Lecture 23 - UM 102 (Spring 2021)

Vamsi Pritham Pingali

IISc

Recap

▲御▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶

æ

• Limit and continuity laws (

문 문 문

• Limit and continuity laws (including composition).

э

- Limit and continuity laws (including composition).
- Motivated the consideration

- Limit and continuity laws (including composition).
- Motivated the consideration of interior points

- Limit and continuity laws (including composition).
- Motivated the consideration of interior points for talking of derivatives.

Derivatives of scalar fields w.r.t vectors

æ

Derivatives of scalar fields w.r.t vectors

• Def:

æ

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$,

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, f is said to

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, f is said to differentiable along \vec{v} if

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, f is said to differentiable along \vec{v} if $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists.

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, f is said to differentiable along \vec{v} if $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. This number is

• Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, f is said to differentiable along \vec{v} if $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. This number is denoted as $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f$.

Def: Given a scalar field f : U ⊂ ℝⁿ → ℝ, an interior point *ā* ∈ U, and a vector *v* ∈ ℝⁿ, f is said to differentiable along *v* if lim_{h→0} f(*ā*+h*v*)-f(*ā*)/h exists. This number is denoted as ∇_{*v*}f.
If ||*v*|| = 1, Def: Given a scalar field f: U ⊂ ℝⁿ → ℝ, an interior point *ā* ∈ U, and a vector *v* ∈ ℝⁿ, f is said to differentiable along *v* if lim_{h→0} f(*ā*+h*v*)-f(*ā*)/h exists. This number is denoted as ∇_{*v*}f.
If ||*v*|| = 1, this number is called

- Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, f is said to differentiable along \vec{v} if $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. This number is denoted as $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f$.
- If $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$, this number is called the *directional* derivative

- Def: Given a scalar field $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, an interior point $\vec{a} \in U$, and a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, f is said to differentiable along \vec{v} if $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists. This number is denoted as $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f$.
- If $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$, this number is called the *directional* derivative along the direction \vec{v} .

≣ ⊁

æ

• If
$$\vec{v} = 0$$
,

▶ < ∃ >

æ

Image: A math a math

• If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$.

★ E ► < E ►</p>

æ

• If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

э

• If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.

B> B

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear,

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}=f(\vec{v})$ for all h

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}=f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative.

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$.

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$.
- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $abla_{sec v} f(ec a)$ exists whenever

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$:

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$.

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$. Then by the chain rule

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$. Then by the chain rule g'(0) exists and equals

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$. Then by the chain rule g'(0) exists and equals $sh'(0) = s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$.

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$. Then by the chain rule g'(0) exists and equals $sh'(0) = s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$.

• If
$$f(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x}\|^2$$
,

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$. Then by the chain rule g'(0) exists and equals $sh'(0) = s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$.

• If
$$f(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x}\|^2$$
, compute $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$:

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$. Then by the chain rule g'(0) exists and equals $sh'(0) = s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$.

• If
$$f(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x}\|^2$$
, compute $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$:
 $g(t) = \|\vec{a}\|^2 + t^2 \|\vec{v}\|^2 + 2t \langle \vec{a}, \vec{v} \rangle$.

- If $\vec{v} = 0$, then $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$. Indeed, this follows easily from the definition.
- Suppose f is linear, then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = f(\vec{v})$ for all h and hence f is differentiable along all vectors with $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = f(\vec{v})$.
- The above derivative can also be thought of as a one-variable derivative. Indeed, let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h} = \frac{g(h)-g(0)}{h}$. Thus g is differentiable at 0 if and only if $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists and equals g'(0).
- Moreover, $\nabla_{s\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ exists whenever $\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ does and equals $s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$: Indeed, let $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ and $g(t) = h(st) = f(\vec{a} + ts\vec{v})$. Then by the chain rule g'(0) exists and equals $sh'(0) = s\nabla_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$.

• If
$$f(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x}\|^2$$
, compute $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$:
 $g(t) = \|\vec{a}\|^2 + t^2 \|\vec{v}\|^2 + 2t \langle \vec{a}, \vec{v} \rangle$. Thus $g'(0) = 2 \langle \vec{a}, \vec{v} \rangle$.

4/8

Assume that

• Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem:

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $heta \in (0,1)$ such that

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof:

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$.

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g,

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$.

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence,

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence, if f is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n , and

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence, if f is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$ for all

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence, if f is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$ for all \vec{v} and \vec{a} ,

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence, if f is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$ for all \vec{v} and \vec{a} , then f is a constant.

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence, if f is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$ for all \vec{v} and \vec{a} , then f is a constant. Indeed, if not,

5/8

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence, if f is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$ for all \vec{v} and \vec{a} , then f is a constant. Indeed, if not, i.e., if $f(\vec{a}) \neq f(\vec{a} + \vec{v})$, then

- Assume that $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$ exists for all $0 \le t \le 1$.
- Theorem: Then there exists a real number $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that $f(\vec{a} + \vec{v}) f(\vec{a}) = \nabla_{\vec{v}} f(a + \theta \vec{v})$.
- Proof: Let $g(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$. Then applying the 1-dim MVT to g, we see that $g(1) g(0) = g'(\theta)$. Thus we are done.
- As a consequence, if f is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a}) = 0$ for all \vec{v} and \vec{a} , then f is a constant. Indeed, if not, i.e., if $f(\vec{a}) \neq f(\vec{a} + \vec{v})$, then $0 \neq g(1) g(0) = 0$ which is a contradiction.
æ

• Def:

문 🕨 🗶 문

æ

• Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$,

문 문 문

• Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists

æ

• Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists is called the

Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the partial derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{xi}(a)

∃ ⊳.

Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the partial derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{xi}(a) w.r.t x_i

∃ >

• Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists is called the *partial* derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a})$ or $f_{x_i}(\vec{a})$ w.r.t x_i and f is said to be

Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert:

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{xi}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE)

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect,

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{xi}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative

6/8

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).

- Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists is called the *partial* derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a})$ or $f_{x_i}(\vec{a})$ w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i .
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial

- Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists is called the *partial* derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a})$ or $f_{x_i}(\vec{a})$ w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i .
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives

- Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists is called the *partial* derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a})$ or $f_{x_i}(\vec{a})$ w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i .
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions

- Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists is called the *partial* derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a})$ or $f_{x_i}(\vec{a})$ w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i .
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points

- Def:When $\vec{v} = e_i$, $\nabla_{\vec{v}} f(\vec{a})$ if it exists is called the *partial* derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a})$ or $f_{x_i}(\vec{a})$ w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i .
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points because g(t) is a polynomial

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points because g(t) is a polynomial and hence differentiable.

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the partial derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points because g(t) is a polynomial and hence differentiable.
- More generally,

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the *partial* derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points because g(t) is a polynomial and hence differentiable.
- More generally, $f_i(x_i)$ are differentiable,

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the partial derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points because g(t) is a polynomial and hence differentiable.
- More generally, $f_i(x_i)$ are differentiable, then a sum of terms of the form

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the partial derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points because g(t) is a polynomial and hence differentiable.
- More generally, $f_i(x_i)$ are differentiable, then a sum of terms of the form $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\ldots$ has directional derivatives

- Def:When v = e_i, ∇_vf(a) if it exists is called the partial derivative ∂f/∂x_i(a) or f_{x_i}(a) w.r.t x_i and f is said to be partially differentiable w.r.t x_i.
- Spoiler alert: All known laws of nature are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) for something or the other (not necessarily for scalar fields).
- In effect, a partial derivative is simply the usual derivative w.r.t one variable whilst keeping the others fixed (Why?).
- A polynomial has directional derivatives in all directions at all points because g(t) is a polynomial and hence differentiable.
- More generally, $f_i(x_i)$ are differentiable, then a sum of terms of the form $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\ldots$ has directional derivatives in all directions.

æ

• One can easily

æ

• One can easily compute partials

э

• One can easily compute partials in specific examples:

• One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant,

• One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable
• One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V.

• One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense.

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a

7/8

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially differentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially differentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of *f*:

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2}, ...).

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2}, ...). One can further ask

7/8

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient

7/8

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives.

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of *f*:
 ∇*f*(*x*) = (*f*_{x1}, *f*_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner,

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner, we get higher partial derivatives

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f: $\nabla f(x) = (f_{x_1}, f_{x_2}, \ldots)$. One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner, we get higher partial derivatives like $f_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_y$ and $f_{yx} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_x$ (

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially differentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner, we get higher partial derivatives like f_{xy} = ∂²f/∂x∂y = ∂∂/∂x f_y and f_{yx} = ∂²f/∂y∂x = ∂∂/∂y f_x (which may in fact

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner, we get higher partial derivatives like f_{xy} = ∂²f/∂x∂y = ∂/∂x f_y and f_{yx} = ∂²f/∂y∂x = ∂/∂y f_x (which may in fact be different from each other!).

7/8

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f:
 ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner, we get higher partial derivatives like f_{xy} = ∂²f/∂x∂y = ∂/∂x f_y and f_{yx} = ∂²f/∂y∂x = ∂/∂y f_x (which may in fact be different from each other!). In the above example,

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of f: ∇f(x) = (f_{x1}, f_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner, we get higher partial derivatives like f_{xy} = ∂²f/∂x∂y = ∂∂/∂x f_y and f_{yx} = ∂²f/∂y∂x = ∂∂/∂y f_x (which may in fact be different from each other!). In the above example, ∂²T/∂P² = 0 = ∂²/∂V²,

- One can easily compute partials in specific examples: For instance, if $T(P, V) = \frac{PV}{R}$, where R is a constant, then T is partially differentiable w.r.t P, V. Its partials are $\frac{\partial T}{\partial V} = \frac{P}{R}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial P} = \frac{V}{R}$.
- A rational function is partially diferentiable w.r.t all x_i wherever it makes sense. In fact, it has directional derivatives also in all directions wherever it makes sense.
- If the partials w.r.t all coordinates exist then we get a vector-valued function called the *gradient* of *f*:
 ∇*f*(*x*) = (*f*_{x1}, *f*_{x2},...). One can further ask if each component of the gradient has partial derivatives. In this manner, we get higher partial derivatives like *f*_{xy} = ∂²*f*/∂x∂y = ∂/∂x *f*_y and *f*_{yx} = ∂²*f*/∂y∂x = ∂/∂y *f*_x (which may in fact be different from each other!). In the above example, ∂²*T*/∂P² = 0 = ∂²*T*/∂V², and ∂²*T*/∂P∂V = ∂²*T*/∂V∂P = 1/*R*.

• In one-variable calculus,

• In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point

• In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity

• In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point.

• In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works

• In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:

• In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:

•
$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| = |\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}||h|.$$

• In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:

•
$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| = |\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}||h|$$
. Now the definition of

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- $|f(x+h) f(x)| = |\frac{f(x+h) f(x)}{h}||h|$. Now the definition of differentiability implies that

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- $|f(x+h) f(x)| = |\frac{f(x+h) f(x)}{h}||h|$. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that
- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ,

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- $|f(x+h) f(x)| = |\frac{f(x+h) f(x)}{h}||h|$. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever $0 < |h| < \delta$, $|\frac{f(x+h) f(x)}{h}| < |f'(x)| + 1$.

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε.

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- $|f(x+h) f(x)| = |\frac{f(x+h) f(x)}{h}||h|$. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever $0 < |h| < \delta$, $|\frac{f(x+h) f(x)}{h}| < |f'(x)| + 1$. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that $|\frac{f(x+h) f(x)}{h}||h| < \epsilon$. We are done.
- Naively,

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} ,

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$,

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and hence f is continuous.

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and hence f is continuous.
- The problem is with

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and hence f is continuous.
- The problem is with the "hence" part.

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and hence f is continuous.
- The problem is with the "hence" part. It isn't true that

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and hence f is continuous.
- The problem is with the "hence" part. It isn't true that just because the limit

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and hence f is continuous.
- The problem is with the "hence" part. It isn't true that just because the limit exists along all lines

- In one-variable calculus, differentiability at a point implied continuity at that point. The way it works is as follows:
- |f(x + h) f(x)| = |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h|. Now the definition of differentiability implies that there exists a δ so that whenever 0 < |h| < δ, |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h| < |f'(x)| + 1. Choose δ to be possibly smaller so that |^{f(x+h)-f(x)}/_h||h| < ε. We are done.
- Naively, we would expect that if f is differentiable w.r.t all \vec{v} at \vec{a} , then since the limit $\frac{f(\vec{a}+h\vec{v})-f(\vec{a})}{h}$ exists as $h \to 0$, we might be able to conclude that the numerator goes to 0 and hence f is continuous.
- The problem is with the "hence" part. It isn't true that just because the limit exists along all lines it exists in the multivariable sense.