

1 Recap

- Elementary row matrices.
- Determinant definition.

2 Determinants

Uniqueness theorem: Suppose d is a determinant function and f is an alternating multilinear function. Then $f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = d(v_1, \dots, v_n)f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$. So if f is also a determinant function, then $f = d$.

Proof: Let $v_i = \sum_j c_{ij}e_j$. Then $f(\sum_{j_1} c_{1j_1}e_{j_1}, \sum_{j_2} c_{2j_2}e_{j_2}, \dots) = \sum c_{1j_1}c_{2j_2} \dots f(e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, \dots)$. If any of the j_i coincide, that term will be 0. So we may assume that all the j_i are different, i.e., j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots, n$.

We can prove by induction on n that any permutation can be obtained by a finite number of interchanges. Indeed, it is trivial for $n = 1$. One of j_i corresponds to n . Suppose it is j_k . Now $[1, 2, \dots, n-1] \rightarrow [j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_n, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{n-1}]$ is a permutation of $n-1$ things. By the induction hypothesis, it can be obtained using a finite number of interchanges. That is, $[1, 2, \dots, n] \rightarrow [j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_n, j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{n-1}, j_k = n]$ can be obtained that way. Now interchange j_k with j_n to get the desired permutation.

Using the above result we see that $d(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}) = (-1)^K d(e_1, \dots, e_n) = (-1)^K$ and $f(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}) = (-1)^K f(e_1, \dots, e_n) = d(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$. Thus $f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum c_{1j_1} \dots d(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})f(e_1, \dots, e_n) = d(v_1, \dots, v_n)f(e_1, \dots, e_n)$.

Assuming existence: 2×2 determinants: Consider $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = a \begin{vmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & d \end{vmatrix} + c \begin{vmatrix} 0 & b \\ 1 & d \end{vmatrix}$

which can be column-transformed to $= ad \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + bc \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ which equals $ad - bc$.

Assuming existence: Upper triangular matrices: We want to compute $\det(U)$ where

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \dots & u_{1n} \\ 0 & u_{22} & \dots & u_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & u_{nn} \end{bmatrix}. \text{ We claim that } \det(U) = u_{11}u_{22} \dots. \text{ We shall prove this}$$

claim by induction on n . For $n = 1$, we are done. Assume truth for $n - 1$. By scaling, $\det(U) = u_{11} \det(U')$ where U' has e_1 in the first column. By multilinearity, i.e., column transformations, we can "clear" the first row. Now $\det(e_1, v_2, \dots) = \sum_j c_{j2}c_{j3} \dots \det(e_1, e_{j_2}, \dots)$. Suppose we need K_j interchanges of columns to permute j_2, j_3, \dots to $2, 3, \dots, n-1$. Then $\det(e_1, v_2, \dots) = \sum_j c_{j2}c_{j3} \dots (-1)^{K_j} \det(e_1, e_2, \dots)$ which is $\sum_j c_{j2} \dots (-1)^{K_j} = \det(v_2, \dots)$. Thus $\det(U) = u_{11} \det(U'')$ where U'' is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by deleting the first row and first column. It is upper triangular. We are done by the induction hypothesis. \square

Assuming existence: A crucial property (Expansion along the first column): Note that $\det(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \det(\sum_j c_{j1}e_j, v_2, \dots, v_n) = \sum_j c_{j1} \det(e_j, v_2, \dots, v_n)$.

Property: If we define $n - 1$ -dimensional new columns/vectors $\tilde{v}_{2,j}, \tilde{v}_{3,j}, \dots$ by simply

deleting the e_j components from v_2, \dots and replacing e_{j+1} with e_j , e_{j+2} with e_{j+1} etc, then $\det(v_1, \dots) = \sum_j c_{j1} (-1)^{j+1} \det(\tilde{v}_{2,j}, \tilde{v}_{3,j}, \dots)$. Such an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinant is called a *minor*. Note that by interchanging columns a *similar* property holds for any column (if we prove it for the first column).

Proof: Fix j and neglect the j subscript in $\tilde{v}_{2,j}, \dots$. By interchanges, $\det(e_j, v_2, \dots) = (-1)^{j+1} \det(v_2, \dots, v_{j-1}, e_j, v_{j+1}, \dots)$. Claim: $\det(v_2, \dots, v_{j-1}, e_j, v_{j+1}, \dots) = \det(\tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_{j-1}, \tilde{v}_{j+1}, \dots)$. This claim is enough to complete the proof. Proof of claim: Note that $\det(\tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_{j-1}, \tilde{v}_{j+1}, \dots) = \sum_I \tilde{c}_{i_1 i_2} \dots \det(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots)$ where i_1, i_2, \dots is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots$. If we need K interchanges to bring i_1, \dots in ascending order, then $\det(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots) = (-1)^K$. If the i_1, i_2, \dots are in ascending order, then we are done with the claim and the proof of the property (why?). \square