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- Now
$T(x+\Delta x, y+\Delta y, z+\Delta z) \approx T(x, y, z)+\Delta x T_{x}+\Delta y T_{y}+\Delta z T_{z}$ (by definition of differentiability).
- Taking $\Delta x=x^{\prime}(t) h$ and likewise for $y, z$, we see that $T(\vec{r}(t+h)) \approx T(\vec{r}(t))+h\left(x^{\prime}(t) T_{x}+y^{\prime}(t) T_{y}+z^{\prime}(t) T_{z}\right)$, i.e., $\frac{T(\vec{r}(t+h))-T(\vec{r}(t))}{h} \approx x^{\prime}(t) T_{x}+y^{\prime}(t) T_{y}+z^{\prime}(t) T_{z}=$ $\left\langle\nabla T, \vec{r}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=\nabla_{\vec{r}(t)} T$.
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