Mediterr. j. math. 1 (2004), 463–477
1660-5446/040463-15, DOI 10.1007/s00009-004-0024-4

Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics

Controllability of Second Order Semi-Linear Neutral Functional Differential Inclusions in Banach Spaces

Raju K. George, Dimplekumar N. Chalishajar and Akambadath K. Nandakumaran

In honour of Prof. M.C. Joshi, IIT Bombay, INDIA.

Abstract. In this article, we investigate sufficient conditions for controllability of second order semi-linear neutral functional initial value problem for the class of differential inclusions in Banach spaces using the theory of strongly continuous cosine families. We shall rely on a fixed point theorem due to Ma for multi-valued maps. An example is provided to illustrate the result. This work is motivated by the paper of Benchohra, Gorniewicz and Ntouyas [7].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 93B05, 93C10.

Keywords. Controllability, initial value problems, convex multi-valued map, evolution inclusion, fixed point.

1. Introduction

Let E be a Banach space with norm $|\cdot|$ and U be another Banach space taking the control values. In this article, we would like to consider the controlled neutral functional second order inclusion system with non local conditions

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\big[y'(t) - f(t, y_t)\big] \in Ay(t) + Bu(t) + F(t, y_t, y'(t)), & t \in J \\ y_0 = \phi, \ y'(0) = x_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here the state $y(t)$ takes values in E and the control $u \in L^2(J, U)$, the space of admissible controls, where $J = (0, +\infty)$. Further, we assume A is the infinitesimal generator of strongly continuous cosine family $\big\{C(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\big\}$ defined on E (we make this precise later) and $B : U \to E$ is a bounded linear operator. The map $F : J \times C_r \times E \to 2^E$ is a bounded, closed, convex multi-valued map. Let $r > 0$ be the delay time and $C_r = C([-r, 0], E)$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions with the norm $\|\phi\| = \sup\{|\phi(\theta)| : -r \leq \theta \leq 0\}$. Let $J_0 = [-r, 0]$ and non-local condition $\phi \in C_r$ and $x_0 \in E$ be the given initial values. Also for any continuous function y defined on the interval $J_1 = [-r, +\infty)$ with values in E and for any $t \in J$, we denote by y_t an element of $C(J_0, E)$ defined by $y_t(\theta) = y(t + \theta), \theta \in J_0$.

Our aim is to study the exact controllability of the above abstract system which will have applications to many interesting systems including PDE systems. We reduce the controllability problem (1.1) to the search for fixed points of a suitable multi-valued map on a subspace of the Frechet space $C(J, E)$. In order to prove the existence of fixed points, we shall rely on a theorem due to Ma [20], which is an extension of Schaefer's theorem [24] to multi-valued maps between locally convex topological spaces.

Much attention has been received in recent years regarding the existence of mild, strong and classical solutions for differential and integro-differential equations in abstract spaces with nonlocal conditions. We refer to the paper of Byszewski [13] who studied the existence and uniqueness of solution of semi-linear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem. Ntouyas and Tsamatos [21] discussed global existence for semi-linear evolution equations with nonlocal conditions.

The controllability of second order system with local and nonlocal conditions are also very interesting and researchers are engaged in it. Many times, it is advantageous to treat the second order abstract differential equations directly rather than to convert them to first-order system. For example, refer Fitzgibbon [16] and Ball [6]. In [16], Fitzgibbon used the second order abstract system for establishing the boundedness of solutions of the equation governing the transverse motion of an extensible beam. A useful tool in the study of abstract second order equations is the theory of strongly continuous cosine families ([25], [26]). Balachandran and Marshal Anthoni ([1], [2], [3], [4]) discussed the controllability of second order ordinary and delay, differential and integro-differential systems with the proper illustrations, without converting them to first-order by using the cosine operators and Leray-Schauder alternative. Quinn and Carmichael [23] have first shown that the controllability problem in Banach spaces can be converted into a fixed point problem for a single valued map. Benchohra and Ntouyas [11] proved the existence and controllability results for nonlinear differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions. Also they considered controllability of functional differential and integro-differential inclusions in Banach spaces [9]. In both the papers they used a fixed point theorem for the condensing maps due to Martelli. Then they demonstrated the controllability results for multi-valued semi-linear neutral functional equation [10]. Benchohra, Gorniewicz and Ntouyas [7] paid there attention to show the controllability on infinite time horizon for first and second order functional differential inclusions in Banach spaces. The existence of the system considered in [7] was also proved by them. They used here the fixed point theorem due to Ma [20]. Our intention in this paper is to study the controllability on infinite time horizon for second order semi-linear neutral functional differential inclusion in Banach spaces. We consider the multi-valued map which is function of both the delay term as well the derivative of the unknown function. We will take the help of fixed point theorem due to Ma, which is an extension of Schaefer's theorem to locally convex topological spaces, semigroup method [22] and set-valued analysis ([14], [18]).

The outlay of the paper is as follows. In the following section, we give the necessary preliminaries so that the system can be put in the integral form which gives the existence of a mild solution. In Section 3, we represent the state of the system in terms of the cosine and sine family and reduce the controllability to that of finding a fixed point of a multi-valued map. Then, we establish the existence of a fixed point by applying a fixed point theorem due to Ma [20]. Finally, in Section 4, we present an example to illustrate our theory.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts from multi-valued analysis which are used throughout this paper. Let $J_m = [0, m]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The space $C(J, E)$ is the Frechet space of continuous functions from J into E with the metric (see [15])

$$d(y, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{2^{-m}\|y - z\|_m}{1 + \|y - z\|_m} \qquad \text{for each } \ y, z \in C(J, E),$$

where

$$\|y\|_m := \sup\Big\{|y(t)| : t \in J_m\Big\}.$$

Let $B(E)$ be the Banach space of bounded linear operators from E to E with the standard norm. A measurable function $y : J \to E$ is Bochner integrable if and only if $|y|$ is Lebesgue integrable. For properties of the Bochner integral, we refer to [12]. Let $L^1(J, E)$ denotes the Banach space of Bochner integrable functions and U_p denotes a neighbourhood of 0 in $C(J, E)$ defined by

$$U_p := \Big\{y \in C(J, E) : \|y\|_m \le p\Big\}.$$

The convergence in $C(J, E)$ is the uniform convergence in the compact intervals, i.e. $y_j \to y$ in $C(J, E)$ if and only if $\|y_j - y\|_m \to 0$ in $C(J_m, E)$ as $j \to +\infty$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. A set $M \subseteq C(J, E)$ is a bounded set if and only if there exists a positive function $\xi \in C(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$|y(t)| \le \xi(t) \text{ for all } t \in J \text{ and } \ y \in M.$$

The Ascoli–Arzelà theorem says that a set $M \subseteq C(J, E)$ is compact if and only if for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, M is a compact set in the Banach space $(C(J_m, E), \|\cdot\|_m)$.

We say that one-parameter family $\Big\{C(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\Big\}$ of bounded linear operators in $B(E)$ is a strongly continuous cosine family if and only if

1. $C(0) = I$, I is the identify operator on E;

2. $C(t+s)+C(t-s)=2C(t)C(s)$ for all $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$;
3. the map $t\mapsto C(t)y$ is strongly continuous in t on $\mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $y\in E$.

The strongly continuous sine family $\{S(t): t\in\mathbb{R}\}$, associated to the strongly continuous cosine family $\{C(t): t\in\mathbb{R}\}$, is defined by

$$S(t)y=\int_0^t C(s)yds, \qquad y\in E,\ t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Assume the following condition on A:

(H1) A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $C(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$ of bounded linear operators E into itself and the adjoint operator A^* is densely defined, i.e. $\overline{D(A^*)}=E^*$ (see [12]).

The infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $C(t), t\in\mathbb{R}$ is the operator $A: D(A)\subset E\to E$ defined by

$$Ay=\frac{d^2}{dt^2}C(t)y|_{t=0}, \quad y\in D(A),$$

where $D(A)=\{y\in E: C(\cdot)y\in C^2(\mathbb{R},E)\}$. Define $E_1=\{y\in E: C(\cdot)y\in C^1(\mathbb{R},E)\}$.

Lemma 2.1. ([25]) *Let $(H1)$ hold. Then,*

1. *there exists constant $M_1\geq 1$ and $w\geq 0$ such that*

$$\left|C(t)\right|\leq M_1e^{w|t|} \quad \text{and} \quad |S(t)-S(t^*)|\leq M_1\int_0^{t^*}e^{w|s|}ds, \qquad \text{for } t,t^*\in\mathbb{R};$$

2. *for $y\in E$, $S(t)y\in E_1$ and so $S(t)E\subset E_1$, for $t\in\mathbb{R}$;*
3. *for $y\in E_1$, $C(t)y\in E_1$, $S(t)y\in D(A)$ and $\frac{d}{dt}C(t)y=AS(t)y$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$;*
4. *for $y\in D(A)$, $C(t)y\in D(A)$ and $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}C(t)y=AC(t)y$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.2. ([25]) *Let (H1) hold, let $v\in C^1(\mathbb{R},E)$ and let $q(t)=\int_0^t S(t-s)v(s)ds$. Then,*

$$q\in C^2(\mathbb{R},E) \text{ for } t\in\mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad q(t)\in D(A).$$

Further q satisfies

$$q'(t)=\int_0^t C(t-s)v(s)ds \quad \text{and} \quad q''(t)=Aq(t)+v(t).$$

For more details on strongly continuous cosine and sine family, we refer the reader to the book of Goldstein [17] and papers of Travis and Webb [25], [26]. We now recall some preliminaries about multi-valued maps.

Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. A multi-valued map $G: X\to 2^X$ is *convex* (resp. *closed*) if $G(x)$ is convex (resp. closed) in X for all $x\in X$. The map G is bounded on bounded sets if $G(B)=U_{x\in B}G(x)$ is bounded in X for any bounded set B of X (i.e. $\sup_{x\in B}\{\sup\{\|y\|: y\in G(x)\}\}<+\infty$). G is called *upper semi continuous* (*u.s.c.*) on X if for each $x_0\in X$ the set $G(x_0)$ is a nonempty, closed subset of X and if for each open set B of X containing $G(x_0)$, there exists an open neighborhood A of x_0 such that $G(A) \subseteq B$. The map G is said to be *completely continuous* if $G(B)$ is relatively compact for every bounded subset $B \subseteq X$.

If the multi-valued map G is completely continuous with nonempty compact values, then G is u.s.c. if and only if G has a closed graph. That is, if $x_n \to x_0$ and $y_n \to y_0$, where $y_n \in G(x_n)$, then $y_0 \in G(x_0)$. We say, G has a fixed point if there is $x \in X$ such that $x \in G(x)$. In the following, $BCC(X)$ denotes the set of all nonempty bounded, closed and convex subsets of X.

A multi-valued map $G : J \to BCC(E)$ is said to be measurable, if for each $x \in E$, the distance function $Y : J \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Y(t) = d(x, G(t)) = \inf\{|x - z| : z \in G(t)\}$$

is measurable. For more details on multi-valued maps, see [14], [18].

We assume the following hypotheses:

(H2) $C(t)$, $t > 0$ is compact;

(H3) $Bu(t)$ is continuous in t and M_2 be constant such that $|B| \leq M_2$;

(H4) let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Let $W : L^2(J, U) \to E$ be the linear operator defined by

$$Wu = \int_0^m S(m - s)Bu(s)ds.$$

Then $W : L^2(J, U)/KerW \to E$ induces a bounded invertible operator W^{-1} and there exists positive constant M_3 such that and $|W^{-1}| \leq M_3$ (for the construction of W^{-1}, refer to [23]);

(H5) the function $f : J \times C_r \to E$ is completely continuous and for any bounded set $B \subseteq C(J_1, E)$, the family $\{t \mapsto f(t, y_t) : y \in B\}$ is equi-continuous in $C(J, E)$. Further assume, there exist constants $0 \leq c_1 < 1$ and $c_2 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \in J$, $\phi \in C_r$, we have

$$|f(t, \phi)| \leq c_1\|\phi\| + c_2;$$

(H6) the multi-valued map $(t, \Psi, y) \mapsto F(t, \Psi, y)$ is measurable with respect to t for each $\Psi \in C_r$ and $y \in E$ and F is u.s.c. with respect to second and third variable for each $t \in J$. Moreover for each fixed $z \in C(J_1, E)$ and $y \in C(J, E)$, the set

$$S_{F,z,y} = \{v \in L^1(J, E) : v(t) \in F(t, z_t, y(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in J\}$$

is nonempty;

(H7) we assume F satisfies the following estimate: given $\Psi \in C_r$ and $y \in E$, there exist $p \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$\|F(t, \Psi, y)\| := \sup\{|v| : v \in F(t, \Psi, y)\} \leq p(t)\Psi(\|\Psi\| + |y|),$$

where $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to (0, +\infty)$ is continuous and increasing and there is a $c > 0$ such that the integral $\int_c^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s+\Psi(s)}$ is sufficiently large (an explicit lower bound and expression for c can be given). For example one can take Ψ such that

$$\int_c^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s + \Psi(s)} = +\infty.$$

Then the integral equation formulation of the system (1.1) is given by [21]

$$\begin{cases} y(t) &= \phi(t), \qquad \text{if } t \in J_0, \\ y(t) &= C(t)\phi(0) + S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \int_0^t C(t-s) f(s, y_s) ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t S(t-s) B u(s) ds + \int_0^t S(t-s) v(s) ds, \qquad \text{if } t \in J, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $v \in S_{F,y,y'} = \{v \in L^1(J,E) : v(t) \in F(t, y_t, y'(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in J\}$ is called the mild solution on J of the inclusion (1.1).

Remark 2.3. If dim $E < +\infty$ and J is a compact real interval, then $S_{F,y,y'} \neq \phi$ (see [19]).

Definition 2.4. The system (1.1) is said to be controllable on J if for every $\phi \in C_r$ with $\phi(0) \in D(A)$, $x_0 \in E_1$, $y_1 \in E$ and for each m, there exists a control $u \in L^2(J_m, U)$ such that the solution $y(\cdot)$ of (1.1) satisfies $y(m) = y_1$.

The following lemmas are crucial in the proof of our main theorem to be stated and proved in the next section.

Lemma 2.5. ([19]) *Let $I = J_m$ be the compact real interval and X be a Banach space. Let F be a multi-valued map satisfying* (H6) *and let Γ be a linear continuous mapping from $L^1(I,X)$ to $C(I,X)$, then the operator*

$$\Gamma o S_F : C(I,X) \to BCC(C(I,X)) \text{ defined by } y \to (\Gamma o S_F)(y) := \Gamma(S_{F,y_t,y'})$$

is a closed graph operator.

Lemma 2.6. ([20]) *Let X be a locally convex space and $N_1 : X \to 2^X$ be a compact, convex, u.s.c. multi-valued map such that there exists a closed neighborhood U_p of 0 for which $N_1(U_p)$ is a relatively compact set for each neighborhood N_1. If the set*

$$\Omega := \left\{ y \in X : \lambda y \in N_1(y) \text{ for some } \lambda > 1 \right\}$$

is bounded, then N_1 has a fixed point.

3. Controllability Result

Now, we state and prove the main controllability result.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the hypotheses* (H1)–(H7) *are satisfied and the system* (1.1) *is controllable for x_0 and y_1 satisfying* (H1)–(H7). *Then the system* (1.1) *is controllable on J.*

Proof. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the space

$$Z = \left\{ y \in C([-r,m],E) : y|_{[0,m]} \in C^1([0,m],E) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|y\|_Z = \max\{\|y\|_{C([-r,m],E)}, \|y'\|_{C^1([0,m],E)}\}.$$

Using the hypothesis (H4) for $y \in Z$, we define the control formally as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= W^{-1}\Big[y_1 - C(m)\phi(0) - S(m)\big[x(0) - f(0,\phi)\big] \\ &\qquad - \int_0^m C(m-s) f(s, y_s) ds - \int_0^m S(m-s) v(s) ds \Big](t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Using the above control, define a multi-valued map $N_1 : Z \to 2^Z$ by

$$(N_1 y)(t) = \phi(t), \quad \text{for } -r \le t \le 0,$$

and for $m \ge t \ge 0$

$$N_1 y := \{h \in C(J,E) : h \text{ satisfies } (3.2)\},$$

where h is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= C(t)\phi(0) + S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \int_0^t C(t-s) f(s, y_s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t S(t-s) v(s) ds + \int_0^t S(t-\eta) B u(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here u is defined as in (3.1) and $v \in S_{F,y_t,y'}$. Our aim is to prove the existence of a fixed point for N_1. This fixed point will then be a solution of equation (2.1). Clearly $(N_1 y)(m) = y_1$ which means that the control u steers the system from initial state y_0 to y_1 in time m, provided we obtain a fixed point of the nonlinear operator N_1.

In order to obtain the fixed point of N_1, we need to verify the various conditions in Lemma 2.6.

Step 1: The set $\Omega := \{y \in Z : \lambda y \in N_1(y), \lambda > 1\}$ is bounded. To see this, let $y \in \Omega$. Then y has the representation for $t \ge 0$

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \lambda^{-1} h(t) = \lambda^{-1} C(t)\phi(0) + \lambda^{-1} S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^t C(t-s) f(s, y_s) ds + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^t S(t-s) v(s) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^t S(t-\eta) B u(\eta) d\eta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where u is defined as in (3.1). Then, it is easy to observe that y is a mild solution of the system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big[y'(t) - \lambda^{-1} f(t, y_t)\big] \in \lambda^{-1} A y(t) + \lambda^{-1} B u(t) + \lambda^{-1} F(t, y_t, y'(t)), \quad t \in J. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus we have to obtain bounds on y and y' independent of $\lambda > 1$ which will prove the boundedness of Ω.

Using the assumptions, it is easy to obtain positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3 depends on the initial values, m and bounds on the cosine and sine operators such that

$$|y(t)| \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t \|y_s\| ds + C_3 \int_0^t p(s)\Psi\big(\|y_s\| + |y'(s)|\big) ds, \text{ for all } -r \leq t \leq m.$$

Denoting by $v(t)$, the right-hand side of the above inequality, we get

$$\mu(t) \leq v(t).$$

Here the function μ is defined by

$$\mu(t) = \sup\big\{|y(s)| : -r \leq s \leq t\big\}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq m.$$

Further $v(0) = C_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &\leq C_2\mu(t) + C_3 p(t)\Psi(\mu(t) + |y'(t)|) \\ &\leq C_2 v(t) + C_3 p(t)\Psi(v(t) + |y'(t)|), \qquad t \in J_m. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= \lambda^{-1} AS\phi(0) + \lambda^{-1}C(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \lambda^{-1} f(t, y_t) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-1}\int_0^t AS(t-s) f(s, y_s) ds + \lambda^{-1}\int_0^t C(t-\eta) BW^{-1} \\ &\quad \Big[y_1 - C(m)\phi(0) - S(m)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] - \int_0^m C(m-s) f(s, y_s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^m S(m-s) v(s) ds\Big](\eta) d\eta + \lambda^{-1}\int_0^t C(t-s) v(s) ds, \quad t \in J_m. \end{aligned}$$

We can estimate y' in a similar fashion. There exist positive constants C_4,C_5, C_6, C_7 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |y'(t)| &\leq C_4 + C_5\|y_t\| + C_6\int_0^t \|y_s\| ds + C_7 \int_0^t p(s)\Psi(\|y_s\| + |y'(s)|) ds \\ &\leq C_4 + C_5\mu(t) + C_6\int_0^t \|y_s\| ds + C_7 \int_0^t p(s)\Psi(\|y_s\| + |y'(s)|) ds \\ &\leq C_4 + C_5 v(t) + C_6\int_0^t \|y_s\| ds + C_7 \int_0^t p(s)\Psi(\|y_s\| + |y'(s)|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by $r(t)$ the right-hand side of the above inequality , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|y'(t)| \leq r(t), \qquad t \in J_m, \\ &r(0) = C_4 + C_5 C_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r'(t) &\leq C_5 v'(t) + C_6\mu(t) + C_7 p(t)\Psi(\mu(t)) + |y'(t)|) \\ &\leq C_5 v'(t) + C_6 v(t) + C_7 p(t)\Psi(v(t) + r(t)) \\ &\leq (C_2C_5 + C_6) v(t) + (C_3C_5 + C_7) p(t)\Psi(v(t) + r(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained from the estimate of $v'(t)$. Let

$$w(t) = v(t) + r(t), \quad t \in J_m.$$

Then

$$c := w(0) = v(0) + r(0) = C_1 + C_4 + C_1C_5$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= v'(t) + r'(t) \\ &\leq (C_2 + C_2C_5 + C_6)v(t) + (C_3 + C_3C_5 + C_7)p(t)\Psi(v(t) + r(t)) \\ &= (C_2 + C_2C_5 + C_6)w(t) + (C_3 + C_3C_5 + C_7)p(t)\Psi(w(t)) \\ &\leq m(t)[w(t) + \Psi(w(t))], \end{aligned}$$

where $m(t) := \max\{C_2 + C_2C_5 + C_6, (C_3 + C_3C_5 + C_7)p(t)\}$. This implies that

$$\int_c^{w(t)} \frac{ds}{s + \Psi(s)} = \int_{w(0)}^{w(t)} \frac{ds}{s + \Psi(s)} \leq \int_0^m m(s)ds < \int_c^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s + \psi(s)},$$

where the last inequality follows from assumption (H7). This implies that there exists a constant L such that

$$w(t) = v(t) + r(t) \leq L, \quad t \in J_m.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t)\| &\leq v(t) \leq L, \qquad t \in J_m, \\ \|y'(t)\| &\leq r(t) \leq L, \qquad t \in J_m, \end{aligned}$$

and hence Ω is bounded.

Step 2: $N_1 y$ is convex for each $y \in Z$.

Indeed, if $h_1, h_2 \in N_1 y$ then there exist $v_1, v_2 \in S_{F_1,y_t,y'}$ such that for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t) &= C(t)\phi(0) + S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \int_0^t C(t-s)f(s,y_s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_i(s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-\eta)Bu(\eta]d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

were u is defined as in (3.1) with v replaced by v_i. Then it is an easy matter to see that, for $0 \leq k \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (kh_1 + (1-k)h_2)(t) &= C(t)\phi(0) + S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \int_0^t C(t-s)f(s,y_s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t S(t-s)(kv_1 + (1-k)v_2)(s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-\eta)Bu(\eta)d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where u is defined as in (3.1) with $v = kv_1 + (1-k)v_2$.

Since $S_{F,y_t,y'}$ is convex as F is convex, we have $v = kv_1 + (1-k)v_2 \in S_{F,y_t,y'}$ and hence $kh_1 + (1-k)h_2 \in N_1 y$.

Step 3: $N_1(U_q)$ is bounded in Z for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, where U_q is a neighborhood of 0 in Z.

We have to show that there exists a positive constant l such that for any $y \in U_q$ and $h \in N_1 y$ such that $\|h\|_Z \leq l$. In other words, we have to bound the sup-norm of both h and h'. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= C(t)\phi(0) + S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \int_0^t C(t-s)f(s,y_s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t S(t-s)v(s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-\eta)Bu(\eta]d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= AS(t)\phi(0) + C(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + f(t,y_t) + \int_0^t AS(t-s)f(s,y_s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t C(t-\eta)BW^{-1}\Big[y_1 - C(m)\phi(0) - S(m)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] \\ &\quad - \int_0^m C(b-s)f(s,y_s)ds - \int_0^m S(m-s)v(s)ds\Big](\eta)d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_0^t C(t-s)v(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

where u is defined as in (3.1) and $v \in S_{F,y_t,y'}$.

The assumptions will give uniform estimates for v and y which in turn can be used to obtain the required bounds for h and h' for every $y \in U_q$ and $h \in N_1 y$.

Step 4: $N_1(U_q)$ is equi-continuous, for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$. That is the family $\{h \in N_1 y : y \in U_q\}$ is equi-continuous.

Let $U_q = \{y \in Z, \|y\| \leq q\}$ for some $q \geq 1$. Let $y \in U_q$, $h \in N_1 y$ and $t_1, t_2 \in J_m$ such that $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t_1) - h(t_2)| &\leq \big|[C(t_1) - C(t_2)]\phi(0)\big| + \big|[S(t_1) - S(t_2)][x_0 - f(0,\phi)]\big| \\ &+ \Big|\int_0^{t_1} [C(t_1 - s) - C(t_2 - s)]f(s,y_s)ds\Big| + \Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(t_2 - s)f(s,y_s)ds\Big| \\ &+ \Big|\int_0^{t_1} [S(t_1 - \eta) - S(t_2 - \eta)]BW^{-1}\Big[y_1 - C(m)\phi(0) - S(m)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] \\ &- \int_0^m C(m-s)f(s,y_s)ds + \int_0^m S(m-s)v(s)\Big](\eta)d\eta\Big| \\ &+ \Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} S(t_2 - \eta)BW^{-1}\Big[y_1 - C(m)\phi(0) - S(m)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] \\ &- \int_0^m C(m-s)f(s,y_s)ds + \int_0^m S(m-s)v(s)ds\Big](\eta)d\eta\Big| \\ &+ \Big|\int_0^{t_1} [S(t_1 - s) - S(t_2 - s)]v(s)ds\Big| + \Big|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} S(t_2 - s)v(s)ds\Big|. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the bounds on y, v and the given assumptions, by a routine calculation, we obtain a positive constant $L > 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|h(t_1) - h(t_2)| \quad \leq \quad & L\{|C(t_1) - C(t_2)| + |S(t_1) - S(t_2)|\} \\
& + L\left\{\int_0^{t_1} |C(t_1 - s) - C(t_2 - s)|ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |C(t_2 - s)|ds\right\} \\
& + L\left\{\int_0^{t_1} |S(t_1 - s) - S(t_2 - s)|ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |S(t_2 - s)|ds\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In an analogous way, one can also obtain a similar estimate for $|h'(t_1) - h'(t_2)|$.

Note that $C(t)$ and $S(t)$ are uniformly continuous in the uniform operator topology. Thus the above estimates implies the required equi-continuity. This also proves the relative compactness of $N_1(U_q)$. Now it remains to prove the u.s.c. of N_1. By our discussion in Section1, it is enough to prove that N_1 has a closed graph. We do this in the next step using Lemma 2.5.

Step 5: Let $h_n \in N_1 y_n$ and $h_n \longrightarrow h^*$, $y_n \longrightarrow y^*$. We must show that $h^* \in N_1 y^*$. By definition, there exists $v_n \in S_{F,y_{nt},y'_n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_n(t) \quad = \quad & C(t)\phi(0) + S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \int_0^t C(t-s)f(s, y_{ns})ds \\
& + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_n(s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-\eta)Bu_n(\eta)d\eta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}
$$

where u_n is defined as in (3.1), where y is replaced by y_n. The difficulty is that we do not have the convergence of v_n and hence that of u_n. In fact, we cannot expect the convergence of v_n and the existence of v^* (to be defined later) has to be achieved by a suitable selection. First, we separate the part of v_n from u_n. Write $u_n = \bar{u}_n + \tilde{u}_n$, where

$$
\bar{u}_n(t) = W^{-1}\left[y_1 - C(m)\phi(0) - S(m)\big[x(0) - f(0,\phi)\big] - \int_0^m C(m-s)f(s, y_{ns})ds\right](t)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{u}_n(t) = -W^{-1}\left[\int_0^m S(m-s)v_n(s)ds\right](t).
$$

Thus, we get from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}_n(t) \quad := \quad & h_n(t) - C(t)\phi(0) - S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] \\
& - \int_0^t C(t-s)f(s, y_{ns})ds - \int_0^t S(t-\eta)B\bar{u}_n(\eta)d\eta \\
= \quad & \int_0^t S(t-\eta)B\tilde{u}_n(\eta)d\eta + \int_0^t S(t-s)v_n(s)ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}
$$

Note that the LHS of the above equation do not contain v_n. In order to apply Lemma 2.5, define $\Gamma : L^1(J_m, E) \to C(J_m, E)$ by

$$\Gamma(v)(t) := -\int_0^t S(t-s)BW^{-1}\left[\int_0^m S(m-\eta)v(\eta)d\eta\right](s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)v(s)ds.$$

Then $\tilde{h}_n(t) \in \Gamma(S_{F,y_{nt},y'_n})$ and since h_n and y_n converges, we deduce that $\tilde{h}_n$ also converges to $\tilde{h}^*$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}^*(t) \quad &:= \quad h^*(t) - C(t)\phi(0) - S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] - \int_0^t C(t-s)f(s, y_s^*)ds \\ &\qquad - \int_0^t S(t-\eta)B\bar{u}(\eta)d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{u}$ has the same definition as $\bar{u}_n$ with y_n replaced by y^*. Finally from Lemma 2.5, there exists $v^* \in \Gamma(S_{F,y_t^*,y^{*\prime}})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(t) \quad &= \quad C(t)\phi(0) + S(t)[x_0 - f(0,\phi)] + \int_0^t C(t-s)f(s, y_s^*)ds \\ &\qquad + \int_0^t S(t-s)v^*(s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-\eta)Bu^*(\eta)d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where u^* is defined as in (3.1), where y is replaced by y^*. Observe that we do not claim the convergence of u_n to u^* and v_n to v^*.

This shows that N_1 has a closed graph. As a consequence of Lemma 2.6, we deduce that N_1 has a fixed point in Z. Thus, system (1.1) is controllable on J and this completes the proof of the main theorem. □

4. Example

Consider the following second order partial differential inclusion:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\dfrac{\partial x}{\partial t}(y,t) - f(t, x_t)\right) \in x_{yy}(y,t) + u(y,t) + F(t, x_t, \dfrac{\partial x}{\partial t}(y,t)), \\ x(0,t) = x(\pi,t) = 0, \qquad \text{for } t > 0, \\ x(y,t) = \phi(y,t), \qquad \text{for } -r \le t \le 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial x}{\partial t}(y,0) = y_0(x), \quad \text{for } t \in J = [0,+\infty),\ 0 < x < \pi. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Here one can take arbitrary non linear functions f and F satisfying the assumptions (H5)-(H7). Let $E = L^2[0,\pi]$ and $C_r = C([-r,0],E)$ be as in Section 1. We use the same notations. Then, for example, one can take $f : J \times C_r \longrightarrow E$ defined by

$$f(t,\phi)(y) = \eta(t, \phi(y-r)), \quad \phi \in C_r,\ y \in (0,\pi),$$

and $F : J \times C_r \times E \longrightarrow 2^E$ be defined by

$$F(t,\phi,w)(y) = \sigma(t, \phi(y-r), w(y)), \quad \phi \in C_r,\ w \in E,\ y \in (0,\pi),$$

with appropriate conditions on η and σ.

Now $u : (0, \pi) \times J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in t which is the control function. Define $A : E \longrightarrow E$ by

$$Aw = w'', \quad w \in D(A),$$

where

$$D(A) = \Big\{ w \in E : w, w' \text{ are absolutely continuous, } w'' \in E, w(0) = w(\pi) = 0 \Big\}.$$

Then A has the spectral representation

$$Aw = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} -n^2 (w, w_n) w_n, \quad w \in D(A),$$

where $w_n(s) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin ns, n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ is the orthogonal set of eigenfunctions of A. Further, it can be shown that A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $C(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, defined on E which is given by

$$C(t)w = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \cos nt (w, w_n) w_n, \quad w \in E.$$

The associated sine family is given by

$$S(t)w = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin nt (w, w_n) w_n, \quad w \in E.$$

The control operator $B : L^2(J, E) \longrightarrow E$ is defined by

$$(Bu)(t)(y) = u(y, t), \quad y \in (0, \pi),$$

which satisfies the condition $(H4)$. Now the PDE (4.1) can be represented in form (1.1). Hence, by Section 3, the system (4.1) controllable.

References

[1] K. Balachandran and S. Marshal Anthoni, *Controllability of second order delay integro-differential systems in Banach spaces*, Nihonkai Math. J. **10** (1999), 87-99.

[2] K. Balachandran and S. Marshal Anthoni, *Controllability of second order ordinary differential systems in Banach spaces*, J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal. **12** (1999), 265-277.

[3] K. Balachandran and S. Marshal Anthoni, *Controllability of second order semilinear delay integro-differential systems in Banach spaces*, Libertas Math. **20** (2000), 78-88.

[4] K. Balachandran and S. Marshal Anthoni, *Controllability of second order semilinear neutral functional differential systems in Banach spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **41** (2001), 1223-1235.

[5] K. Balachandran, J.Y. Park and S. Marshal Anthoni, *Controllability of second order semilinear Volterra integro-differential systems in Banach spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (1998), 1-13.

[6] J. Ball, *Initial boundary value problems for an extensible beam*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **42** (1973), 61-90.

[7] M. Benchohra, L. Gorniewicz and S.K. Ntouyas, *Controllability on infinite time horizon for first and second order functional differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, Discuss. Math. Differ. Incl. Control Optim. **21** (2001), 261-282.

[8] M. Benchohra and S.K. Ntouyas, *Existence of mild solutions on noncompact intervals to second order initial value problems for a class of differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **39** (2000), 11-18.

[9] M. Benchohra and S.K. Ntouyas, *Controllability of functional differential and integro-differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **13** (2002), 449-472.

[10] M. Benchohra and S.K. Ntouyas, *Controllability results for mutivalued semilinear neutral functional differential equation*, Math. Sci. Res. J. **6** (2002), 65-77.

[11] M. Benchohra and S.K. Ntouyas, *Existence and controllability results for nonlinear differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions in Banach spaces*, J. Appl. Anal. **8** (2002), 33-48.

[12] J. Bochenek, *An abstract nonlinear second order differential equation*, Ann. Polon. Math. **54** (1991), 155-166.

[13] L. Byszewski, *Existence and uniqueness of solution of semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Math. **18** (1993), 109-112.

[14] K. Deimling, *Multivalued Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.

[15] J. Dugunji and A. Granas, *Fixed Point Theory*, Monogr. Mat. **61** PWN., Warsaw, 1982.

[16] W.E. Fitzgibbon, *Global existence and boundedness of solutions to the extensible beam equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), 739-745.

[17] J.A. Goldstein, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications*, Oxford Math. Monogr., Oxford Univ. Press, New-York, 1985.

[18] Sh. Hu and N.S. Papageogiou, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997.

[19] A. Lasota and Z. Opial, *An application of the Kakutani-Ky-Fan theorem in the theory of ordinary differential equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **13** (1965), 781-786.

[20] T.W. Ma, *Topological degrees for set-valued compact vector fields in locally convex spaces*, Dissertationes Math. **92** (1972), 1-43.

[21] S.K. Ntouyas and P.Ch. Tsamatos, *Global existence of semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **210** (1996), 679-687.

[22] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1983.

[23] M.D. Quinn and N. Carmichael, *An introduction to nonlinear control problems using fixed point methods, degree theory and pseudo-inverse*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **7** (1984/1985), 197-219.

[24] H. Schaefer, *Über die methode der a priori-Schranken*, Math. Ann. **129** (1955), 415-416.

[25] C.C. Travis and G.F. Webb, *Cosine families and abstract nonlinear second order differential equations*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **32** (1978), 75-96.

[26] C.C. Travis and G.F. Webb, *Second order differential equations in Banach spaces*, in: Nonlinear Equations in Abstract Spaces, Proc. Internat. Sympos. (Univ. Texas, Arlington, TX, 1977), Academic Press, New-York, 1978, 331-361.

[27] K. Yoshida, *Functional Analysis*, 6th Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

Acknowledgment

The second author is thankful to S.V.I.T.- Vasad, INDIA, for supporting this work.

Raju K. George
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Delaware
Delaware 19716
U.S.A.
e-mail: george@math.udel.edu

Dimplekumar N. Chalishajar
Department of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Tech. & Eng.
M.S. University of Baroda
Vadodara 390001
India
e-mail: dipu17370@yahoo.com

Akambadath K. Nandakumaran
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore 560012
India
e-mail: nands@math.iisc.ernet.in

Received: May 14, 2004
Accepted: September 22, 2004