

Lecture 29 - UM 102 (Spring 2021)

Vamsi Pritham Pingali

IISc

Recap

- Stated the chain rule

- Stated the chain rule for $f(\vec{r}(t))$ whose

- Stated the chain rule for $f(\vec{r}(t))$ whose derivative is $\frac{df}{dt} = \langle \nabla f, \vec{r}'(t) \rangle$.

- Stated the chain rule for $f(\vec{r}(t))$ whose derivative is $\frac{df}{dt} = \langle \nabla f, \vec{r}'(t) \rangle$.
- Defined paths, regular paths, and curves.

- Stated the chain rule for $f(\vec{r}(t))$ whose derivative is $\frac{df}{dt} = \langle \nabla f, \vec{r}'(t) \rangle$.
- Defined paths, regular paths, and curves. Defined the notion

- Stated the chain rule for $f(\vec{r}(t))$ whose derivative is $\frac{df}{dt} = \langle \nabla f, \vec{r}'(t) \rangle$.
- Defined paths, regular paths, and curves. Defined the notion of directional derivative along a regular path $\frac{df}{ds}$

- Stated the chain rule for $f(\vec{r}(t))$ whose derivative is $\frac{df}{dt} = \langle \nabla f, \vec{r}'(t) \rangle$.
- Defined paths, regular paths, and curves. Defined the notion of directional derivative along a regular path $\frac{df}{ds}$ as $\frac{1}{\|\vec{r}'(t_0)\|} \langle \nabla f(\vec{r}(t_0)), \vec{r}'(t_0) \rangle$.

Proof

- Recall that

- Recall that roughly,

$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously,

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,
 $g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a})$ where

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,
$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a})$$
 where $\vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0)$,
$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,
$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a})$$
 where $\vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0)$,
$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$
- Using the definition

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,
 $g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a})$ where $\vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0)$,
 $\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)$.
- Using the definition of differentiability of f ,

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,
$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a})$$
 where $\vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0)$,
$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$
- Using the definition of differentiability of f ,
$$f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) = f(\vec{a}) + \langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{y} \rangle + \|\vec{y}\| E(\vec{a}, \vec{y}),$$
 where

- Recall that roughly,
$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,
$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a})$$
 where $\vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0)$,
$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$
- Using the definition of differentiability of f ,
$$f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) = f(\vec{a}) + \langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{y} \rangle + \|\vec{y}\| E(\vec{a}, \vec{y}),$$
 where $E \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\vec{y}\| \rightarrow 0$.

- Recall that roughly,

$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,

$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a}) \text{ where } \vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0),$$

$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$
- Using the definition of differentiability of f ,

$$f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) = f(\vec{a}) + \langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{y} \rangle + \|\vec{y}\| E(\vec{a}, \vec{y}), \text{ where } E \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|\vec{y}\| \rightarrow 0.$$
- So $\frac{g(t_0+h) - g(t_0)}{h} =$

$$\frac{\langle \nabla f(\vec{r}(t_0)), \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0) \rangle}{h} + \frac{\|\vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)\|}{h} E(\vec{a}, \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)).$$

- Recall that roughly,

$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,

$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a}) \text{ where } \vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0),$$

$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$
- Using the definition of differentiability of f ,

$$f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) = f(\vec{a}) + \langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{y} \rangle + \|\vec{y}\| E(\vec{a}, \vec{y}), \text{ where } E \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|\vec{y}\| \rightarrow 0.$$
- So $\frac{g(t_0+h) - g(t_0)}{h} =$

$$\frac{\langle \nabla f(\vec{r}(t_0)), \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0) \rangle}{h} + \frac{\|\vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)\|}{h} E(\vec{a}, \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)).$$

This goes to the

- Recall that roughly,

$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,

$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a}) \text{ where } \vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0),$$

$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$
- Using the definition of differentiability of f ,

$$f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) = f(\vec{a}) + \langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{y} \rangle + \|\vec{y}\| E(\vec{a}, \vec{y}), \text{ where } E \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|\vec{y}\| \rightarrow 0.$$
- So $\frac{g(t_0+h) - g(t_0)}{h} =$

$$\frac{\langle \nabla f(\vec{r}(t_0)), \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0) \rangle}{h} + \frac{\|\vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)\|}{h} E(\vec{a}, \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)).$$

This goes to the correct answer as $h \rightarrow 0$. Indeed

- Recall that roughly,

$$f(\vec{r}(t+h)) - f(\vec{r}) \approx f(\vec{r} + h\vec{r}') - f(\vec{r}) \approx h\nabla_{\vec{r}} f.$$
- More rigorously, letting $g(t) = f(\vec{r}(t))$,

$$g(t_0+h) - g(t_0) = f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) - f(\vec{a})$$
 where $\vec{a} = \vec{r}(t_0)$,

$$\vec{y} = \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0).$$
- Using the definition of differentiability of f ,

$$f(\vec{a} + \vec{y}) = f(\vec{a}) + \langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{y} \rangle + \|\vec{y}\| E(\vec{a}, \vec{y}),$$
 where $E \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\vec{y}\| \rightarrow 0$.
- So $\frac{g(t_0+h) - g(t_0)}{h} =$

$$\frac{\langle \nabla f(\vec{r}(t_0)), \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0) \rangle}{h} + \frac{\|\vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)\|}{h} E(\vec{a}, \vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)).$$
 This goes to the correct answer as $h \rightarrow 0$. Indeed

$$\left| \frac{\|\vec{r}(t_0+h) - \vec{r}(t_0)\|}{h} \right| E \rightarrow 0.$$

Level sets and tangent planes

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 ,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object.

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 ,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set),

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem)

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem) that near any point

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem) that near any point on this level set

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem) that near any point on this level set the level set can be treated as

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem) that near any point on this level set the level set can be treated as a graph of a function.

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem) that near any point on this level set the level set can be treated as a graph of a function. In particular,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem) that near any point on this level set the level set can be treated as a graph of a function. In particular, the tangent planes exist at

Level sets and tangent planes

- Returning back to this concept in greater generality, whenever $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, the set $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\vec{r}) = c$ is called a *level set* of f . (If $n = 2$, it is called a level curve or a contour line. If $n = 3$, it is called a level surface.)
- These occur as equipotential surfaces in physics.
- Even if f is C^1 , this level set need not always be a “nice smoothly varying” object. For instance, take $xy = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 or $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If f is C^1 , and on the *entire* level set $f^{-1}(c)$, $\nabla f \neq \vec{0}$ (a *regular* level set), then it turns out (by a theorem called the implicit function theorem) that near any point on this level set the level set can be treated as a graph of a function. In particular, the tangent planes exist at any point.

Level sets and tangent planes

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a}

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} ,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t ,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} .

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$,

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is never zero on the sphere.

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is never zero on the sphere. Hence the sphere is a regular surface.

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is never zero on the sphere. Hence the sphere is a regular surface. At a point like $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$, we see that $\nabla f = 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ and hence

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is never zero on the sphere. Hence the sphere is a regular surface. At a point like $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$, we see that $\nabla f = 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ and hence $\vec{N} = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$. Now

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is never zero on the sphere. Hence the sphere is a regular surface. At a point like $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$, we see that $\nabla f = 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ and hence $\vec{N} = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$. Now the equation of the tangent plane

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is never zero on the sphere. Hence the sphere is a regular surface. At a point like $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$, we see that $\nabla f = 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ and hence $\vec{N} = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$. Now the equation of the tangent plane at this point is

Level sets and tangent planes

- Near a point \vec{a} if $\vec{r}(t)$ is a C^1 curve passing through \vec{a} , i.e., $\vec{r}(0) = \vec{a}$, and if $\vec{r}'(0) = \vec{v}$, then since $f(\vec{r}(t)) = c$ for all t , its derivative is zero and hence $\langle \nabla f(\vec{a}), \vec{v} \rangle = 0$.
- This means that $\nabla f(\vec{a})$ is perpendicular to every tangential vector \vec{v} . If the level set is regular, then since tangent planes exist, their equation is $(\vec{r} - \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla f(\vec{a}) = 0$.
- For example, if one considers $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, $\nabla f = (2x, 2y, 2z)$ which is never zero on the sphere. Hence the sphere is a regular surface. At a point like $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$, we see that $\nabla f = 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ and hence $\vec{N} = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$. Now the equation of the tangent plane at this point is $(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + (y - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + (z - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = 0$, or $x + y + z = \sqrt{3}$.

Vector fields

Vector fields

- Recall that

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a}

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$.

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point.

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}} \vec{F}(\vec{a})$

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists.

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if
$$\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$$
 exists. It is easy to prove that

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists.

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW).

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if
$$\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$$
 exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover,
$$\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$$
 (HW). In particular,

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of partial derivatives of \vec{F} .

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of partial derivatives of \vec{F} .
- \vec{F} is said to be

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of partial derivatives of \vec{F} .
- \vec{F} is said to be *differentiable* at \vec{a}

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of partial derivatives of \vec{F} .
- \vec{F} is said to be *differentiable* at \vec{a} if there exists a linear map $D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of partial derivatives of \vec{F} .
- \vec{F} is said to be *differentiable* at \vec{a} if there exists a linear map $D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\lim_{\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}} \frac{\|\vec{F}(\vec{a}+\vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} = 0$.

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of partial derivatives of \vec{F} .
- \vec{F} is said to be *differentiable* at \vec{a} if there exists a linear map $D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\lim_{\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}} \frac{\|\vec{F}(\vec{a}+\vec{h})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})-D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} = 0$.
The map $D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}$ is called the

Vector fields

- Recall that a vector field is a function $\vec{F} : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Recall that \vec{F} is said to be continuous at \vec{a} given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\vec{r} - \vec{a}| < \delta$, then $|\vec{F}(\vec{r}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})| < \epsilon$. \vec{F} is continuous if and only if its component scalar fields are so.
- Let $\vec{a} \in S$ be an interior point. \vec{F} is said to have a directional derivative $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a})$ along \vec{v} at the point \vec{a} if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\vec{F}(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. It is easy to prove that the directional derivative exists if and only if the directional derivative of each component exists. Moreover, $\nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}(\vec{a}) = (\nabla_{\vec{v}}F_1(\vec{a}), \nabla_{\vec{v}}F_2(\vec{a}), \dots)$ (HW). In particular, one can talk of partial derivatives of \vec{F} .
- \vec{F} is said to be *differentiable* at \vec{a} if there exists a linear map $D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\lim_{\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}} \frac{\|\vec{F}(\vec{a}+\vec{h})-\vec{F}(\vec{a})-D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} = 0$.
The map $D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}$ is called the derivative or total derivative of \vec{F} at \vec{a} .

Derivatives of vector fields

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.
- In other words,

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example,

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$,

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component is a polynomial,

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component is a polynomial, it is differentiable

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component is a polynomial, it is differentiable and $\nabla E_1 = (0, 1)$,
 $\nabla E_2 = (-1, 0)$. Thus

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component is a polynomial, it is differentiable and $\nabla E_1 = (0, 1)$,

$$\nabla E_2 = (-1, 0). \text{ Thus } D\vec{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component is a polynomial, it is differentiable and $\nabla E_1 = (0, 1)$,

$$\nabla E_2 = (-1, 0). \text{ Thus } D\vec{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Another example,

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component is a polynomial, it is differentiable and $\nabla E_1 = (0, 1)$,

$$\nabla E_2 = (-1, 0). \text{ Thus } D\vec{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Another example, if $\vec{F}(r, \theta) = (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta))$,

Derivatives of vector fields

- (HW) \vec{F} is differentiable if and only if each component is so. Moreover, $D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{F}$.

- In other words,

$$D\vec{F}(\vec{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla F_1^T \\ \nabla F_2^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For example, if $\vec{E} = (y, -x)$, then since each component is a polynomial, it is differentiable and $\nabla E_1 = (0, 1)$,

$$\nabla E_2 = (-1, 0). \text{ Thus } D\vec{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Another example, if $\vec{F}(r, \theta) = (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta))$, then

$$D\vec{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -r \sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & r \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

Differentiability implies continuity

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem:

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} ,

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof:

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$.

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture,

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma:

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma:
Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma:
Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\|A\vec{v}\| \leq C_A \|\vec{v}\|$ where $C_A = \sum_i \|A_i\|$ (where A_i is the i^{th} row of A).

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma:
Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\|A\vec{v}\| \leq C_A \|\vec{v}\|$ where $C_A = \sum_i \|A_i\|$ (where A_i is the i^{th} row of A).
- Proof of lemma:

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma:
Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\|A\vec{v}\| \leq C_A \|\vec{v}\|$ where $C_A = \sum_i \|A_i\|$ (where A_i is the i^{th} row of A).
- Proof of lemma:
 $\|A\vec{v}\| = \|\sum_i \langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle e_i\| \leq \sum_i |\langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle| \leq \sum_i \|A_i\| \|\vec{v}\|$ by Cauchy-Schwarz.

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma: Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\|A\vec{v}\| \leq C_A \|\vec{v}\|$ where $C_A = \sum_i \|A_i\|$ (where A_i is the i^{th} row of A).
- Proof of lemma: $\|A\vec{v}\| = \|\sum_i \langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle e_i\| \leq \sum_i |\langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle| \leq \sum_i \|A_i\| \|\vec{v}\|$ by Cauchy-Schwarz.
- Returning back to

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma:
Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\|A\vec{v}\| \leq C_A \|\vec{v}\|$ where $C_A = \sum_i \|A_i\|$ (where A_i is the i^{th} row of A).
- Proof of lemma:
 $\|A\vec{v}\| = \|\sum_i \langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle e_i\| \leq \sum_i |\langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle| \leq \sum_i \|A_i\| \|\vec{v}\|$ by Cauchy-Schwarz.
- Returning back to the proof of the theorem,

Differentiability implies continuity

- Theorem: If \vec{F} is differentiable at \vec{a} , then it is continuous at \vec{a} .
- Proof: $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a}) - D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| < \|\vec{h}\| \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $0 < \|\vec{h}\| < \delta < 1$. By the triangle inequality $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{h})\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- At this juncture, we prove a useful linear algebraic lemma: Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\|A\vec{v}\| \leq C_A \|\vec{v}\|$ where $C_A = \sum_i \|A_i\|$ (where A_i is the i^{th} row of A).
- Proof of lemma: $\|A\vec{v}\| = \|\sum_i \langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle e_i\| \leq \sum_i |\langle A_i, \vec{v} \rangle| \leq \sum_i \|A_i\| \|\vec{v}\|$ by Cauchy-Schwarz.
- Returning back to the proof of the theorem, $\|\vec{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - \vec{F}(\vec{a})\| < \|\vec{h}\| C_{D\vec{F}_{\vec{a}}} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$ if $\|\vec{h}\|$ is small enough. □