

# 1 Recap

1. Chetaev and examples.

## 2 Instability

Examples:

1. Consider  $x' = -2y + yz, y' = x - xz, z' = xy$ . The origin is an equilibrium. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian at the origin are  $0, \pm 2i$  and hence Perron is not applicable. Consider  $V = c_1x^2 + c_2y^2 + c_3z^2$ . Then if  $c_1 = c_3 > 0$  and  $c_2 = 2c_1$ ,  $V(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $\nabla V \cdot \vec{F} = 0$ . Thus the orbits lie on ellipsoids (and are stable but not asymptotically stable). The Liapunov theorem is not applicable here! The reason is that the equilibria are:  $(0, 0, z)$ ,  $(0, y, 2)$ , and  $(x, 0, 1)$ , which are not isolated!
2. (Mistake in Nandakumaran's book corrected):  $x' = -2y + yz - x^3, y' = x - xz - y^3, z' = xy - z^3$ . The only equilibrium is the origin (indeed compare signs of  $x, y$ ). Perron is not applicable (same Jacobian as the previous example). Take  $V = x^2 + 2y^2 + z^2$ . Then  $\nabla V \cdot \vec{F} < 0$  away from the origin. Thus the origin is asymptotically stable.
3. Consider  $x' = -2y + 2yz - x^3, y' = x - xz - y^3, z' = x^2z - z^3$ . The origin is an isolated equilibrium (because the other equilibria arise as zeroes of one-variable polynomials). The Jacobian matrix again has the same eigenvalues as the previous example. This time  $V = x^2 + 2y^2 + z^2$  works to prove asymptotic stability because  $\nabla V \cdot \vec{F} < 0$ .
4.  $x' = x^2 + 2y^5, y' = xy^2$  has the origin as the only equilibrium. The linearisation is 0. Now consider  $V = x^2 - y^4$  (which is 0 at the origin). Now  $\nabla V \cdot \vec{F} = (2x, -4y^3) \cdot (x^2 + 2y^5, xy^2) = 2x^3 + 4xy^5 - 4xy^5 = 2x^3 > 0$  when  $x > 0$ . Now  $V > 0$  when  $x^2 > y^4 \geq 0$ . Unfortunately, Chetaev does not help directly here. So we go over the proof of it. Consider  $(x_0, y_0)$  such that  $V(x_0, y_0) > 0, x_0 > 0$ . Now either the solution does not exist for all time for a sequence of  $(x_0, y_0)$  approaching zero and hence the origin is unstable or it does exist for all time for  $(x_0, y_0)$  lying in a neighbourhood of the origin. Assume the latter. Then  $\frac{dV}{dt} > 0$  as long as  $x > 0$ . The first time  $x$  becomes 0, we see that  $V = -y^4 < 0$  and that is a problem because  $V \geq V(t=0)$  by monotonicity until that time. Hence  $x > 0$  for all time and hence  $\frac{dV}{dt} > 0$ . Now  $x^2 \geq y^4 + V(x_0, y_0)$  and hence  $\frac{dV}{dt} \geq c > 0$  and  $V \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the origin is unstable.

## 3 Invariant sets and manifolds

A set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be invariant under  $x' = f(x)$  if for any  $x_0 \in S, x(t) \in S \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$  and positively invariant if  $x(t) \in S \forall t \in [0, \infty)$ .

Sometimes these sets can possess more structure. Here are some examples.

1.  $x' = x, y' = -y + x^2$ . The only equilibrium is the origin. The linearisation has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . So the stable subspace and unstable subspace each have dimension 1. We can actually explicitly solve this system to get  $x = x_0 e^t, y = y_0 e^{-t} + \frac{x_0^2 e^{-t}(e^{3t}-1)}{3}$ . Eliminating  $t, x(y - x^2/3) = x_0(y_0 - x_0^2/3)$ . These are invariant subsets of the ODE. Moreover, if  $x_0 = 0, x = 0$  and  $y \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This set is called a "stable manifold" (what is a manifold? Whatever it is, it is a generalisation of a "smooth regular" surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is supposed to be a nonlinear generalisation of a vector space.) If  $y_0 = \frac{x_0^2}{3}$ , then  $y = \frac{x^2}{3}$  is an invariant subset. Moreover, every point on this subset goes to  $\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (actually, more precisely it goes to 0 as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ).
2. To be continued....