *rotations*?

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that they are diagonalisable?
- Can we diagonalise by *rotations*?
- The answer

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that they are diagonalisable?
- Can we diagonalise by *rotations*?
- The answer to all three questions

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that they are diagonalisable?
- Can we diagonalise by *rotations*?
- The answer to all three questions is "Yes" in

Three questions

- Three questions:
- When are the eigenvalues of a complex matrix real?
- Just by *looking* at certain kinds of matrices can we deduce that they are diagonalisable?
- Can we diagonalise by *rotations*?
- The answer to all three questions is "Yes" in an important special case.

Hermitian linear maps

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ,

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ (

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry,

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv,v\rangle}{\langle v,v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v,Tv\rangle}{\langle v,v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = -\langle Tv, v \rangle$.
- Def:

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = -\langle Tv, v \rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = -\langle Tv, v \rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = -\langle Tv, v \rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, Tw \rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = -\langle v, Tw \rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = -\langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = -\langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space T is called

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = -\langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space T is called symmetric or skew-symmetric instead.

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = -\langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space T is called symmetric or skew-symmetric instead.
- Clearly all eigenvalues of

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = -\langle Tv, v \rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, Tw \rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = -\langle v, Tw \rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space T is called symmetric or skew-symmetric instead.
- Clearly all eigenvalues of Hermitian linear maps are

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = -\langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space T is called symmetric or skew-symmetric instead.
- Clearly all eigenvalues of Hermitian linear maps are real, whereas

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = \langle Tv, v\rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv\rangle = -\langle Tv, v\rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = \langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = -\langle v, Tw\rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space T is called symmetric or skew-symmetric instead.
- Clearly all eigenvalues of Hermitian linear maps are real, whereas they are purely imaginary

Hermitian linear maps

- Let V be a complex inner product space. Let $T : V \to V$ be linear.
- If v is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ, then $\lambda = \frac{\langle Tv, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ (by an easy calculation).
- By Hermitian symmetry, $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\langle v, Tv \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Thus λ is real if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle$ for that eigenvector. Likewise, it is purely imaginary if and only if $\langle v, Tv \rangle = -\langle Tv, v \rangle$.
- Def: $T : V \to V$ is called *Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, Tw \rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. It is called *skew-Hermitian* if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = -\langle v, Tw \rangle$ for every $v, w \in V$. If V is a real vector space T is called symmetric or skew-symmetric instead.
- Clearly all eigenvalues of Hermitian linear maps are real, whereas they are purely imaginary for skew-Hermitian ones.

Hermitian matrices

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product.

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw \rangle = v^T \bar{A} \bar{w}$ for all v, w.

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw \rangle = v^T \bar{A} \bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw \rangle = v^T \bar{A} \bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^\dagger := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw \rangle = v^T \bar{A} \bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^\dagger := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^\dagger = A$ and

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw \rangle = v^T \bar{A} \bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^\dagger := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^\dagger = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T \bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^{\dagger} := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^{\dagger} = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$.

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T \bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^{\dagger} := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^{\dagger} = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w \rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw \rangle = v^T \bar{A} \bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^\dagger := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^\dagger = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^\dagger = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be linear.

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T \bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^{\dagger} := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^{\dagger} = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be linear. Choose an orthonormal basis.

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T \bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^{\dagger} := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^{\dagger} = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be linear. Choose an orthonormal basis. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = v^T \bar{w}$.

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T \bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^{\dagger} := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^{\dagger} = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be linear. Choose an orthonormal basis. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = v^T \bar{w}$. Thus the matrix of T

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T \bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^\dagger := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^\dagger = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^\dagger = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be linear. Choose an orthonormal basis. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = v^T \bar{w}$. Thus the matrix of T is Hermitian if and only if T is

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T\bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T\bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^{\dagger} := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^{\dagger} = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be linear. Choose an orthonormal basis. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = v^T\bar{w}$. Thus the matrix of T is Hermitian if and only if T is a Hermitian linear map

Hermitian matrices

- If A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix consider $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $T(v) = Av$. Assume that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed with the usual dot product. T is Hermitian if and only if $\langle Tv, w\rangle = (Av)^T \bar{w} = v^T A^T \bar{w}$ equals $\langle v, Tw\rangle = v^T \bar{A}\bar{w}$ for all v, w. $A^T = \bar{A}$, i.e., $\overline{A^T} = A$ Define the adjoint $A^{\dagger} := \overline{A^T}$. So a Hermitian *matrix* satisfies $A^{\dagger} = A$ and a skew-Hermitian one satisfies $A^{\dagger} = -A$.
- Let V be a f.d. complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be linear. Choose an orthonormal basis. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = v^T \bar{w}$. Thus the matrix of T is Hermitian if and only if T is a Hermitian linear map and likewise for skew-Hermitian.

Examples

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$,

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be $T(f) = xf$.

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.
- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$,

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^\infty([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.
- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^\infty([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f\bar{g}dt$,

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.
- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f\bar{g}dt$, and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.
- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f\bar{g}dt$, and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = \sqrt{-1}\hbar f'$.

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.
- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f\bar{g}dt$, and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = \sqrt{-1}\hbar f'$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 \sqrt{-1}\hbar f'\bar{g}dt = (\sqrt{-1}\hbar f\bar{g})(1) - (\sqrt{-1}\hbar f\bar{g})(0) + \int_0^1 f\overline{\sqrt{-1}\hbar g'}dt$.

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.
- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f\bar{g}dt$, and $T : V \to V$ be $T(f) = \sqrt{-1}\hbar f'$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 \sqrt{-1}\hbar f'\bar{g}dt = (\sqrt{-1}\hbar f\bar{g})(1) - (\sqrt{-1}\hbar f\bar{g})(0) + \int_0^1 f\overline{\sqrt{-1}\hbar g'}dt$. Thus, the map is

Examples

- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)\bar{g}(t)dt$,and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be $T(f) = xf$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 xf(x)\bar{g}(x)dx = \langle f, Tg\rangle$.
- Let $V = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1];\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^1 f\bar{g}dt$, and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be $T(f) = \sqrt{-1}\hbar f'$. Then $\langle Tf, g\rangle = \int_0^1 \sqrt{-1}\hbar f'\bar{g}dt =$ $(\sqrt{-1}\hbar f\bar{g})(1) - (\sqrt{-1}\hbar f\bar{g})(0) + \int_0^1 f\overline{\sqrt{-1}\hbar g'}dt$. Thus, the map is *not* Hermitian in general.

Orthogonality

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map.

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively.

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof:

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu \langle v, w \rangle$.

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w\rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w\rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w\rangle$.

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two,

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$,

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words,

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w\rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w\rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w\rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w\rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. □
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors.

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors. That is, a given

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors. That is, a given orthonormal basis

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors. That is, a given orthonormal basis can be "rotated"

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w\rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w\rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w\rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w\rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors. That is, a given orthonormal basis can be "rotated" to a new orthonormal basis

Orthogonality

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w \rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w \rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w \rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors. That is, a given orthonormal basis can be "rotated" to a new orthonormal basis where the matrix of T

- Theorem: Let $T : V \to V$ be a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map. Let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be distinct eigenvalues of T with eigenvectors v, w respectively. Then $\langle v, w\rangle = 0$.
- Proof: $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\mu\langle v, w\rangle$. But $\langle v, Tw\rangle = \pm\langle Tv, w\rangle = \pm\lambda\langle v, w\rangle$. Comparing these two, since $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\langle v, w\rangle = 0$. $\square$
- In other words, if a Hermitian linear map has distinct eigenvalues then it has an *orthonormal* basis of eigenvectors. That is, a given orthonormal basis can be "rotated" to a new orthonormal basis where the matrix of T is diagonal.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem:

- Theorem: Let V be an

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof:

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 (

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$).

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n-1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^{\perp}$ be its orthogonal complement.

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^{\perp}$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^{\perp}$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that T takes $S^{\perp}$ to itself, i.e.,

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^\perp$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that T takes $S^\perp$ to itself, i.e., if $s \in S^\perp$ then

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^{\perp}$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that T takes $S^{\perp}$ to itself, i.e., if $s \in S^{\perp}$ then $T(s) \in S^{\perp}$.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^\perp$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that T takes $S^\perp$ to itself, i.e., if $s \in S^\perp$ then $T(s) \in S^\perp$. Indeed, if T is Hermitian

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^\perp$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that T takes $S^\perp$ to itself, i.e., if $s \in S^\perp$ then $T(s) \in S^\perp$. Indeed, if T is Hermitian $\langle T(s), u_1 \rangle = \langle s, Tu_1 \rangle = \bar{\lambda}_1 \langle s, u_1 \rangle = 0$.

The Spectral Theorem

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^\perp$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that T takes $S^\perp$ to itself, i.e., if $s \in S^\perp$ then $T(s) \in S^\perp$. Indeed, if T is Hermitian $\langle T(s), u_1\rangle = \langle s, Tu_1\rangle = \bar{\lambda}_1\langle s, u_1\rangle = 0$. Likewise if it is

- Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional complex inner product space and $T : V \to V$ be Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Then there exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of T forming an orthonormal basis of V.
- Proof: Induct on n. For $n = 1$ it is trivial. Assume truth for $n - 1$. Choose any eigenvalue λ_1 of T with a normalised eigenvector u_1 ($\|u_1\| = 1$). Then $Tu_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$. Let S be the span of u_1 and $S^\perp$ be its orthogonal complement.
- We first note that T takes $S^\perp$ to itself, i.e., if $s \in S^\perp$ then $T(s) \in S^\perp$. Indeed, if T is Hermitian $\langle T(s), u_1\rangle = \langle s, Tu_1\rangle = \bar{\lambda}_1 \langle s, u_1\rangle = 0$. Likewise if it is skew-Hermitian.

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n - 1$:

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V.

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^\perp) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt,

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$.

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$.

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$.

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$.

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$.

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^\perp) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^\perp$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^\perp) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^\perp \to S^\perp$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space to itself.

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space to itself. By the induction hypothesis,

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space to itself. By the induction hypothesis, $S^{\perp}$ has an orthonormal basis $u_2, \ldots, u_n$ of

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space to itself. By the induction hypothesis, $S^{\perp}$ has an orthonormal basis $u_2, \ldots, u_n$ of eigenvectors.

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space to itself. By the induction hypothesis, $S^{\perp}$ has an orthonormal basis $u_2, \ldots, u_n$ of eigenvectors.
- Thus $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ form an

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space to itself. By the induction hypothesis, $S^{\perp}$ has an orthonormal basis $u_2, \ldots, u_n$ of eigenvectors.
- Thus $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ form an orthonormal basis of V

The Spectral Theorem

- $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$: Extend u_1 to a basis $u_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Using Gram-Schmidt, convert this to an orthonormal basis $u_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$. Let $x \in S^{\perp}$. Write $x = x_1 u_1 + x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Then $0 = \langle x, u_1 \rangle = x_1$. Thus $x = x_2 w_2 + \ldots$. Hence $\dim(S^{\perp}) = n-1$.
- Now $T : S^{\perp} \to S^{\perp}$ is a Hermitian/Skew-Hermitian linear map from an $n-1$ dimensional space to itself. By the induction hypothesis, $S^{\perp}$ has an orthonormal basis $u_2, \ldots, u_n$ of eigenvectors.
- Thus $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ form an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e.,

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e.,

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^{\dagger} U = I$ and hence $UU^{\dagger} = I$.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle$ if and only if $U^T\bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def:

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e,

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle$ if and only if $U^T\bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle$.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger A U = D$

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger A U = D$ where U is a unitary matrix:

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger A U = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger A U = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has an orthonormal basis of

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger AU = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger A U = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Consider $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ taking

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger AU = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Consider $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ taking the usual orthonormal basis to

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger A U = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Consider $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ taking the usual orthonormal basis to the eigenvector one.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger AU = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Consider $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ taking the usual orthonormal basis to the eigenvector one. U is a unitary matrix.

Unitary and orthogonal matrices

- A matrix/linear map $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves the usual dot product, i.e., $\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ if and only if $U^T \bar{U} = I$, i.e., $U^\dagger U = I$ and hence $UU^\dagger = I$.
- Def: A complex $n \times n$ matrix U is said to be unitary if $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, i.e, $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$. A real matrix O is said to be orthogonal if $OO^T = O^T O = I$.
- If V is a f.d. complex inner product space then $T : V \to V$ is said to be a unitary transformation if $\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Note that T is unitary if and only if there is an orthonormal basis in which $[T]$ is unitary.
- If $A = \pm A^\dagger$ then $U^\dagger A U = D$ where U is a unitary matrix: Indeed, $Tv = Av$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Consider $U : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ taking the usual orthonormal basis to the eigenvector one. U is a unitary matrix. Hence $U^\dagger A U = U^{-1} A U = D$.