

1 Recap

1. Proved that any solution to SL has only finitely many zeroes.
2. Defined the Prüfer substitution (using path lifting) and derived ODE for θ, r . The ODE for r was incorrect. The point however is that the boundary values for SL correspond to boundary values for θ . Proved that there exists a unique solution for some short period of time.

2 Sturm-Liouville theory

$$\begin{aligned}\theta' &= (\lambda\rho - q) \sin^2 \theta + \frac{1}{p} \cos^2(\theta) = F(t, \theta), \\ r' &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - (\lambda\rho - q) \right) r \sin(2\theta).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

$$r = r(a) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_a^t \left(\frac{1}{p(s)} - (\lambda\rho - q)(s) \right) \sin(2\theta(s)) ds \right).$$

Note that changing $r(a)$ only scales u by a constant factor. Hence the zeroes of u can be located by studying θ .

Since the right-hand-side is bounded, θ' is bounded and hence θ stays bounded in the maximal interval. Thus the maximal interval is $[a, b]$.

Zeroethly, note the following observations.

1. If θ is a solution, then so is $-\theta$ (with the same eigenvalue). Hence, $\theta(a)$ can be assumed to be ≥ 0 . Moreover, by subtracting enough multiples of π , $\theta(a) \in [0, \pi)$.
2. To find zeroes, simply find those values of t for which $\theta(t) = n\pi$.
3. Let $n \geq 0$. If there is a t_n so that $\theta(t_n) = n\pi$, then $\theta'(t_n) > 0$ and hence $\theta(t) > n\pi$ for $t > t_n$ and sufficiently close to t_n . In fact, if there is a $t > t_n$ such that $\theta(t) = n\pi$, then θ' at that point is ≤ 0 which is a contradiction. Thus, $\theta > 0$ on $[a, b]$.

The point is the following oscillation theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $\theta(t, \lambda)$ be a solution of the above ODE with $\theta(a, \lambda) = \gamma \in [0, \pi)$. Then θ is continuous and it is strictly increasing in λ . Moreover, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t, \lambda) = \infty$ and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \theta(t, \lambda) = 0$ for any $t \in (a, b]$.*

Given this theorem, let us prove the main theorem of SL: The boundary condition on b can be stated as $\theta(b, \lambda) = \delta + n\pi$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ provided δ satisfies $\beta_1 \sin(\delta) + \beta_2 \frac{\cos(\delta)}{p(b)} = 0$. There is of course a unique $\delta \in (0, \pi]$ satisfying it. For this value of δ , by the theorem above and the intermediate value theorem, there is a unique λ such that $\delta = \theta(b, \lambda)$. Call this λ_0 . (Note that u_0 does not vanish on (a, b) .) Likewise, we can produce λ_1, \dots which form an increasing sequence. Since $\delta_n \rightarrow \infty$, so does λ_n . Why does the statement about zeroes follow? \square

Now we shall prove the oscillation theorem. We first prove that θ is strictly increasing in λ . Note that $\theta' = (\lambda\rho - q) \sin^2(\theta) + \frac{\cos^2(\theta)}{p}$. Now since the right-hand-side is C^1 in λ , $\theta(t, \lambda)$ is differentiable with respect to λ and the derivative is (jointly) continuous (and $\theta(t, \lambda)$ is continuous jointly). We differentiate the equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \lambda} \right)' &= \rho \sin^2(\theta) + (\lambda\rho - q) \sin(2\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \lambda} - \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{p} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \lambda} \\ &= \rho \sin^2(\theta) + \sin(2\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \lambda} \left(\lambda\rho - q - \frac{1}{p} \right) \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \lambda}(a, \lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Thus using an integrating factor, we can solve for $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \lambda}$ and see that it is > 0 . Hence θ is strictly increasing in λ .

Now note that for λ large enough, $\theta' \geq \frac{\lambda \sin^2(\theta) + 1}{C}$ (why?) This means that θ is strictly increasing. If $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t, \lambda) = L < \infty$ for some $t = t_0$, then the limit is $\leq L$ for all $t \in (a, t_0]$. Thus there are $N + 1$ zeroes of $\sin^2(\theta)$ in $[a, t_0]$. Therefore, for at least an interval $[v, w]$ of size $\frac{t_0 - a}{N + 3}$, we know that $k\pi \leq \theta \leq (k + 1)\pi$. On this interval of t , $\int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\lambda \sin^2(\theta) + 1} \geq \frac{w - v}{C}$. Upon explicit integration (by taking $t = \tan(\theta)$ for instance), we arrive at a contradiction.