

## NOTES FOR 18 SEPT (THURSDAY)

### 1. RECAP

- (1) Statement of the Hodge theorem and proof in the case of the torus.
- (2) Poincaré duality and the Kunneth formula.

### 2. SOBOLEV SPACES ON GENERAL MANIFOLDS

The theory of Sobolev spaces, Sobolev embedding, etc goes over to general manifolds. We will focus on that now.

There are many ways of defining  $H^s(M, E)$  :

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose  $(E, h, \nabla)$  is a vector bundle with metric and connection on a compact oriented  $(M, g)$  and  $s \geq 0$  is an integer. Suppose  $t$  is a smooth section of  $E$ . Define  $\|t\|_{H^s}^2 = \int_M (|t|^2 + |\nabla t|^2 + \dots + |\nabla^s t|^2) \text{vol}_g$ . Define  $H_{\nabla, h, g}^s$  to be the completion of this space (in the metric space sense). Concretely,  $H^s$  consists of  $L^2$  sections  $t$  such that there exist smooth sections  $t_n \rightarrow t$  in  $L^2$  and  $t_n$  form a Cauchy sequence in the  $H^s$  norm.

The claim is that these spaces are equivalent. Indeed,

**Lemma 2.2.** *The Sobolev norms are equivalent (on smooth sections) for different  $h, \nabla, g$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose we choose  $h_1, \nabla_1, g_1, h_2, \nabla_2, g_2$ . First of all, it is easy to see that there exists a positive finite constant  $C$  so that  $\frac{1}{C}h_1 \leq h_2 \leq Ch_1$ ,  $\frac{1}{C}g_1 \leq g_2 \leq Cg_1$  where the inequalities are in the sense of positive-definite matrices. Now  $\nabla_1 = \nabla_2 + B$  where  $B$  is an endomorphism-valued 1-form of  $E$ . Let  $|B|_1, |B|_2 \leq C$ . Now  $\frac{1}{C^2}|\nabla_1 t|_{h_2 \otimes g_2}^2 \leq |\nabla_1 t|_{h_1 \otimes g_1}^2 \leq C^2 |\nabla_1 t|_{h_2 \otimes g_2}^2$ . Now  $|\nabla_1 t|_{h_2 \otimes g_2} \leq |\nabla_2 t|_2 + C|t|_2$ . Moreover,  $|\nabla_2 t|_2 \leq |\nabla_1 t|_2 + C|t|_2$ . Hence,  $\frac{1}{K}(|t|_2^2 + |\nabla_2 t|_2^2) \leq |t|_2^2 + |\nabla_1 t|_2^2 \leq K(|t|_2^2 + |\nabla_2 t|_2^2)$ . By induction, we can show this for all derivatives.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Note that the above proof works even for open subsets  $U$  of a compact manifold  $M$ .

To make another definition, we need a lemma :

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $\vec{s} : U \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$  is in  $L_{loc}^1$  and weakly differentiable with weak derivatives  $\partial_i \vec{s} = \vec{t}_i$ , then for any smooth functions  $g : U \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{R})$ , diffeomorphisms  $y(x) : U \rightarrow U$ , the function  $\vec{\tilde{s}} = g\vec{s}$  is weakly differentiable with weak derivative  $\frac{\partial \vec{\tilde{s}}}{\partial y^i} = \frac{\partial g(x(y))}{\partial y^i} g^{-1} \vec{s} + g \vec{t}_j \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}$ . (Note that this coincides with what we expect if  $\vec{s}$  is smooth.)*

*Proof.* Indeed, if  $\vec{\phi}$  is a smooth function with compact support in  $U$ , then

$$\int_U \left( \left\langle \frac{\partial g(x(y))}{\partial y^i} g^{-1} \vec{s} + g \vec{t}_j \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}, \vec{\phi} \right\rangle dy \right) = \int_U \left( \left\langle \frac{\partial g(x(y))}{\partial y^i} g^{-1} \vec{s}, \vec{\phi} \right\rangle + \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \langle \vec{t}_j, g^T \vec{\phi} \rangle \right) dy$$

Now use the definition of the weak derivative (and the usual rules for differentiation applied to smooth sections) to be done.  $\square$

This shows that the notion of weak differentiability of an  $L_{loc}^1$  section of a vector bundle is well-defined in terms of coordinates and trivialisations.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose  $(E, \nabla, h)$  is a bundle with a metric and a compatible connection on  $(M, g)$  where  $M$  is any orientable manifold (not necessarily compact). Let  $s \in L^1_{loc}(M)$  be a weakly differentiable section. Then the weak derivative  $\nabla s$  is well-defined as an  $L^1_{loc}$  section of  $T^*M \otimes E$  and satisfies  $(\nabla s, \phi)_{L^2} = (s, \nabla^\dagger \phi)_{L^2}$  where  $\phi$  is any compactly supported smooth section on  $M$  and  $\nabla^\dagger$  is given by the same formula as before. Conversely, if this property is satisfied, then  $s$  is weakly differentiable (in the sense defined before).*

*Proof.* Define  $\nabla s$  locally as  $\frac{\partial s_\alpha}{\partial x^i} dx^i + A_\alpha \vec{s}_\alpha$  where the derivatives are weak derivatives. From the previous lemma it is easily seen that it transforms like a section of  $T^*M \otimes E$ .

Suppose we cover  $M$  by a locally-finite cover  $U_\alpha$  of charts which are also trivialising neighbourhoods, and we let  $\rho_\beta$  be a partition-of-unity subordinate to it (Note that  $\rho_\beta$  has compact support in some  $U_\beta$  but the indexing set need not be the same.) Then  $(\nabla s, \phi) = \sum_\beta (\nabla s, \rho_\beta \phi)$  (the sum is finite because  $\phi$  has compact support). Now  $(\nabla s, \phi) = -\sum_\beta (s, d^\dagger(\rho_\beta \phi)) + \sum_\beta (s, A^\dagger \rho_\beta \phi) = -\sum_\beta (s, \nabla^\dagger(\rho_\beta \phi)) = -\sum_\beta (s, \nabla^\dagger \phi)$  (where we used the property that  $\nabla^\dagger$  is a first order differential operator and  $d(\sum \rho_\beta) = 0$ ).

The converse part follows by taking  $\phi$  to be supported in a coordinate trivialising open set.  $\square$

Now we define the Sobolev space in another way.

**Definition 2.6.** Suppose  $(E, \nabla, h)$  is a bundle with a metric and a compatible connection on a compact oriented  $(M, g)$ . Let  $s \geq 0$  be an integer. Then the space  $\tilde{H}^s_{\nabla, h, g}$  consists of  $s$  times weakly differentiable sections  $\in L^2$  with inner product  $(a, b) = \int \langle a, b \rangle \text{vol}_g + \langle \nabla a, \nabla b \rangle \text{vol}_g + \dots$  where the derivatives are weak derivatives.

**Lemma 2.7.**  $\tilde{H}^s_{\nabla, h, g}$  is a Hilbert space and smooth sections are dense in it. Hence it coincides with  $H^s_{\nabla, h, g}$ .

*Proof.* Hilbert space : If  $f_n$  is a Cauchy sequence, then  $\rho f_n$  is also a Cauchy sequence for any smooth function  $\rho$ . Assume that  $\rho$  is compactly supported in a coordinate trivialising neighbourhood  $U$ . Thus  $\rho f_n$  can be extended smoothly to  $S^1 \times S^1 \dots$  (by simply taking a large cube in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  containing its support and periodically extending it). Moreover, it is also clear that  $\rho f_n$  is Cauchy in  $H^s(S^1 \times S^1 \dots)$ . Hence,  $\rho f_n \rightarrow u$  for some  $u \in H^s(S^1 \times S^1 \dots)$ . This function  $u$  has support in the previously chosen large rectangle and hence can be extended to all of  $M$ . Moreover, since the Sobolev norms are equivalent, this convergence happens in  $H^s_{\nabla, h, g}$ .  $f_n = \sum \rho_\alpha f_n \rightarrow \sum u_\alpha$  in  $H^s$  where  $\rho_\alpha$  is a partition-of-unity.

Smooth functions are dense : Suppose  $\rho_\alpha \geq 0$  is such that  $\sum \rho_\alpha^2 = 1$  and these are subordinate to a finite trivialising coordinate cover  $U_\alpha$ . Suppose  $f \in H^s_{\nabla, h, g}$ . Then there are sequences of smooth functions  $f_{n, \alpha} \rightarrow \rho_\alpha f$  in  $H^s(S^1 \times S^1 \dots)$ . Now  $\rho_\alpha f_{n, \alpha}$  is well-defined on  $M$ . Moreover,  $\|\sum \rho_\alpha f_{n, \alpha} - \rho_\alpha \rho_\alpha f\|_{H^s_{\nabla, h, g}} \leq C \sum_\alpha \|f_{n, \alpha} - \rho_\alpha \rho_\alpha f\|_{H^s(S^1 \times S^1 \dots)} \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

There is yet another way to define the Sobolev space.

**Definition 2.8.** Choose a finite cover of trivialising coordinate neighbourhoods  $(U_\alpha, x^i_\alpha, e_{j, \alpha})$  and a partition-of-unity subordinate to it. The space  $H^s$  is the space of all  $L^1_{loc}$  sections  $a$  such that  $\|a\|^2 = \|\rho_\alpha \vec{a}_\alpha\|_{H^s(S^1 \times S^1 \dots)}^2 < \infty$ . The inner product between  $a$  and  $b$  is  $\sum_\alpha (\rho_\alpha \vec{a}_\alpha, \rho_\alpha \vec{b}_\alpha)_{H^s}$

**Lemma 2.9.** (Exercise) The space  $H^s$  is well-defined independent of choices. It is a Hilbert space and smooth sections are dense in it. On smooth functions the  $H^s$  norm is equivalent to the  $H^s_{\nabla, h, g}$  norm with respect to any connection and hence it is homeomorphically isomorphic to  $H^s_{\nabla, h, g}$ .