Lecture 34 - UM 102 (Spring 2021)

Vamsi Pritham Pingali

IISc

Recap

▲御▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶

• Second-derivative test

• Second-derivative test and an example.

э

- Second-derivative test and an example.
- Line integrals,

э

- Second-derivative test and an example.
- Line integrals, their properties,

- Second-derivative test and an example.
- Line integrals, their properties, and reparametrisation invariance.

• To evaluate volumes,

• To evaluate volumes, fluxes,

• To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc,

• To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration

• To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking,

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if $f(\vec{r})$ is a scalar field

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ...,

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if $f(\vec{r})$ is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \ldots$, the integral $\int \int \int f(x_1, \ldots) dV$ ought to be defined as

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral ∫ ∫ ∫ f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all small rectangles.

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral ∫∫∫ f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all small rectangles. Hopefully, this definition will coincide with

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral ∫∫∫ f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all small rectangles. Hopefully, this definition will coincide with the more naive definition of integrating

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral ∫ ∫ ∫ f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all small rectangles. Hopefully, this definition will coincide with the more naive definition of integrating one variable at a time (

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral ∫∫∫∫f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all small rectangles. Hopefully, this definition will coincide with the more naive definition of integrating one variable at a time (multiple integrals vs iterated integrals).

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral ∫ ∫ ∫ f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all small rectangles. Hopefully, this definition will coincide with the more naive definition of integrating one variable at a time (multiple integrals vs iterated integrals).
- To this end,

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral
 ∫ ∫ ∫ f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all
 small rectangles. Hopefully, this definition will coincide with
 the more naive definition of integrating one variable at a time
 (multiple integrals vs iterated integrals).
- To this end, we shall define/work with two variables,

- To evaluate volumes, fluxes, areas, etc, we need to develop multivariable integration and a fundamental theorem of calculus.
- Roughly speaking, if f(r) is a scalar field on a "rectangular" domain, [a₁, b₁] × [a₂, b₂] × ..., the integral ∫∫∫∫f(x₁,...)dV ought to be defined as the sum over all small rectangles. Hopefully, this definition will coincide with the more naive definition of integrating one variable at a time (multiple integrals vs iterated integrals).
- To this end, we shall define/work with two variables, but everything generalises word-to-word to more variables.

• Def:

• Def: A partition P of

• Def: A partition P of $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ is a subset $P_1 \times P_2$ such that

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,...,x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,...,y_m = d} are partitions of

• Def: A partition P of $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ is a subset $P_1 \times P_2$ such that $P_1 = \{x_0 = a, \dots, x_n = b\}$ and $P_2 = \{y_0 = c, \dots, y_m = d\}$ are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively.

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles.

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,...,x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,...,y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'.
Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,...,x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,...,y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions,

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.

Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,...,x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,...,y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.

Def:

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,...,x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,...,y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,...,x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,...,y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles,

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q,

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q.

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is $Area \times height$.

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is $Area \times height$. Hence we define:

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is *Area* × *height*. Hence we define: Let *f* be a step function that

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is Area × height. Hence we define: Let f be a step function that takes c_{ij} on (x_{i-1}, x_i) × (y_{j-1}, y_j) ⊂ Q.

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is $Area \times height$. Hence we define: Let f be a step function that takes c_{ij} on $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \times (y_{j-1}, y_j) \subset Q$. Then the double integral

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is Area × height. Hence we define: Let f be a step function that takes c_{ij} on (x_{i-1}, x_i) × (y_{j-1}, y_j) ⊂ Q. Then the double integral of f over Q

- Def: A partition P of [a, b] × [c, d] is a subset P₁ × P₂ such that P₁ = {x₀ = a,..., x_n = b} and P₂ = {y₀ = c,..., y_m = d} are partitions of [a, b] and [c, d] respectively. A partition gives rise to a bunch of open subrectangles. A partition P' is said to be *finer* than P if P ⊂ P'. Given any two partitions, their union is finer than both and is called a *common refinement*.
- Def: A function defined on a rectangle Q is said to be a step function if there exists a partition on whose corresponding open subrectangles, the function is a constant.
- It is easy to show that if s_1, s_2 are step functions on Q, then $c_1s_1 + c_2s_2$ is a step function on Q. Thus, step functions form a real vector space.
- The volume of a cuboid is $Area \times height$. Hence we define: Let f be a step function that takes c_{ij} on $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \times (y_{j-1}, y_j) \subset Q$. Then the double integral of f over Q is defined by $\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \Delta x_i \Delta y_j$.

Properties (HW)

æ

-≣->

- < ≣ ≻ - <

• As in 1-D,

æ

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

• As in 1-D, one can prove that

æ

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen.

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q.

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity:

5/9

• As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.

• Linearity:
$$\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$$

5/9
- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$
- Additivity:

5/9

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$

• Additivity: If Q is divided into

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$
- Additivity: If Q is divided into two rectangles that intersect

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$
- Additivity: If Q is divided into two rectangles that intersect only in their sides,

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$
- Additivity: If Q is divided into two rectangles that intersect only in their sides, then $\int \int_Q s dA = \int \int_{Q_1} s dA + \int \int_{Q_2} s dA$.

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$
- Additivity: If Q is divided into two rectangles that intersect only in their sides, then $\int \int_Q sdA = \int \int_{Q_1} sdA + \int \int_{Q_2} sdA$.
- Comparison:

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$
- Additivity: If Q is divided into two rectangles that intersect only in their sides, then $\int \int_Q s dA = \int \int_{Q_1} s dA + \int \int_{Q_2} s dA$.
- Comparison: If $s \leq t$ on Q,

- As in 1-D, one can prove that this value is *independent* of the partition chosen. We denote the sum as $\int \int_Q f(x, y) dA$ and call it the double integral of f over Q. It is easy to prove that this double integral equals the *iterated* integrals $\int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Linearity: $\int \int_Q (c_1 s_1 + c_2 s_2) dA = c_1 \int \int_Q s_1 dA + c_2 \int \int_Q s_2 dA.$
- Additivity: If Q is divided into two rectangles that intersect only in their sides, then $\int \int_Q s dA = \int \int_{Q_1} s dA + \int \int_{Q_2} s dA$.
- Comparison: If $s \leq t$ on Q, then $\int \int s dA \leq \int \int t dA$.

• Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a

• Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e.,

• Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \leq M$ on Q.

∃ ▶ ∢

• Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t

• Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def:

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \leq I \leq \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \leq f \leq t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$.

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists,

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum

6/9

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers ∫ ∫_Q s where s is a step function such that s ≤ f,

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \le f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$.

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that
 ∫ ∫_Q s ≤ I ≤ ∫ ∫_Q t for every pair of step functions s, t such
 that s ≤ f ≤ t, then I is called the double integral of f over
 Q and is denoted as ∫ ∫_Q fdA. If such an I exists, f is said to
 be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if S = T.

6/9

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if S = T. T is called the upper integral

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if S = T. T is called the upper integral and S the lower integral.

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if S = T. T is called the upper integral and S the lower integral.
- As in 1*D*,

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if S = T. T is called the upper integral and S the lower integral.
- As in 1D, and as for step functions in 2D,
Double integral of general bounded functions

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if S = T. T is called the upper integral and S the lower integral.
- As in 1*D*, and as for step functions in 2*D*, the additivity, linearity, and comparison theorems

Double integral of general bounded functions

- Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function, i.e., $|f| \le M$ on Q. Clearly, there exist step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$ on Q.
- Def: If there exists a unique number I such that $\int \int_Q s \le I \le \int \int_Q t$ for every pair of step functions s, t such that $s \le f \le t$, then I is called the double integral of f over Q and is denoted as $\int \int_Q f dA$. If such an I exists, f is said to be Riemann-integrable over Q.
- Let S be the supremum of all numbers $\int \int_Q s$ where s is a step function such that $s \leq f$, and likewise, T be the infimum of $\int_Q t$ where $f \leq t$. Then $\int \int_Q s \leq S \leq T \leq \int \int_Q t$ for all $s \leq f \leq t$.
- Thus f is R.I over Q if and only if S = T. T is called the upper integral and S the lower integral.
- As in 1*D*, and as for step functions in 2*D*, the additivity, linearity, and comparison theorems continue to hold.

æ

• Let
$$f: Q
ightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 be bounded

æ

• Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and integrable (

∃ ▶ ∢

• Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!)

 Let f : Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values,

Let f : Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and

Let f : Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively.

 Let f : Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.

Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
Proof:

Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t.

- Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that $g(y) = \int_a^b f(x, y) dx$ and $h(x) = \int_c^d f(x, y) dy$ exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then $\int \int_Q f dA = \int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Proof: Let $s \le f \le t$. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (

- Let $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that $g(y) = \int_a^b f(x, y) dx$ and $h(x) = \int_c^d f(x, y) dy$ exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then $\int \int_Q f dA = \int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) dy dx = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dx dy$.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties).

- Let f : Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y.

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem allows us to calculate

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem allows us to calculate double integrals and

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem allows us to calculate double integrals and geometrically

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem allows us to calculate double integrals and geometrically interpret the double integral

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem allows us to calculate double integrals and geometrically interpret the double integral as the volume under a graph.

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem allows us to calculate double integrals and geometrically interpret the double integral as the volume under a graph. Indeed, g(y) is the area of a cross-section and

- Let f: Q → ℝ be bounded and integrable (this assumption is crucial!) Except for finitely many values, assume that g(y) = ∫_a^b f(x, y)dx and h(x) = ∫_c^d f(x, y)dy exist, and are integrable over [c, d] and [a, b] respectively. Then ∫ ∫_Q fdA = ∫_a^b ∫_c^d f(x, y)dydx = ∫_c^d ∫_a^b f(x, y)dxdy.
- Proof: Let s ≤ f ≤ t. Integrate w.r.t x on both sides (valid by assumptions and 1D-properties). Then integrate w.r.t y. By definition, we are done.
- This theorem allows us to calculate double integrals and geometrically interpret the double integral as the volume under a graph. Indeed, g(y) is the area of a cross-section and its integral is the volume.

• Sketch of proof:

• Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem,

• Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, *f* is bounded.

• Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist.

• Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up

 Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions s_n ≤ f ≤ t_n

• Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of f

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of f → 0 as n → ∞ (by continuity).
- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of f → 0 as n → ∞ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply

8/9

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$.

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$. Since f_n does not vary much,

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$. Since f_n does not vary much, m_n, M_n are close to each other

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$. Since f_n does not vary much, m_n, M_n are close to each other and hence so are their integrals.

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$. Since f_n does not vary much, m_n, M_n are close to each other and hence so are their integrals.
- To prove that

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$. Since f_n does not vary much, m_n, M_n are close to each other and hence so are their integrals.
- To prove that the integrals equal their iterated versions,

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$. Since f_n does not vary much, m_n, M_n are close to each other and hence so are their integrals.
- To prove that the integrals equal their iterated versions, it is enough to prove that $g(y) = \int_a^b f(x, y) dx$ and $h(x) = \int_c^d f(x, y) dy$ are continuous.

- Sketch of proof: By the extreme value theorem, f is bounded. Thus the lower (S) and upper (T) integrals exist. It is enough to come up with a sequence of "special" step functions $s_n \leq f \leq t_n$ so that $\int \int_Q s_n dA \leq S \leq T \int \int_Q t_n dA$ converge to the same quantity.
- We choose a sequence of partitions P_n such that the variation of $f \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (by continuity). The special step functions are simply the infimum and supremum functions $m_n(x, y), M_n(x, y)$. Since f_n does not vary much, m_n, M_n are close to each other and hence so are their integrals.
- To prove that the integrals equal their iterated versions, it is enough to prove that $g(y) = \int_a^b f(x, y) dx$ and $h(x) = \int_c^d f(x, y) dy$ are continuous. This follows from some estimates.

Discontinuous functions

æ

• Integrals of discontinuous beasts

• Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D.

• Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have • Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that,

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means),

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped).

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped)

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped) a finite collection of

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped) a finite collection of line segments or more generally,

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped) a finite collection of line segments or more generally, a finite collection of C¹ regular paths

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped) a finite collection of line segments or more generally, a finite collection of C¹ regular paths have zero area.

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped) a finite collection of line segments or more generally, a finite collection of C¹ regular paths have zero area. In particular, (x, f(x)) or (g(y), y) where

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped) a finite collection of line segments or more generally, a finite collection of C¹ regular paths have zero area. In particular, (x, f(x)) or (g(y), y) where f, g are C¹

- Integrals of discontinuous beasts are problematic even in 1-D. However, if we have only finitely many discontinuities in 1D, we can integrate.
- Akin to that, if the set of discontinuities in 2D have "zero area" (whatever that means), the function is still integrable (proof is skipped). For instance, it turns out that (proof skipped) a finite collection of line segments or more generally, a finite collection of C^1 regular paths have zero area. In particular, (x, f(x)) or (g(y), y) where f, g are C^1 have zero area.