

Notes for 5 April (Wednesday)

1 Recap

1. Proved the Weierstrass approximation theorem by approximating the Dirac delta by polynomials.
2. Proved a corollary that $|x|$ can be approximated by polynomials vanishing at 0.
3. Proved that power series converge uniformly within their radius of convergence, and that you can integrate and differentiate term-by-term within the radius of convergence.
4. Wanted to prove Abel's theorem : If $\sum a_n = A$, $\sum b_n = B$ and $\sum c_n = C$ where c_n is the Cauchy product of a_n and b_n , then $C = AB$. Our strategy was to write $f(x) = \sum a_n x^n$, $g(x) = \sum b_n x^n$ and $h(x) = \sum c_n x^n$ and use the theory of power series to attack this.

2 Power series

Theorem 1. Suppose $\sum c_n$ converges. Put $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ when $-1 < x < 1$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x) = \sum c_n$.

Proof. We have to somehow introduce the partial sums $s_n = c_0 + c_1 \dots + c_n$ (by the way put $s_{-1} = 0$). Now $\sum_{n=0}^m c_n x^n = \sum (s_n - s_{n-1})x^n = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} s_n x^n + s_m x^m$. Take $m \rightarrow \infty$ to get $f(x) = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n$. Let $s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$. Now $|f(x) - s| = (1-x) \sum (s_n - s)x^n$. If $n > N$ then $|s_n - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Thus $|f(x) - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^N |(s_n - s)| |x|^n < \epsilon$ if $1 - \delta < x < \delta$. \square

We now prove a theorem regarding interchange of the order of summation :

Theorem 2. Given a double sequence a_{ij} suppose that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| = b_i$ and that $\sum b_i$ converges. Then $\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} = \sum_j \sum_i a_{ij}$.

Proof. Here is an unconventional way to prove this. (By the way, if you take a course on measure theory, this theorem is a trivial consequence of the so-called dominated convergence theorem.)

Let E be a countable set consisting of x_0, x_1, \dots where $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define

$f_i(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$ and $f_i(x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$. Also let $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)$ where $x \in E$. This means that each f_i is continuous at x_0 . Since $|f_i(x)| \leq b_i$ and $\sum b_i$ converges, by the Weierstrass M-test, $\sum f_i$ converges uniformly to $g(x)$. But for uniform convergence, limits and sums can be interchanged ($g(x)$ is continuous at x_0), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} = \sum_i f_i(x_0) = g(x_0) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_i a_{ij} = \sum_j \sum_i a_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

□

If two power series converge to the same function on an open interval $(-R, R)$, then are they identical? The following theorem answers this question in the affirmative. Actually a much weaker condition is enough but we will not pursue that.

Theorem 3. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ converges in $|x| < R$. If $-R < a < R$ then f can be expanded in a power series about $x = a$ which converges in $|x - a| < R - |a|$ and $f(x) = \sum_n \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!} (x - a)^n$.

Proof. Note that $f(x) = \sum c_n (x - a + a)^n = \sum_n \sum_{m=0}^n c_n \binom{n}{m} a^{n-m} (x - a)^m$ which we hope equals $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} c_n a^{n-m}] (x - a)^m$. This hope can be justified using the previous theorem. Indeed the previous theorem shows that this is possible if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n |c_n \binom{n}{m} a^{n-m} (x - a)^m|$ converges. But this is the same as $\sum_n |c_n| (|x - a| + |a|)^n$ which converges if $|x - a| + |a| < R$. The formula for the coefficients follows from differentiating term-by-term. □

3 Exponentials and Logarithms

Define $E(z) = \sum \frac{z^n}{n!}$. The ratio test shows that this converges absolutely for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Therefore, $E(z)E(w)$ is the Cauchy product of the series which is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} z^k w^{n-k} =$

$\sum \frac{(z+w)^n}{n!} z^n = E(z+w)$. Clearly $E(0) = 1$. Let us calculate the derivative: Since it is a power series, we may differentiate term-by-term to see that indeed $E'(z) = E(z)$. Also, $E(1) = e$ (the number we defined earlier as a limit). Now the multiplication formula (by induction) implies that $E(n) = e^n$. If $q = n/m$ then $(E(q))^m = E(qm) = E(n) = e^n$. Therefore for positive rational q , $E(q) = e^q$. Since $E(q)E(-q) = 1$ this holds for all rationals.

Since $E(z)E(-z) = 1$ for all z , this means that $E(z) \neq 0$ for any z . Moreover, if z is a positive real, clearly the power series is positive (it has positive terms). If z is a negative real, then of course $E(z) = 1/(E(-z))$ is positive. Therefore $E' > 0$ (and $E(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$). This means that E is increasing. If we define $e^x = \sup e^p$ where $p < x$ and p is rational, then the properties of $E(z)$ (continuity and monotonicity) so far, show that $E(x) = e^x$.

Lastly, e^x changes faster than any polynomial. Indeed, L'Hospital's rule easily proves that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n e^{-x} = 0$ for every n and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since e^x is strictly increasing (it is 1-1) and its range is $(0, \infty)$ on \mathbb{R} , it has an inverse function $\ln(x) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is 1-1. If $a < b$ then $e^x : [a, b] \rightarrow [e^a, e^b]$ is continuous and 1-1 on a compact set and therefore $\ln : [e^a, e^b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is continuous for every a, b . Thus \ln is a continuous function. Now if $w = \ln(uv)$, then $e^w = uv$. If $u = \ln(x)$, $v = \ln(y)$, then $e^w = e^x e^y = e^{x+y}$. Thus $\ln(uv) = \ln(u) + \ln(v)$. Now we shall prove that $\ln(x)$ is differentiable on its domain and $\ln' = \frac{1}{x}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(x+h) - \ln(x)}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(x(1+h/x)) - \ln(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(1+h/x)}{h} \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(1+h/x)}{h/x}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

So if we prove that the derivative exists at 1 (and the derivative is 1) then we will be done. (*Cont'd...*)