## UM 101: ANALYSIS & LINEAR ALGEBRA – I "AUTUMN" 2020

## HINTS/SKETCH OF SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 3 PROBLEMS

## Instructor: GAUTAM BHARALI

## Assigned: DECEMBER 3, 2020

**PLEASE NOTE:** Only in **rare circumstances** will complete solutions be provided! What follows are **hints** for solving a problem or **sketches** of the solutions meant to help you through the difficult parts (or, sometimes, to introduce a nice trick). You are encouraged to use these to obtain complete solutions.

**1.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an ordered field and let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ . If S has a least upper bound, then show that it is unique.

Sketch of solution: Suppose b and c are two least upper bounds for S. Then, by first requirement for a least upper bound:

b and c are upper bounds for S. (1)

Assume that b < c. Then, by the second requirement for a least upper bound applied to c, b cannot be an upper bound for S. Since this contradicts (1), our assumption is false. Thus,  $b \ge c$ . Similarly,  $c \ge b$ . Thus, b = c.

**2.** (Apostol, I-3.12, Prob. 2) Let x be an arbitrary real number. Show that there exist integers m and n such that m < x < n.

**Clarification.** The set of integers is the set  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{-n : n \in \mathbb{P}\}$ , where -n is the negative of n viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Hint. It can useful to consider Theorem I.28 in Apostol.

Sketch of solution: We already know that  $\mathbb{P}$  is not bounded above. Thus, as  $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  too is not bounded above. We now establish the following:

**Claim:**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not bounded below.

Assume  $\mathbb{Z}$  is bounded below. Then  $\mathbb{Z}$  must have a lower bound. I.e.,  $\exists \ell \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\ell \leq n \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $\ell \in \{-n : n \in \mathbb{P}\} = \mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\ell - 1 \in \mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{N}$ , by our definition of  $\mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$\ell - (\ell - 1) = 1 > 0$	[by Theorems I.4 & I.21 in Apostol]
$\Rightarrow \ell > \ell - 1$	[by Theorem I.18 in Apostol]

which violates the fact that  $\ell \leq n \,\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $\ell < n \,\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{N}$ , so

$\ell < -n  \forall  n \in \mathbb{P}$	
$\Rightarrow -\ell > n  \forall  n \in \mathbb{P}$	[by Theorem I.23 in Apostol]
$\Rightarrow -\ell \geq n  \forall  n \in \mathbb{P}.$	

The last statement implies that  $\mathbb{P}$  has an upper bound in  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is false. This contradiction shows that our initial assumption was wrong; thus the claim.

Thus, we have shown:  $\mathbbm{Z}$  is neither bounded below nor bounded above.

Now, use the meanings of "bounded below" and "bounded above" to complete the proof.

**Remark:** The above problem shows that we need to first formulate definitions of "lower bound" and "bounded below" analogous to the terms defined in class.

**3.** Let  $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and let  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ . How do you express quantitatively the statement, " $\{a_n\}$  does not converge to L"?

Solution:  $\exists \epsilon_0$  such that for each  $N \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\exists n(N) \ge N$  such that  $|a_{n(N)} - L| \ge \epsilon_0$ .

4. Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a convergent sequence with limit L. Prove that the sequence  $\{b_n\}$ , where

$$b_n = \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n}$$

converges to L.

Solution: Since  $\{a_n\}$  has the limit L, given  $\epsilon > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{P}, N_1 \ge 2$ , such that

$$|a_n - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \ge N_1$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - L \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{(a_1 - L) + (a_2 - L) + \dots + (a_n - L)}{n} \bigg|$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|a_j - L|}{n}.$$

Since  $\{|a_1 - L|, |a_2 - L|, ..., |a_{N_1} - L|\}$  is a finite set,  $\exists M > 0$  such that

$$|a_j - L| \le M$$
 for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1 - 1$ .

So, from the two inequalities:

$$\left| \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - L \right| \leq \frac{(N_1 - 1)M}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=N_1}^n |a_j - L|$$
  
$$< \frac{(N_1 - 1)M}{n} + \frac{n - N_1 + 1}{n} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \quad \forall n \geq N_1$$
  
$$\leq \frac{(N_1 - 1)M}{n} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N_1.$$
(2)

From theorems about limits presented in class, we know

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(N_1 - 1)M}{n} = 0.$$

Thus,  $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $0 < \frac{(N_1-1)M}{n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \forall n \ge N_2$ . Set  $N := \max(N_1, N_2)$ . Combining the latter inequality with (2), we have

$$\left|\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} - L\right| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \ge N$$

The following problem will go a little beyond what has been taught until now. You will need the results from the beginning of the **lecture of December 4** to solve it.

5. For each of the following sequences, determine whether it converges or diverges. Justify your answer.

a) 
$$\left\{ \frac{10^7 n}{4n^2 - 4n + 1} \right\}$$
  
b)  $\{1 + (-1)^n\}$   
c)  $\{\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}\}$   
d)  $\{(1 + (-1)^n)/n\}$   
e)  $\left\{ \frac{n^2}{n+5} \right\}$   
f)  $\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}\cos(n!)\sin(1/n!)}{n+1} \right\}$ 

**Tip.** In those cases where you think the sequence is divergent, it is useful to **assume** that it has the limit L—where L is an arbitrary real number—and arrive at a contradiction.

Sketch of solution: Sketches to each of the parts are as follows:

a) We compute

$$\frac{10^7 n}{4n^2 - 4n + 1} = \frac{10^7 (1/n)}{4 - (4/n) + (1/n^2)}$$

By the theorem on limits of algebraic combinations of sequences, and as  $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1/n^{\alpha} = 0$  for any rational  $\alpha > 0$ , the denominator of the R.H.S. above has the limit  $4 \neq 0$ . Thus, by the above results again,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{10^7 (1/n)}{4 - (4/n) + (1/n^2)} = \frac{10^7 \lim_{n \to \infty} (1/n)}{4} = 0.$$

- b) We see intuitively that the limit doesn't exist. To justify this, appeal to Problem 3. Write  $a_n := 1 + (-1)^n$ 
  - $\{a_n\}$  does not converge to 0 because if we set  $\epsilon_0 = 1$ , then if, for each  $N \in \mathbb{P}$ , we write

$$n(N) = \begin{cases} N+1, & \text{if } N \text{ is odd,} \\ N, & \text{if } N \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

we get  $|a_n(N) - 0| = |1 + (-1)^{n(N)}| = 2 \ge \epsilon_0$  for N = 1, 2, ... Hence 0 is not the limit.

- $\circ\,$  A similar argument as above shows 2 cannot be the limit.
- Now consider  $L \neq 0, 2$ . Set

$$\epsilon_0 := \min\{|L|, |L-2|\}.$$

Then as  $|a_n - L| \ge \epsilon_0 \ \forall n$ , the answer to Problem 3 again implies that  $L(\ne 0, 2)$  is not the limit.

c) We compute

$$\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} = \frac{(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n})(\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n})}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \le \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$
(3)

This suggests that the limit is 0. To establish this, note that (3) gives

$$|(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}) - 0| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{P}.$$

We have seen in class that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1/\sqrt{n} = 0$  (note:  $\sqrt{n} = n^{1/2}$ ). Thus, given  $\epsilon > 0, \exists N$  such that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - 0\right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < \epsilon \quad \forall n \ge N.$$

From the last two inequalities:

$$|(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}) - 0| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \ge N.$$

- d) The sequence has the limit 0. Its justification is in the style of the argument for (f), but much simpler. Do this yourself (using the solution for (f) as a template).
- e) We intuit that the limit does not exist. To establish this we argue by contradiction. Suppose  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  is the limit. Then, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we can find  $N \in \mathbb{P}$  such that

$$\left|\frac{n^2}{n+5} - L\right| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \ge N.$$
(4)

But,

$$\left|\frac{n^2}{n+5} - L\right| \ge \frac{n^2}{n+5} - |L| \ge \frac{n}{2} - |L| \ge 1 \quad \forall n \ge \max\left(5, 2M(L) + 2\right),$$

where M(L) := the least natural number  $\geq |L|$ . But (4) contradicts the above inequality. Thus, no  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  can be a limit.

f) We estimate

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\cos\left(n!\right)\sin\left(1/n!\right)}{n+1} \le \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n+1} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{P}.$$

One can now argue as in (c) to show that the limit is 0.