

# 1 Recap

1. Proved that there is a solution to the equation for  $\theta$  on  $[a, b]$ .
2. Also proved that if the oscillation theorem

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  be a solution of the above ODE with  $\theta(a, \lambda) = \gamma \in [0, \pi)$ . Then  $\theta$  is continuous and it is strictly increasing in  $\lambda$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t, \lambda) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \theta(t, \lambda) = 0$  for any  $t \in (a, b]$ .

is true, then the SL theorem can be proven.

3. Started the oscillation theorem. Were at this point: Note that for  $\lambda$  large enough,  $\theta' \geq \frac{\lambda \sin^2(\theta) + 1}{C}$  (why?) This means that  $\theta$  is strictly increasing. If  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t, \lambda) = L < \infty$  for some  $t = t_0$ , then the limit is  $\leq L$  for all  $t \in (a, t_0]$ .

# 2 Sturm-Liouville theory

Continuing with the first limit's proof, note that  $\int_{\gamma}^L \frac{d\theta}{\lambda \sin^2(\theta) + 1} \geq \frac{t_0 - a}{C}$ . Now we notice that the LHS  $\leq \int_0^{N\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\lambda \sin^2(\theta) + 1}$  for some  $N$ . Moreover, that is  $\leq N \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\lambda \sin^2(\theta) + 1}$ . Now take  $u = \tan(\theta)$ . Then the LHS  $\leq 2N \int_0^{\infty} \frac{du}{1 + (1 + \lambda)u^2} = \frac{\pi N}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda}} \rightarrow 0$ . This is a contradiction!

A small digression: We already know that regardless of  $\lambda$ , if  $\theta(t_n) = n\pi$ , then for all  $t > t_n$ ,  $\theta(t) > n\pi$ . This means that the  $n$ th zero of  $u$  occurs when  $t = n\pi$ . Note that when  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , if there is a sequence  $\lambda_k$  such  $\lim_k t_n(\lambda_k) > a$ , then again the above argument applies to arrive at a contradiction. This means that  $t_n(\lambda) \rightarrow a$  when  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we prove the second limit. We already know that  $\theta > 0$  on  $(a, b]$ . Fix  $t = t_1$ . Suppose given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , we produce a  $\lambda$  such that  $\theta(t_1, \lambda) < \epsilon$ , we are done. To this end, (by shrinking  $\epsilon$  if necessary), assume that there is a  $\max(\gamma, \epsilon) < \gamma_1 \leq \pi - \epsilon$ . Now consider a straight line  $s(t)$  joining  $(a, \gamma_1)$  and  $(t_1, \epsilon)$  (with negative slope  $m$ ). Note that if for some  $\lambda$ , the graph of  $\theta(t, \lambda)$  lies below  $s(t)$ , then  $\theta(t_1, \lambda) < s(t_1) = \epsilon$  and we are done. It is easy to see that  $\theta$  lies below the straight line for some  $[a, a_1]$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there is a  $t$  so that  $\theta(t, \lambda) > s(t)$ . Then choosing the smallest such  $t = t_*$ ,  $\theta(t_*, \lambda) = s(t_*)$  and  $\theta'(t_*, \lambda) \geq m$ . Since  $\theta(t_*) = s(t_*) = \gamma_1 + m(t_* - a)$ , substituting for  $m$  and the upper bound for  $\gamma_1$  we see that  $\theta(t_*, \lambda) \in [\epsilon, \pi - \epsilon]$ . Continued....