

## NOTES FOR 19 AUG (TUESDAY)

### 1. RECAP

- (1) Proved Sobolev embedding and compactness
- (2) Defined elliptic operators.

### 2. CONSTANT-COEFFICIENT ELLIPTIC OPERATORS ON THE TORUS

**Definition 2.1.** A linear differential operator  $L$  with constant coefficients on the torus is said to be elliptic <sup>1</sup> if the principal symbol  $\sigma_{\vec{k}}$  is invertible for all  $|\vec{k}| \neq 0$ .

Assume that  $L$  is elliptic. Because the  $A$  are constants, there exist constants (called the ellipticity constants)  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  such that  $\delta_2 \|\vec{k}\|^l \|\vec{v}\| \geq \|[\sigma_{\vec{k}}][\vec{v}]\| \geq \delta_1 \|\vec{k}\|^l \|\vec{v}\|$  for all  $\mu \times 1$  column vectors  $\vec{v}$ .

Even for elliptic operators, the above equation for Fourier coefficients cannot always be inverted. However, for sufficiently large  $|\vec{k}|$ , it can be inverted to produce an “approximate” solution  $\vec{u}_{app}$  whose Fourier coefficients are 0 for  $|\vec{k}| \leq N$  and  $\widehat{\vec{u}_{app}}(\vec{k}) = \hat{L}_{\vec{k}}^{-1} \hat{f}(\vec{k})$ . We claim that

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $\vec{f}$  is in  $H^s$  and  $L$  is elliptic, then*

- (1)  $\vec{u}_{app}$  is in  $H^{s+l}$ .
- (2) The map  $G : H^s \rightarrow H^{s+l}$  given by  $G(f) = \vec{u}_{app}$  is a bounded linear map (with the bound depending on the ellipticity constants,  $s, l$ , and coefficients of the lower order terms).
- (3)  $L \circ G - I : H^s \rightarrow H^s$  and  $G \circ L - I : H^{s+l} \rightarrow H^{s+l}$  are compact operators. (In simple english,  $G$  is an “almost” inverse of  $L$ . It is called a parametrix for  $L$ .)
- (4) If  $\vec{u} \in H^{s+l}$  satisfies  $L(\vec{u}) = \vec{f}$ , then  $\|u\|_{H^{s+l}} \leq C(\|f\|_{H^s} + \|u\|_{L^2})$  where  $C$  depends only on the ellipticity constants,  $s, l$ , and bounds on the other coefficients (the lower order terms).

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $|\widehat{\vec{u}_{app}}(\vec{k})| \leq C \frac{\|\hat{f}(\vec{k})\|}{\|\vec{k}\|^l}$  if  $|\vec{k}| \geq N$  where  $N$  is sufficiently (depending on the ellipticity constants and the coefficients of the lower order terms) large. Indeed, the magnitude of the lower order terms is less than  $C(\|\vec{k}\|^{l-1} + \|\vec{k}\|^{l-2} + \dots \leq C\|\vec{k}\|^{l-1})$  if  $\|\vec{k}\| > 1$ . Now  $\|[\sigma_{\vec{k}} + \text{lower}][\vec{v}]\| \geq (\delta_1 \|\vec{k}\|^l - C\|\vec{k}\|^{l-1})\|\vec{v}\|$ . Of course if  $|\vec{k}| \geq N$  is large, then  $\|\hat{L}[\vec{v}]\| \geq c\|\vec{v}\|$  where  $c > 0$ . Hence  $\|\hat{L}^{-1}[\vec{v}]\| \leq C\|\vec{k}\|^{-l}\|\vec{v}\|$  for large  $N$ .

The above easily implies that  $\vec{u}_{app} \in H^{s+l}$ . Moreover,  $\|\vec{u}_{app}\|_{H^{s+l}} \leq C\|f\|_{H^s}$ .

- (2) The last inequality implies this result.

- (3)  $K(f) = L \circ G(f) - f = L(u_{app}) - f = - \sum_{|\vec{k}| < N} \hat{f}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}$ . Now  $K(f)$  is smooth and is

hence in  $H^a \forall a$ . By the Rellich compactness lemma,  $K(f) : H^s \rightarrow H^s$  is compact. Now  $G(L(u)) - u = - \sum_{|\vec{k}| < N} \hat{u}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}$ . As before this is a smooth function and hence by the Rellich lemma,  $G \circ L - I$  is compact.

---

<sup>1</sup>it is called elliptic because for  $\mu = 1$  and  $n = 2$ , we get the equation of an ellipse

- (4) Taking Fourier series on both sides,  $\hat{L}\hat{u}(\vec{k}) = \hat{f}(\vec{k})$ . Of course, for large  $|k|$ ,  $u$  coincides with  $u_{app}$ . For small  $|k| < N$ ,  $(1 + |k|)^{s+l} \leq (1 + N)^{s+l} \leq C$  where  $C$  depends only on  $N, s, l$  and hence only on the ellipticity constants,  $s, l$ , and the bounds on the lower order coefficients. This proves the result.  $\square$

Now we define a useful notion in functional analysis.

**Definition 2.3.** Suppose  $H_1, H_2$  are Hilbert spaces. A bounded linear operator  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is called Fredholm if  $\ker(T), \text{Coker}(T)$  are finite-dimensional.

We prove the following useful theorem about Fredholm operators. In these results, we use the easy fact that if  $T$  is a bounded linear operator and  $K$  is compact, then  $T \circ K$  and  $K \circ T$  are compact. We also use a slightly more difficult fact that if  $K$  is compact, then  $K^*$  is so as well.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator.

- (1) If  $\text{Im}(T)$  is closed, then  $\text{Coker}(T)$  is naturally a Banach space isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(T)^\perp$ . Therefore,  $\text{Coker}(T)$  is a Hilbert space.
- (2) If the range of  $T$  is closed, then  $\text{Coker}(T)^* \simeq \text{Ker}(T^*)$  where  $T^* : H_2^* \rightarrow H_1^*$ .
- (3) If  $\text{Coker}(T)$  is finite dimensional, then the range is closed.
- (4)  $T$  is Fredholm if and only if  $T^*$  is so.
- (5)  $T$  is Fredholm if and only if there exist bounded linear maps  $G_1, G_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ , such that  $G_1 \circ T - I, T \circ G_2 - I$  are compact operators.
- (6) The set of Fredholm operators  $S \subset B(H_1, H_2)$  is open.
- (7) Suppose  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a connected set. If  $F(t) : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S$  is a continuous map, then the index  $\text{Ind}(F(t)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(F(t))) - \dim(\text{Coker}(F(t)))$  is a constant.
- (8) If  $K : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is a compact operator and  $T$  is Fredholm, then  $T + K$  is Fredholm with the same index.

*Proof.* (1) Define  $\|[y]\| = \inf_{y \in [y]} \|y\|$ . By Riesz's lemma, the infimum is attained as a minimum  $y_0 \in \text{Im}(T)^\perp$ . The map  $[y] \rightarrow y_0$  is linear and an isomorphism. We are done.

- (2) Take  $\rho \in \ker(T^*) \subset H_2^*$  to  $\lambda \in \text{Coker}(T)^*$  where  $\lambda([y]) = \rho(y)$ . This map  $V : \ker(T^*) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(T)^*$  is well-defined because  $\rho(Tx) = T^*(\rho)(x) = 0$  by definition. It is clearly a linear map (and bounded). If the range is closed, then  $\text{Coker}(T)$  is a Hilbert space isomorphic to  $\text{Im}(T)^\perp$ . Consider the map  $U : \text{Coker}(T)^* \rightarrow H_2^*$  given by  $U(\lambda)(v) = \lambda([v])$ . This map is clearly linear and bounded. It can be easily seen to invert  $V$ .
- (3) Let  $X = \text{Ker}(T)^\perp$  and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in H_2$  be such that  $[v]_i$  form a basis for  $\text{Coker}(T)$ . Denote by  $C$  the span of  $v_i$  in  $H_2$ . Note that  $C \cap \text{Im}(T) = \{0\}$ . Define a map  $S : X \oplus C \rightarrow H_2$  as  $S(x, c) = T(x) + c$ . This map is clearly 1-1. It is onto because  $[y] = \sum_i c_i [v_i]$  and hence  $y = \sum_i c_i v_i + T(x)$ . Thus,  $S$  is a bounded linear isomorphism. Therefore, by the open mapping theorem, it is a homeomorphism. Hence,  $S(x, 0) = \text{Im}(T)$  is closed.
- (4) Suppose  $T$  is Fredholm. Since  $\text{Coker}(T)$  is f.d., the range is closed. Thus,  $\ker(T^*) = \text{Coker}(T)^*$  which is f.d. Moreover,  $\text{Coker}(T^*) = \text{Im}(T^*)^\perp = \text{Ker}(T)$  (why?) and hence f.d.
- (5) cont'd....

$\square$