

MATH 224 : COMPLEX ANALYSIS
SPRING 2026
HOMEWORK 9

Instructor: GAUTAM BHARALI

Assigned: MARCH 13, 2026

1. (CARRIED OVER FROM HOMEWORK 8) Problem 13 from the exercises to V–Section 1 of Conway.
Note. This problem is **important**. Firstly, it introduces certain new definitions. Secondly, it forms the basis for Problem 9 below.

2. Let $f(z) = 1/(z - 1)(z - 2)$.

- (a) Using **purely elementary** methods (i.e., do **not** appeal to the integral formula for the Laurent coefficients), compute the Laurent expansions of f around $z = 1$ and $z = 2$.
- (b) Give the regions in which these two expansions are absolutely convergent.

3. Suppose f is holomorphic in the punctured disc $D(a; \delta)^*$ and suppose a is a pole of f of order $m \geq 1$. Then, show that

$$\operatorname{Res}(f; a) = \frac{1}{(m - 1)!} g^{(m-1)}(a),$$

where $g(z) := (z - a)^m f(z) \forall z \in D(a; \delta)^*$.

4. Carefully review Examples 2.5, 2.7, 2.9, 2.10 and 2.12 in Chapter V of Conway’s book to understand some important classes of tricks (of which the tricks represented by Example 2.5 and Example 2.9 were discussed in class) for applying the Residue Theorem to evaluate **real** improper integrals.

5. Consider the improper integrals

$$I_n := \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1 + x^n}, \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

In view of the discussion in class, you may **assume without proof** that these improper integrals exist.

- (a) Compute I_n for $n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ using the ideas presented in class or in Example 2.5 in Chapter V of Conway.
- (b) For odd n , one can choose a **different** closed piecewise- \mathcal{C}^1 path that enables one to compute the relevant I_n ’s and involves computing a lot fewer residues. Determine what this path is and compute all I_n for odd n .

6. Choosing appropriate closed piecewise- \mathcal{C}^1 paths in \mathbb{C} , work out the **real** integrals given in problems 2(c), 2(d), 2(e) and 2(g) from the exercises to V–Section 2 of Conway.

7–9. Problems 6, 7, and 12 from the exercises to V–Section 2 of Conway.

10. Read the passage on the second page of V–Section 2 of Conway’s book to know why the Argument Principle has this name. (**Caution:** Providing all the details underlying the latter discussion—which can be done—leads to a proof that is a lot more cumbersome than the proof that is generally considered standard nowadays.)