

Day-5

20/12/24

last time

1(a)

- $\text{char}(M)$, $M \in \mathcal{O}$
 $\text{char}(M(\lambda))$ are $\mathbb{C}$ -linear independent
- $K(\mathcal{O})$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -module
- $K(\mathcal{O}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathbb{Z}[L(\lambda)] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathbb{Z}[M(\lambda)]$

For $\theta = \theta_\lambda = W \cdot \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* / (W, \cdot)$

- $K(\mathcal{O})_\theta = \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{Z}[L(\omega \cdot \lambda)]$

$$\Rightarrow K(\mathcal{O}) = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^* / (W, \cdot)} K(\mathcal{O})_\theta$$



- showed: $K(\mathcal{O})_\theta = \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{Z}[M(\omega \cdot \lambda)]$

using the decomposition matrix

$$D = \left([M(\lambda_i) : L(\lambda_j)] \right)_{i,j=1}^s, \text{ by}$$

proving $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_s(\mathbb{Z})$ up to reindexing.

1(b) [Cor] $\text{char } L(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ are (also) $\mathbb{C}$ -linear independent in $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$

Today:- show that $(*)$ holds on the level of categories/ $\mathfrak{g}$ -representations

Recall: If $M(\lambda) \longrightarrow V \neq 0$ and $[V : L(\mu)] > 0$
then $\chi_{\lambda} = \chi_{\mu} \iff \mu \in W \cdot \lambda$

2 Goal:- $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(W, \circ)} \mathcal{O}_{\theta}$; where

2(a) Defⁿ : Given $\theta = \theta_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(W, \circ)$

define the subcategory/block $\mathcal{O}_{\theta}$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ of those objects

s.t. $\underbrace{[M : L(\mu)]}_{\text{Jordan-Holder factors}} > 0 \Rightarrow \mu \in \theta_{\lambda} = W \cdot \lambda$

and

2(b) $\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\theta}$ means: if $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$
and $M_j \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_j}$ for $j=1,2$,

then

- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_1, M_2) = 0$

- $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M_1, M_2) = 0 \hookrightarrow$ any short exact sequence in $\mathcal{O}$

$$0 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

splits: $M \cong M_2 \oplus M_1$

③ "Baby case 1"

Proposition: Say $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mu \notin W \cdot \lambda$

Also fix highest weight modules (non-zero):

$$M(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow V, \quad M(\mu) \twoheadrightarrow U$$

then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(V, U) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(V, U) = 0$$

proof:

3(a) Fix $0 \neq v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$,

say $0 \neq \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(V, U)$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(v_\lambda)$ is maximal vector in U_λ

$\Rightarrow$ on $\varphi(v_\lambda)$, $Z(\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{g})$ acts by χ_λ

$\therefore \varphi(v_\lambda) \in U, \quad Z(\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{g})$ acts by χ_μ

But $\chi_\lambda \neq \chi_\mu$ by Harish chandra th^m,
we get contradiction.

3(b) Next, say $0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} V \longrightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$
has
h.w μ
has h.w.
 λ

• Fix $z_0 \in Z(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{O})$: $\chi_\lambda(z_0) \neq \chi_\mu(z_0)$

• Note: $\dim M_\lambda = 1 + \dim U_\lambda$

Moreover, $(z_0 - \chi_\mu(z_0))(M_\lambda) \neq 0$

$$\left(\begin{aligned} \because \pi(z_0 - \chi_\mu(z_0))M_\lambda &= (z_0 - \chi_\mu(z_0)) \underbrace{\pi(M_\lambda)}_{V_\lambda} = (\chi_\lambda(z_0) - \chi_\mu(z_0)) \cdot V_\lambda \\ &= V_\lambda \neq 0 \end{aligned} \right)$$

$$\text{But } (z_0 - \chi_\mu(z_0))(U_\lambda) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow (z_0 - \chi_\mu(z_0))(M_\lambda)$ is 1-dim subspace of M_λ ,
 $\cap$
 $(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{O})_0$

$$\text{say } (z_0 - \chi_\mu(z_0))(M_\lambda) = \mathbb{C} \cdot m_\lambda \quad (\because V_\lambda = \mathbb{C} m_\lambda)$$

$$\Rightarrow (z_0 - \chi_\mu(z_0))m_\lambda = d' m_\lambda \Rightarrow z_0 \cdot m_\lambda = d' m_\lambda$$

3(c) so $m_\lambda \xrightarrow{\pi} v_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow m_\lambda \notin \ker \pi = U$$

$$\Rightarrow M_\lambda = U_\lambda \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot m_\lambda$$

3(d) claim:- $(z_0 - \chi_\lambda(z_0)) \cdot m_\lambda = 0$

By 3(b), $z_0 m_\lambda = d \cdot m_\lambda$, $\exists d \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\Rightarrow \pi(z_0 \cdot m_\lambda) = \pi(d \cdot m_\lambda)$$

$$\Rightarrow z_0 \cdot v_\lambda = d \cdot v_\lambda$$

Thus $z_0 \cdot v_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(z_0) \cdot v_\lambda = d \cdot v_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow d = \chi_\lambda(z_0)$$



3(e) let $\tilde{V} := U_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot m_\lambda \subseteq M_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}$

say $m \in U \cap \tilde{V}$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_\mu(z_0) \cdot m \xrightarrow{U} z_0 \cdot m \xrightarrow{\tilde{V}} \chi_\lambda(z_0) \cdot m$$

$$\Rightarrow m = 0$$

i.e. $U \cap \tilde{V} = \{0\}$

3(f) so $U \oplus \tilde{V} \subseteq M$ ~~(**)~~

$$\Rightarrow \text{char } U + \text{char } \tilde{V} \leq \text{char } M$$

Since $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} V \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$
 $0 \neq m_\lambda \mapsto v_\lambda \neq 0$

$$\text{so } \tilde{V} = \mathcal{U}g \cdot m_\lambda \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{U}g \cdot v_\lambda = V$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{char}(U) + \text{char}(\tilde{V}) \geq \text{char}(U) + \text{char}(V) = \text{char}(M)$$

Hence $\text{char}(U) + \text{char}(\tilde{V}) = \text{char}(M)$,
 which together with ~~(**)~~ implies

• $U \oplus \tilde{V} \subseteq M$ is an equality

• $\text{char } \tilde{V} = \text{char } V$, and $\tilde{V} \twoheadrightarrow V$

so $\tilde{V} \cong V$.

$$\text{So, } M = U \oplus \tilde{V} \cong U \oplus V \quad \square$$

④ "Baby Case 2: $g = \mathbb{A}_2$ "

$$M = M_{2,\lambda} = \mathcal{U}g \cdot 1 / \langle \mathcal{U}g \cdot e^2 + \mathcal{U}g(h - \chi(h)) \rangle$$

We saw

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\lambda + \alpha) \xrightarrow{\quad} M \xrightarrow{\quad} M(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

$\quad \quad \quad \parallel \quad \quad \quad \parallel$
 $\quad \quad \quad \mathcal{U}_g \cdot e \quad \quad \quad \mathcal{U}_g \cdot \bar{1}$

4(a): Calculation: Solve for λ : $s_\alpha \lambda = \lambda + \alpha$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Ans: } s_\alpha(\lambda + \beta) = \lambda + \alpha + \beta \\ \Leftrightarrow s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda + 2\alpha \Leftrightarrow \lambda = -\alpha \end{array} \right.$$

4(b): So, if $\lambda = -\alpha$; $M(\lambda + \alpha), M(\lambda)$ have the same central character.

Hence $M(\lambda + \alpha), M(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_0}$

and in this case, the short exact sequence for $M_{2, \lambda}$ doesn't split.

4(c) For all other λ , $\chi_\lambda \neq \chi_{\lambda + \alpha}$,

so by "Baby case 1", this short exact sequence splits:

$$M_{2, \lambda} \cong M(\lambda + \alpha) \oplus M(\lambda)$$

$\parallel$
 $\mathcal{U}_g \cdot e$

$\parallel$
 $\mathcal{U}_g (fe - (\lambda + \alpha)(n) \cdot 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \because e \cdot (fe - (\lambda + \alpha)(h) \cdot 1) &= \cancel{fe^2} + he - (\lambda + \alpha)(h) \cdot 1 \\ &= 0 \text{ in } M_{2, \lambda} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \lambda(h) = -2 \\ \text{as } \lambda = -\alpha \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow fe - (\lambda + \alpha)(h) \cdot 1 &\text{ is highest weight vector} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark:- If $\lambda = -\alpha$ in $M_{2, \lambda}$, then

$(z - \chi_\lambda(z)) \cdot M_{2, \lambda}$ is non-zero for some $z \in Z(\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$.

Check

$$z = \underbrace{h^2 + 2h + 4fe}_{\text{Casimir element}} \in Z(\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) \quad \text{ie, } z_0 \text{ commutes with } e, f, h.$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_0(z) = 0(h^2 + 2h) = 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \underbrace{M(0)}_{\lambda + \alpha} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \underbrace{M(-\alpha)}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow 0$$

(χ_0 is character of $M(0)$)
so $z - \chi_0(z) = 0 \quad \forall z \in M(0)$

$$\text{so } z - \chi_0(z) : M \longrightarrow M(0) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\text{and } (z - \chi_0(z)) \cdot 1 = 4fe \cdot 1 + \underbrace{(h^2 + 2h) \cdot 1}_0 \quad \left(\because (-2)^2 + 2(-2) = 0 \right)$$

$\neq 0$

$\Rightarrow (z - \chi_0(z))$ doesn't kill $M_{2, \lambda}$

but $(z - \chi_0(z))^2$ does; where $z = \text{Casimir element}$. $\square$

4(d).

This phenomenon happens for all semi-simple $\mathfrak{g}$: $\exists$ non-split extensions in $\mathcal{O}_\theta$'s.

This motivates:

4(e). **Definition:** Given $\mathfrak{g} = \text{semi-simple over } \mathbb{C}$ and $\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(W, \cdot)$

define $\mathcal{O}'_\theta$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ with all objects: $\left\{ M \in \mathcal{O} : \begin{array}{l} \forall z \in Z(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ \exists l > 0 \text{ s.t.} \\ (z - \chi_\theta(z))^l(M) = 0 \end{array} \right\}$

5(a) Recall

$$\mathcal{O}_\theta := \{ M \in \mathcal{O} : [M : L(\mu)] > 0 \Rightarrow \chi_\mu = \chi_\theta \}$$

$$\underline{\text{Th}}^{\text{fl}} \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}_\theta = \mathcal{O}'_\theta \quad \forall \theta \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(W, \cdot)$$

finite length

This is an abelian $\uparrow$ subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(W, \cdot)} \mathcal{O}_\theta$$

i.e., $\forall M \in \mathcal{O}, M = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathcal{O}^*/(W, \cdot)} M_\theta$ with all $M_\theta \in \mathcal{O}_\theta$

③ let $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$,

$M_j \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$

Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_1, M_2) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M_1, M_2) = 0$

Cor. $K(\mathcal{O}) = \bigoplus_{\theta} K(\mathcal{O}_\theta)$

" $\bigoplus_{\theta} (K(\mathcal{O}))_{\theta}$ "

← From last lecture

5(b) proof of ①

let $M \in \mathcal{O}$, and say

$$0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_h = M \quad (h = \ell(M))$$

st. $M_{j+1}/M_j \cong L(\mu_j) \quad \forall 0 \leq j < h$

(I) say $M \in \mathcal{O}_\theta \Rightarrow$ all $\mu_j \in \theta = (W \cdot \mu_j)$

then $\forall z \in Z(\mathcal{U}_g)$

$$(z - \chi_\theta(z))(L(\mu_j)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (z - \chi_{\theta}(z)) : M_{j+1} \longrightarrow M_j \quad \forall j$$

so

$$M = M_{12} \xrightarrow{z - \chi_{\theta}(z)} M_{12-1} \xrightarrow{z - \chi_{\theta}(z)} M_{12-2} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{z - \chi_{\theta}(z)} M_0 = 0$$

$$\text{so } (z - \chi_{\theta}(z))^{L(M)} : M \longrightarrow 0 \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta} \\ \forall z \in Z(\mathcal{U}_g)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\theta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'_{\theta}$$

II conversely, say $M \in \mathcal{O}'_{\theta} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\theta}$
Recall
 $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_{12} = M \quad (12 = L(M))$

$$\text{s.t. } M_{j+1}/M_j \cong L(\mu_j) \quad \forall 0 \leq j < 12$$

$$\text{so } \exists j \in [0, 12-1] \text{ s.t. } \chi_{\mu_j} \neq \chi_{\theta} \quad (\mu_j \notin \theta)$$

$$\text{Take } z_0 \in Z(\mathcal{U}_g) \text{ s.t. } \chi_{\mu_j}(z_0) \neq \chi_{\theta}(z_0)$$

$$\text{and } m_{\mu_j} \in M_{j+1} \subseteq M \text{ whose image} \\ \text{generates } M_{j+1}/M_j \cong L(\mu_j).$$

$v_{\mu_j} \in$

Now write the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_j \rightarrow M_{j+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} L(\mu_j) \rightarrow 0$$

and compute $\forall h \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \left[(z_0 - \chi_\theta(z_0))^h \cdot m_{\mu_j} \right] &= (z_0 - \chi_\theta(z_0))^h \pi(m_{\mu_j}) \\ &= \left(\underbrace{\chi_{\mu_j}(z_0) - \chi_\theta(z_0)}_{\substack{\in \mathbb{C}^* \\ \neq 0}} \right)^h \cdot v_{\mu_j} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow (z_0 - \chi_\theta(z_0))^h$ doesn't kill M_{j+1} , hence
not M_j , which is contradiction.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}'_\theta \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\theta. \quad \square$$

5(c). $k(\mathcal{O}_\theta) = k(\mathcal{O})_\theta = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Theta} \mathbb{C}[L(\lambda)]$

"So" $\mathcal{O}_\theta$ is a finite length abelian category.

⑥ proof of part (3) of thm 5(a):

6(a) • Key tools to show Hom's and Ext's = 0

are the $\triangleright$ long exact seq. of Ext's
 $\triangleright$ weaving lemma
 $\triangleright$ Zig-Zag lemma
 $\triangleright$ Snake lemma

6(b) Recall:

A sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{d} B \xrightarrow{d'} C \rightarrow \cdots$$

in an abelian category, is

- exact at B if $\ker(d') = \operatorname{im}(d)$
- exact if it is exact at all objects in it.

6(c) Snake lemma: say

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D \\ a \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & c \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \end{array}$$

has exact rows.

snake (indicated by a red arrow from the top row to the bottom row)

Then $\exists$ exact sequence

$$\ker(a) \rightarrow \ker(b) \rightarrow \ker(c) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(a) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(b) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(c)$$

6(d) Long exact sequences - 1

In an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$, applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(N, -)$

to a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

yields a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(N, M') &\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(N, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(N, M'') \\ &\rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(N, M') \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(N, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(N, M'') \\ &\rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^2(N, M') \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^2(N, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^2(N, M'') \\ &\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

6(e) long exact sequences-2

In $\mathcal{O}$, applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, N)$ instead

yields the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M'', N) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M', N) \\ &\rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M'', N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M', N) \\ &\rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^2(M'', N) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

6(f) Application 1

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_{\theta_1}, M_{\theta_2}) = 0 \text{ when } \theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(\mathfrak{w}, \cdot),$$
$$M_{\theta_j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_j}$$

proof:-

First let $M_{\theta_1} = \text{simple}$, say $L(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \theta_1$

we claim: $\text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M) = 0 \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_2}$ by
induction on $l(M) \geq 0$

- $l = 0$, holds trivially.

- $l = 1$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) = 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \theta_2 \neq \theta_1$
by "Baby case 1".

- Induction step: let $l(M) = l$

say

$$0 \rightarrow M_{l-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(\mu) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{\theta_2}$$

and we know the claim for $M_{l-1}, L(\mu)$.

Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L(\lambda), \rightarrow)$ to this short exact seq,

we get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M_{l-1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \rightarrow \dots$$

"0 (by induction step) 0"

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \Theta_1, M \in \mathcal{O}_{\Theta_2}$$

Next, we show the claim in general, i.e.,

claim 2: Fix $M_{\Theta_2} \in \mathcal{O}_{\Theta_2}$. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, M_{\Theta_2}) = 0 \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{O}_{\Theta_1}$$

by induction on $L(M) \geq 0$

- $L=0$, holds
- $L=1 \rightarrow$ as shown above
- Induction step:

$$\text{say } 0 \rightarrow M_{L+1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{\Theta_1}$$

applying $\text{Hom}(-, M_{\Theta_2})$; we get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M_{\Theta_2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_{\Theta_2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_{L+1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

$\overset{0}{\parallel} \text{ (by } L=1 \text{)}$
 $\overset{0}{\parallel} \text{ (by inductive hypothesis)}$

$$\text{so, } \text{Hom}(M, M_{\Theta_2}) = 0 \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{O}_{\Theta_1}, M_{\Theta_2} \in \mathcal{O}_{\Theta_2} \quad \square$$

6(g) Application &

claim 1: $\text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), M) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \Theta_1, M \in \mathcal{O}_{\Theta_2}$
by induction on $L(M) \geq 0$

- $l=0$, holds trivially
- $l=1$, $\text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) = 0$ by "Baby Case 1"
- Induction step.

say $0 \rightarrow M_{l-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(\mu) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\theta_2}$
 & we know the claim for $M_{l-1}, L(\mu)$.

Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L(\lambda), -)$ to this short exact seq.

we get.

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M_{l-1}) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \\
 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), M_{l-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

"induction"
0

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), M) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \theta_1, M \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_2}$$

Next, we show the claim in general, i.e.

Claim 2: For $M_{\theta_2} \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_2}$. Then

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}}(M, M_{\theta_2}) = 0 \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_1}$$

by induction on $l(M) \geq 0$

- $l=0$ holds

- $l=1$, shown above

- Induction step:

say $0 \rightarrow M_{l-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_\theta$,

Applying $\text{Hom}(-, M_{\theta_2})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), M_{\theta_2}) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_{\theta_2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_{l-1}, M_{\theta_2}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), M_{\theta_2}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, M_{\theta_2}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M_{l-1}, M_{\theta_2}) \\ &\rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

"0" by induction

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, M_{\theta_2}) = 0 \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{O}_\theta, \quad M_{\theta_2} \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_2}.$$

⑦ Remains to show the part ②:

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(W, \cdot)} \mathcal{O}_\theta$$

7(a) claim: - All Hom spaces in $\mathcal{O}$ are f.d.
i.e., $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, M') < \infty \quad \forall M, M' \in \mathcal{O}.$

proof: Say M is generated by $m_1, \dots, m_k$,

where $m_j \in M_{\mu_j} \forall j$

Any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module map $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$

- is uniquely determined by $\{\varphi(m_j)\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$
- and • sends $\varphi(m_j)$ to $M'_{\mu_j} \forall j$.

$$\text{so } \underbrace{\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, M')}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}} \subseteq \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^n}_{\text{Cartesian product}} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{C} m_j, M')$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n M'_{\mu_j}$$

$$= \text{f.d.} \quad (\because M'_{\mu_j} = \text{f.d.}) \quad \square$$

7(b)

Say $0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_k \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector spaces, all of which are finite-dimensional.

$$\text{Then } \dim V_1 - \dim V_2 + \dots + \dim V_k = 0$$

$\therefore$ Euler characteristic $\chi(V_{\bullet})$

7(c) This (i.e. $\neg(b)$) holds even if exactly one V_j is not known to be finite dim a priori.

7(d) proof of th^m 5(a) 's part (2)

claim: Every $M \in \mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^*/(W, \cdot)} M_\theta$
by induction on $l(M)$

- $l=0$ holds trivially

- $l=1$ $L(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_\lambda = W \cdot \lambda}$

- Induction step:

Say $0 \rightarrow M_{l-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$

Why does M split?

7(e) We use:

Prop: Say $0 \rightarrow A \oplus B' \rightarrow C \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact seq. in (abelian category) $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces.

If $\text{Ext}_O^1(B'', A) = 0$ then $C = A \oplus B$
 where $0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow 0$ and $B \in O$.

Proof:- Apply $\text{Hom}_O(B'', -)$ to the seq.

• $0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B' \oplus A \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, to get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_O(B'', B') &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_O(B'', B' \oplus A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_O(B'', A) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_O^1(B'', B') \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Ext}_O^1(B'', B' \oplus A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_O^1(B'', A) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

~~(*)~~ First row is exact (check!)

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}_O^1(B'', B') \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Ext}_O^1(B'', B' \oplus A)$$

But φ takes an extension/sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow M \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow 0 \text{ to the sequence}$$

$$0 \rightarrow B' \oplus A \rightarrow M \oplus A \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow 0.$$

As φ is an isomorphism, the extension C in the proposition must be of this form:

$$C = B \oplus A,$$

$$\text{where } 0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow 0$$



7(f) (continuation of 7(d))

Recall $0 \rightarrow M_{L-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$
 // induction

$$M_{\theta_\lambda} \oplus \underbrace{\bigoplus_{\theta \neq \theta_\lambda} M_\theta}_N$$

Apply Prop 7(e) with $B'' = L(\lambda)$; $C = M$
 $B' = M_{\theta_\lambda}$; $A = N$

In this case, $\text{Hom}(B'', A) = 0$ by part(3) of th^m

so ~~(***)~~ is immediate. Now by prop 7(e),

$$M = N \oplus B \text{ where}$$

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\theta_\lambda} \rightarrow B \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

$\in \mathcal{O}_{\theta_\lambda}$

